Can we detect centennial sea-level variations over the last three thousand years in Israeli archaeological records?
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Abstract

Archaeological remains are valuable relative sea-level (RSL) indicators in Israel, a tectonically stable coast with minor isostatic inputs. Previous research has used archaeological indicators to argue for centennial sea-level fluctuations. Here, we place archaeological indicators in a quality-controlled dataset where all indicators have consistently calculated vertical and chronological uncertainties, and we subject the data to statistical analysis. We combine the archaeological data with bio-construction data from Dendropoma petraeum colonial vermetids. The final dataset consists of 99 relative sea-level index points and 12 limiting points from the last 4000 a. The temporal distribution of the index points is uneven; Israel has only four index points before 2000 a BP. We apply an Errors-In-Variables Integrated Gaussian Process (EIV IGP) to the index points to model the evolution of RSL. Results show RSL in Israel rose from $0.8 \pm 0.5$ m at ≈2750 a BP (Iron Age) to $0.0 \pm 0.1$ m by ≈1850 a BP (Roman period) at 0.8 mm/a, and continued rising to $0.1 \pm 0.1$ m until ≈1600 a BP (Byzantine Period). RSL then fell to $-0.3 \pm 0.1$ m by 0.5 mm/a until ≈650 a BP (Late Arab period), before returning to present levels at a rate of 0.4 mm/a. The reassessed Israeli record supports centennial-scale RSL fluctuations during the last 3000 a BP, although the magnitude of the RSL fall during the last 2000 a BP is 50% less. The new Israel RSL record demonstrates correspondence with regional climate proxies. This quality-controlled Israeli RSL dataset can serve as a reference for comparisons with other sea-level records from the Eastern Mediterranean.

1. Introduction

In the Eastern Mediterranean, coastal and submerged archaeological remains are widely used to reconstruct late Holocene relative sea level (RSL) (e.g. Flemming et al., 1986; Pirazzoli, 1987; Sivan et al., 2001). Sea-level indicators include fishponds (Auriemma and Solinas, 2009; Evelpidou et al., 2012), harbour structures such as quays (Leatham and Hood, 1958), submerged prehistoric settlements (Galiì et al., 1988) and coastal wells (Nir, 1997; Sivan et al., 2004; Vunsh et al., 2018).

Archaeological indicators, however, do not directly estimate past RSL. Instead, the function of a measured architectural remain and its relationship to RSL at time of construction must be evaluated with variables that are specific to the type of archaeological remain, such as the draughts of the ships using a stone pier (Auriemma and Solinas, 2009) or the local water table where a coastal well was dug (Vunsh et al., 2018). The relationship between the archaeological remains and RSL is known as the functional height (Morhange and Marriner, 2015). The functional height has vertical uncertainties related to the spatial location, time period, and archaeological context. The functional height and its uncertainties are analogous to the indicative meaning described by other researchers (Shennan, 1986; van de Plassche, 1986; Horton et al., 2000; Shennan et al., 2015), which has a reference water level that defines the relation of a sea-level indicator to a

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: dsilas@campus.haifa.ac.il (S. Dean).
contemporaneous tide level (Shennan, 1986), such as mean high water spring tides (MHWS), and the indicative range, which is the elevation range occupied by a sea-level indicator. The functional height and its uncertainty can, therefore, be used to reconstruct RSL to produce a sea-level index point, which defines RSL at a point in time and space (Engelhart et al., 2011; Shennan et al., 2015; Vacchi et al., 2016). The archaeological remains can also be used as an upper or lower limit to sea level, producing terrestrial or marine limiting points, respectively (Shennan and Horton, 2002; Engelhart and Horton, 2012).

In Israel, archaeological remains have been used to reconstruct centennial-scale sea-level fluctuations in the late Holocene (Sivan et al., 2001, 2004; Toker et al., 2012). For the last 2000 a BP, Sivan et al. (2004) indicated that RSL was ~0.2 m above present at 1500 a BP, followed by a fall of at least 0.5 m from 1500–800 a BP (Sivan et al., 2004; Toker et al., 2012). Some archaeological indicators, however, were derived from older studies where the methods to determine functional heights, dates, and uncertainties were inconsistent. Furthermore, additional metadata necessary to reconstruct the vertical and age uncertainties for index points and limiting data (such as tidal range uncertainties and measurement uncertainties) were not considered in the calculation of overall uncertainties.

Here, we produce a dataset of Israeli archaeological indicators mainly from the last 2000 a and carefully assess their functional heights, dating and associated uncertainties. The dataset has been constructed following the protocol described by the International Geoscience Programme (IGCP) projects 61, 200, 495, 588 and 639 (e.g., Preuss, 1979; van de Plassche, 1982; Gehrels and Long, 2007; Horton et al., 2009; Shennan et al., 2015). We then apply a Bayesian Errors-In-Variables Integrated Gaussian Process (EIV-IGP) model to reconstruct the evolution of RSL through time to compare it with contemporaneous tide level (Shennan and Horton, 2002; Engelhart and Horton, 2012).

2. Regional setting

The coast of Israel is situated in the passive margins of the Sinai sub-plate of the African plate and it is bordered in the east by the Dead Sea Transform Fault, the continuation of the Red Sea that was already active in the late Oligocene and early Miocene (24–19 Ma BP), while to the west it is bordered by the Gulf of Suez (Gvirtzman and Steinberg, 2012). To the north, at the foot of the present-day continental slope, it is bordered by the Continental Margin Fault Zone (Gvirtzman and Steinberg, 2012). The Continental Margin Fault Zone was active in the Oligocene when the motion of Arabia had already started to drift apart from Africa. During this time the Suez Rift and the Continental Margin Fault Zone were abandoned and the plate motion moved inland to the Dead Sea Transform (Gvirtzman et al., 2008). The period of the Africa-Arabia breakup and the continental margin reactivation differs from the passive situation of the Israel-Sinai continental margin witnessed in the uppermost stage of the Miocene and the Pliocene to Pleistocene (Gvirtzman and Steinberg, 2012).

Analysis of geomorphology and sediments in the area show little evidence of Holocene faulting or subsided/uplifted features (Sneh, 2000), and seismic data indicates almost no activity along the coast (Salamon et al., 2003). Analysis of historical tsunami events show most of the activity to be from either the Dead Sea transform fault in the east or the deep trenches south of Cyprus and Crete in the west (Salamon et al., 2007).

The present coast and shallow shelf of Israel consists of Late Pleistocene aeolianite calcareous sandstone known locally as kurkar, which manifest in a series of parallel coastal ridges on and offshore (Gvirtzman et al., 1983; Sivan et al., 1999; Maiz et al., 2013). In troughs between these kurkar ridges and in river outlets, sandstone is often overlain with paleosols or clay, then finally covered with Late-Holocene Nilotic sands (Sivan and Porat, 2004; Roskin et al., 2015) that have been transported here to form the modern coastline (Vziely et al., 2006; Shtienberg et al., 2016). The gradually-sloping, shallow shelf of Israel (Almagor and Hall, 1984) provides an environment where underwater archaeological remains are accessible for study and often preserved under the Late-Holocene Nilotic sand (Gallili et al., 1988; Raban and Gallili, 1985). For earlier periods, submerged Neolithic to Chalcolithic (8150–5700 a BP) settlements investigated by Gallili et al. (2005) provide upper limiting points for RSL in sites such as Atlit Yam and Kefar Samir (Fig. 1) on the Carmel coast. Other sea-level studies based on archaeology for the Late Holocene include coastal structures (Flemming, 1978; Raban and Gallili, 1985), coastal water wells (Sivan et al., 2004), and cistersn (Vunsh et al., 2018). Fish pools, flushing channels, and sewage systems have also been used as indicators (Sivan et al., 2001; Anzidei et al., 2011a; Toker et al., 2012).

3. Methods

3.1. Archaeological data collection: functional heights, dating, and uncertainties

We gathered data from published archaeological remains in Israel (Fig. 1) and calculated the functional heights for different types of remains to produce index points or limiting data (Table 1). When assumptions contributing to a particular remain's functional height, date or uncertainties were unclear in a publication, we excluded the remain from the dataset. When the functional height and date of a remain were acceptable, but metadata (e.g., elevation measurement uncertainty) was missing, we used default values following the IGCP protocol (Shennan and Horton, 2002; Engelhart and Horton, 2012).

We use the elevation uncertainty from the original publications if specified, but if these were not stated then we use standard uncertainties indicated by the special issue: a standard uncertainty of ±0.10 m for DGPS; ±0.01 m for total station; and ±0.03 m for unspecifed instruments (Törnqvist et al., 2004). All measurements were made relative to mean sea level (MSL) or the Israel Land Survey Datum (ILSD). We converted ISLD to local MSL following Rosen et al. (2010), who showed that MSL was 0.08 m above ILSD during the epoch 1958–1984. A benchmark uncertainty of ±0.10 m was applied to all elevation measurements (Engelhart and Horton, 2012). The tidal datums are derived from the Admiralty tide tables (UKHO, 2017) (Table 2) for two stations in Israel with identical results: Haifa (#1989) and Ashdod (#1990).

The chronologies of archaeological remains are often specified in publications only by historical period, for example “Hellenistic” or “Roman”. When an historical period is specified for a remain, we assumed the date of the sea-level index point or limiting date to be the median of the period, with the entire period representing a 2σ confidence interval. Indicators with problematic or low-resolution dating (>±250 a) were excluded from the dataset. Because this dataset incorporates a mix of calibrated 14C and archaeological dates, all dates in this paper are written as years before present (AD 1950), for example, 650 a BP.

In order to verify the presence of centennial-scale sea-level fluctuations, it is necessary to assess different types of archaeological indicators used in Israel. We address minor methodological concerns with the archaeological remains. In this study, we use the most useful archaeological remain in the Israeli dataset due to the large number of excavated specimens. Index points from the
wells are more informative than limiting points provided by other remains in the dataset.

3.1.1. Coastal water wells

Coastal water wells in Israel comprise a large dataset of sea-level index points for the past 4000 a (Sivan et al., 2004; Vunsh et al., 2018). Determining the functional height for wells used in Israel depends on the assumption that the wells were operated all year round, even at the end of summer when fresh water levels are lower. The relationship between the freshwater table and saltwater intrusion is sensitive close to the coastline (Sivan et al., 2004; Vunsh et al., 2018). Therefore, if the well was dug too deep, not only did excavation become extremely difficult, but the water could become saline. In contrast, if the base was dug too shallow, the well would be too low during summer to retrieve water from. Therefore, the well would only be dug deep enough to allow the typical-sized jar to draw water from it. The well’s base elevation can therefore be linked to sea level because the coastal freshwater table elevation fluctuates with local sea-level changes and the top of the freshwater table remains above the sea-level by a certain vertical distance, as demonstrated by long-term instrument measurements (Sivan et al., 2004). The equation for sea level is:

\[ RSL = B - (D - J) \]  

where B is the well base elevation measured by DGPS, total station or unspecified (m MSL), D represents the vertical distance between the top of the freshwater column and sea level (m), D is measured (Sivan et al., 2004) or modelled (Vunsh et al., 2018), J is the typical height of the clay water jars used to draw water from the wells (0.35 ± 0.05 m) (Sivan et al., 2004). Consult the schematic in Fig. 2 for a visual representation. Table 3 contains an outline of the sources of vertical uncertainty applied to wells in the supplement.

Dating is reliable when the well is part of an extensively excavated site, such as Caesarea (Sivan et al., 2004), but is less reliable when based only on the indicative pottery sherds found in a well itself, which could be from post-abandonment litter (Nir, 1997). The dating of wells is limited to an archaeological period (sometimes comprising a range of two to three centuries), which results in many indicators having the same date range, inhibiting centennial to decadal-scale analysis.

3.1.2. Structure bases and watermills

The base level of many structures is a common archaeological remain and includes foundations and floor surfaces from structures such as roads, houses, and walls. The structure bases usually only provide terrestrial upper limiting points. The functional height and its uncertainty are mean tidal level (MTL) and > MTL, respectively.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Functional Height (reference water level)</th>
<th>Uncertainty (indicative range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Index points</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal water well</td>
<td>Reference water level is the vertical distance between freshwater table and sea level (D) (measured or modelled) (Sivan et al., 2004 Vunsh et al., 2018) minus the height of the water jar (J) (0.35 m; Sivan et al., 2004).</td>
<td>Water table vertical distance — Water Jar</td>
<td>Water table uncertainty + water jar uncertainty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pools</td>
<td>Base of intake gate assumed to be below MTL to ensure water flux; or top of walkways above MTL (Lambeck et al., 2004; Evelpidou et al., 2012).</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>MHWS to MLWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Terrestrial limiting points</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channels</td>
<td>Top of channel above MTL (Sivan et al., 2001; Toker et al., 2012).</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>&gt; MTL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure Base</td>
<td>Bottom of structure presumed to be above MTL (Sivan et al., 2001).</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>&gt; MTL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watermill</td>
<td>Base of mill outlet channel must be above MSL (Sivan et al., 2004; Vunsh et al., 2018).</td>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>&gt; MTL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. The interpretation of structure bases can be problematic and often relies on assumptions about how close they were built above sea level, so we use MTL as the functional height. The submersed surface excavated at Akko by Sharvit (2013), presumed to be a floor associated with a harbour, provides a terrestrial upper limiting point, but it lies somewhat below other index points of the same age (Fig. 3).

Watermills in Israel provide terrestrial upper limiting points because the measured elevation of the outlet channel is assumed to be above MTL (Vunsh, 2014; Vunsh et al., 2018). Therefore, the functional height of a watermill is MTL. The indicator’s range is MTL.

3.1.3. Rock-carved pools, channels and quarries

Rock-carved structures are problematic and we excluded most from the analysis because of unknown ages. For example, although the quarries in Rosh Hanikra in Northern Israel would have been near sea level for loading blocks onto ships (Auriemma and Solinas, 2009) no reliable dates were found, so they cannot be used as index points. RSL has been calculated using fishponds in Italy based on assumptions that sea level could not have been below the pool base or above the pool rim (Laborel and Laborel-Deguen, 1994; Laborel and Laborel-Deguen, 1996; Morhange et al., 2006). Along the coast of Israel they were first studied by Safriel (1974, 1975) and later by Sivan et al. (2010). The biological study of Safriel (1975) found a habitable range of Dendropoma petraeum from “slightly above MSL” down to low water springs, with living organisms often found above sea level. We therefore use a reference water level of MTL with a conservative indicative range of MHWS and MLWS.

3.2. Fixed biological indicators

In the south and east Mediterranean, Dendropoma petraeum is a colonial vermetid that inhabits inter-tidal rocky shorelines close to mean sea level (MSL), and can provide sea-level index points (Laborel and Laborel-Deguen, 1994; Laborel and Laborel-Deguen, 1996; Morhange et al., 2006). Along the coast of Israel they were first studied by Safriel (1974, 1975) and later by Sivan et al. (2010). The biological study of Safriel (1975) found a habitable range of Dendropoma petraeum from “slightly above MSL” down to low water springs, with living organisms often found above sea level. We therefore use a reference water level of MTL with a conservative indicative range of MHWS and MLWS.

3.3. Reconstruction of relative sea level

RSL for each index point in the Israel dataset was calculated using the following equation:

\[ \text{RSL} = E - \text{FH (or RWL)} \]

where \( E \) is the measured sample elevation of the archaeological remain or sea-level indicator (field 38 in the supplementary dataset), and \( \text{FH} \) is the functional height of the remain, referred to as the reference water level (RWL) in the supplementary dataset (field 57).

---

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tidal Datum</th>
<th>Height relative to Admiralty chart datum</th>
<th>Height relative to MSL (supplementary fields 42–51)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MHWS</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHWN</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSL</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLWN</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLWS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 2. A schematic figure of the coastal wells, showing the relationship between well base and palaeo sea level. B represents the measured elevation of the well base. D represents the vertical distance between the top of the freshwater table and RSL as measured in modern times, assumed to be the same for the last 2–3 thousand years. J represents the typical height of the jar used to draw water from the well and therefore also the height of water in the well.
Each index point in the dataset has a unique vertical uncertainty estimated from the uncertainty of the archaeological remain (i.e., the indicative range) and a variety of factors inherent in the collection and processing of archaeological remains for sea-level research (e.g., measurement uncertainty, water level uncertainty due to waves, tides; see Table 3 for those applied to wells). Total uncertainty ($2\sigma$) for each sample ($U$) is estimated from the root of the sum of the squares of each uncertainty factor, using the expression:

$$U = \left( u_1^2 + u_2^2 + \cdots + u_n^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

(3)

where $u_1$ ..., $u_n$ are individual sources of uncertainties for the archaeological remain of fixed biological indicator, including the uncertainty of the functional height.

We display the RSL data as individual points with uncertainties using the R software environment (Lemon, 2006; R Core Team, 2015). Following Hijma et al. (2015), ellipses are used to indicate sea-level index points’ chronological and vertical uncertainties, and horizontal bars with downwards-pointing arrows are used to represent terrestrial limiting points. The width of the bar indicates the chronological uncertainty and the length of the vertical downwards-pointing arrow indicates the range of vertical uncertainty associated with the constraint’s elevation.

We performed statistical analysis only on the index points from the dataset; all limiting points are excluded. This EIV-IGP model (Cahill et al., 2015) takes an error-prone, unevenly distributed time series of index points as input and produces estimates of RSL and rates of RSL change through time. The model uses a Gaussian process (Williams and Rasmussen, 1996) specified through a mean function (set to zero) and an exponential covariance function to describe the evolution of the rates of RSL change throughout the reconstruction period. The index points are then modelled as the integral of the Gaussian process plus measured and estimated vertical uncertainty. Age uncertainties are accounted for by the EIV-IGP framework (Dey et al., 2000). Detailed explanation of this technique can be found in Cahill et al. (2015).

### 3.4. Present-day GIA rates along the coast of Israel

GIA computations were performed using an improved version of the Sea Level Equation solver SELEN of Spada and Stocchi (2007), in which we take into account the migration of shorelines, the transition between grounded and floating ice during deglaciation and the rotational feedback on RSL change (Milne and Mitrovica, 1998). The program has been successfully benchmarked by Martinec et al. (2018). In our GIA simulation, we have implemented the ice sheet chronology and viscosity profile of the model ICE-6G (VM5a) of Peltier et al. (2015), solving the Sea Level Equation by the pseudo-spectral method on a grid with a spacing of ~20 km, equivalent to harmonic degree $l_{\text{max}} = 512$.

### 4. Results

#### 4.1. Relative sea-level reconstructions

We first collected 142 archaeological remains and sea-level indicators, but only 111 had adequate functional heights and dating information (see supplementary dataset). This included 99 index points (73 archaeological and 26 biological) and 12 terrestrial upper limiting points (Figs. 3 and 4). See Fig. 1 for locations. The database includes:

- Coastal wells from several Bronze/Iron Age settlements and wells along the Israeli coast (Sivan et al., 2001; Sharon and Gilboa, 2013);
- A large collection of wells from Caesarea from the last 2000 a BP (Sivan et al., 2004), and from Akko, Jaffa, Yavne-Yam and Ashdod-Yam (Vunsh et al., 2018);

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of uncertainty</th>
<th>Typical values in m ($\pm$)</th>
<th>Field # in supplement dataset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tidal uncertainty</td>
<td>0.30 m</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark Uncertainty</td>
<td>0.10 m</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement elevation</td>
<td>If original author has not specified uncertainty: 0.01 m if with total station, 0.10 m if DGPS, 0.03 m if unspecified method (standard uncertainties for special issue)</td>
<td>30 or 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured water table vertical distance</td>
<td>0.1 m or 0.14 m (or other value specified by original author)</td>
<td>Included in 58, when applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modeled water table vertical distance</td>
<td>Varies with distance, derived from 2sigma of observed modern well bases at specified distance range from coastline (between 0.1 m and 0.6 m)</td>
<td>Included in 58, when applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size variability of water drawing vessel</td>
<td>0.05 m</td>
<td>Included in 58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Components of uncertainties for coastal well archaeological remains. Column 3 lists the field in the supplementary dataset where the value(s) are placed.

Fig. 3. Israeli relative sea-level index points with $2\sigma$ ellipses. Horizontal bars with down-pointing arrows indicate terrestrial upper limiting points (relative sea level maxima).
A Roman water channel/fishpond at Achziv (Ratzlaff et al., 2012); An assortment of wells, channels, ponds, and tunnels from Akko from the last 2000 a BP (Toker et al., 2012; Vunsh, 2014; Vunsh et al., 2018); A Hellenistic harbour installation from the same city (Sharvit, 2013); 26 cores of *Dendropoma petraeum* colonial vermetids from Northern Israel (Sivan et al., 2010; Sisma-Ventura et al., 2014).

### 4.2. Statistical analysis of the relative sea-level reconstructions

We combined all the data (99 index points, and 12 limiting points) from ~175 km of the Israel coastline during the past 4000 a, and re-assess Israeli index points using an EIV-IGP model producing estimates of RSL (Fig. 5) and rates of RSL change (Fig. 6).

The uncertainty of the reconstructed RSL before 2000 a BP is greater (Fig. 5) due to the limited number of index points (four out of 99). At ~4000 a BP, the EIV-IGP model indicates an RSL of $-0.9 \pm 0.5$ m rising by $-0.4$ mm/a until about 3400 a BP when RSL is $-0.7 \pm 1.0$ m. RSL subsequently falls at $-0.2$ mm/a to a low-stand of $-0.8 \pm 0.5$ m at ~2800 a BP (Iron Age). RSL subsequently increases at $-0.8$ mm/a to $0 \pm 0.1$ m at ~1850 a BP (Roman Period), then falls at $-0.5$ mm/a until it reaches $-0.3 \pm 0.1$ m at 650 a BP (Late Arab Period), before returning towards present level at $-0.4$ mm/a.

### 5. Discussion

Global datasets for the last 2000 a BP indicate a variety of RSL trends because key driving processes, such as GIA and tectonics, are spatially variable and cause RSL change to vary in rate and magnitude among regions, sometimes with small-scale fluctuations when the record is continuous (Horton et al., 2018). Statistical analysis (Kopp et al., 2016) of global records from the last 3000 a BP (including the previous Israeli coastal well data) shows small fluctuations in global mean sea level from 1700–1000 a BP with lows between 800 and 600 a BP, which corresponds to the record presented in this current study.

**Fig. 4.** Israeli relative sea-level index points with 2σ ellipses subdivided into three different sea-level indicators: Coastal water wells (black); Rock-carved pool (blue); and the biological indicator; the *Dendropoma petraeum* (red). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

**Fig. 5.** Statistical regression of relative sea level for Israel. The Error-In-Variables integrated Gaussian Process (EIV-IGP) regression of relative sea level derived from Israeli index points is shown (dotted line is the median; Inner contour for 1σ; outer contour for 2σ).

**Fig. 6.** Rates of relative sea-level change in Israel as derived from the Error-In-Variables integrated Gaussian Process (EIV-IGP). Dotted line is median rate in mm/yr, with 1σ and 2σ envelopes.
5.1. The Israel relative sea-level record and glacial isostatic adjustment

The coast of Israel is located ~3000 km from the major centres of glaciation, therefore the ice-induced component of the GIA signal reduces in magnitude and so the ice equivalent meltwater (eustatic) signal becomes dominant (Milne et al., 2005; Khan et al., 2015). The smaller amplitude GIA signal associated with ocean loading and GIA-induced perturbations to the Earth's rotation vector also become more evident (e.g. Clark et al., 1978; Milne et al., 2005). In Israel, the “Earth” GIA model (Sivan et al., 2001, 2004; Lambeck and Purcell, 2005) shows RSL rising up to present elevation throughout the Holocene. In contrast, the ICE-5G (Peltier, 2004; Toker et al., 2012) predicts RSL falling from 0.5 m above present levels at 4000 a BP. Both models show low GIA rates of RSL change: < 0.2 mm/a for the Holocene in Earth (Sivan et al., 2001); and 0.15 mm/a during the last 1000 a for the ICE-5G (Toker et al., 2012).

Fig. 7 shows the present-day rate of RSL change in the Eastern Mediterranean region and Israel, according to our predictions using SELEN (Spada and Stocchi, 2007; Martinec et al., 2018) and the ICE-6G (VM5a) GIA model (Peltier et al., 2015). Due to the slow response of the solid Earth, these GIA rates can be considered as constant on time scales of hundreds of years to a few millennia (e.g., Spada, 2017). Along the Israel coast, the total RSL variation due to GIA has been ~0.10 m during the last 1000 years, with negligible differences (<0.05 m) among north central and southern regions where the index points are recovered (i.e., Yavne Yam, Jaffa, Caesarea and Akko), because of the very long spatial wavelength of the GIA response. A constant GIA response along the coast of Israel is supported by previous GIA models characterised by different deglaciation chronologies, spatial resolutions and rheological parameterisations (see e.g., Sivan et al., 2001; Stocchi and Spada, 2009; Roy and Peltier, 2018).

The relatively minimal differences in GIA rates along the Israeli coastline are supported by the subdivision of the database into three regions (Fig. 8a, b, c). Although, the vast majority of the index points are from the central Israeli coast (Fig. 8b), specifically water wells in Caesarea (Sivan et al., 2004), the difference among regions (Fig. 8d) is small compared to the uncertainties of the index points. Furthermore, the combined RSL record from Israel has similarities with other regional studies. For example, the Israel record suggests RSL was 0.0 ± 0.1 m at 1850 a BP (Roman period), which is near identical to RSL at the same time of 0.1 ± 0.1 m recorded by Anzidei et al. (2011a) for Israel, and 0.2 ± 0.5 m in Tunisia and Libya (Anzidei et al., 2011b).

5.2. The Israel relative sea-level record and a meltwater signal

The changes in RSL in Israel in the absence of major tectonic and isostatic processes could suggest an ice equivalent meltwater input. Previous GIA modelling studies imply that the dominant ice equivalent meltwater signal has been a gradual multi-meter rise since 7000 a BP, likely driven by the slow response of the cryosphere to the deglacial warming, although there are significant differences between GIA models. The ICE-5G (Peltier, 2004; Peltier and Fairbanks, 2006; Toscano et al., 2011) and the ICE-6G (Peltier et al., 2015) models, respectively, estimate a rise in GMSL between 7000 and 4000 a BP of ~4 m (ICE-5G) and ~2 m (ICE-6G), with <0.05 m change between 4000 a BP and the Industrial Era. Lambeck et al. (2014) draw a markedly different conclusion, with ~5 m of rise between 7000 and 4000 a BP, then an additional ~0.80 m between 4000 and 2000 a BP, and <0.10 m between 2000 a BP and the Industrial Era. GIA models of the total magnitude of the 7000–4000 a BP ice equivalent meltwater input vary by a factor of ~2.5, and between 4000–2000 a BP they vary by an order of magnitude.

The centennial-scale oscillations (Fig. 5) might be attributed to ice equivalent meltwater inputs from different sources, remote or regional, that create temporally variable patterns and magnitude changes (Mitrovica et al., 2001; Gehrels et al., 2011; Toker et al., 2012). Greenland ice cores show a pronounced warm period from 35°00’ to 32°30’.
2000 to 1000 a BP, then neoglacial cooling during the Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA) and warmer conditions during the Little Ice Age (LIA) (Dahl-Jensen et al., 1998). Roberts et al. (2012) place the MCA at 950–650 a BP, and the LIA from 550–50 a BP. If Greenland ice sheet mass changed significantly during these climate phases, it should have caused corresponding changes in RSL (Long et al., 2009). The MCA has not been unequivocally established in Antarctic research (Broecker, 2001; Mann and Jones, 2003; Bentley, 2010), but there is some evidence of a warm event occurring in the Antarctic Peninsula at approximately the same time as the MCA. Domack et al. (2003) interpreted the record from Lallemand Fjord showing an increased productivity during the MCA and reported an MCA signal from a short core in the Andvord drift terminating at about 650 a BP. Khim et al. (2002) analysed a marine core close to the western Antarctic Peninsula, which they interpreted as a signal of warmer surface water temperatures between 700–500 a BP, at the time when our record shows a low oscillation of RSL.

5.3. The Israel relative sea-level record and the Mediterranean climate during the last three thousand years

In the Mediterranean, Izdebski et al. (2016) use eastern Mediterranean environmental, archaeological and historical data to reconstruct trends in precipitation in the first millennium AD (Fig. 9b). Roberts et al. (2012) discuss the Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA) and Little Ice Age (LIA) in the second millennium AD by identifying fluctuations between wetter/drier periods for the eastern Mediterranean using salinity and lake-level records (Fig. 3c). Roberts et al. (2012) suggest that a climate seesaw pattern operates between the eastern and western Mediterranean; when dry conditions existed in the west during the MCA, records from the east show a wet MCA. The dry MCA in the west has been connected with consistently positive North Atlantic Oscillations (NAO) that produced greater atmospheric pressure in the west Mediterranean, but as Roberts et al. (2012) point out, this cannot be applied to the east, which operates under inverse conditions likely dictated by a mix of other factors influencing our RSL records. This inference is supported by Izdebski et al. (2016), who used environmental, archaeological and historical data to reconstruct trends in precipitation in the first millennium AD. There is no correspondence between the wet/dry periods in the eastern Mediterranean and the single model of relatively high sea levels in Israel at ~1500 a BP (Fig. 9a and b).

Toker et al. (2012) suggested a positive NAO phase affecting the temperature and riverine freshwater flux in the whole Mediterranean that coincided with negative Southern Oscillation Index (SOI), and affected the Nile outflow, which is the only freshwater source in the south-eastern Mediterranean. Research on Nile flow (Kondrashov et al., 2005) shows 256-year cyclic patterns, and the reduced freshwater fluxes could have been the cause for the low sea levels in the Levant basin due to the high NAO status, which was further enhanced by a persistent negative ENSO affecting the Nile outflow (Toker et al., 2012).
Marriner et al. (2017) use a meta-analysis of several regional climate proxies that also provide a strong indication of fluctuating environmental conditions that correlate with our reconstructed RSL record from Israel. This includes tsunami and/or storm events from the entire Mediterranean (although most data come from the central Mediterranean) for the last 2000 a BP. Periods of high stormy frequency correlate ($r = 0.79$) with high sea levels in Israel, while low storm frequency corresponds with low RSL (Fig. 9d). Some correlation is also evident with several other proxies such as speleothems from Turkey (Badertscher et al., 2011), which show at least a similar period to our observed sea-level fluctuations. This continuous, reliable dataset from Israel is logical proxy in Israel since they provide an uninterrupted, plentiful indicators, coastal water wells prove to be the most viable archaeological markers: a review. Quat. Int. 206, 134–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2010.03.015.
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