

Pathways to Innovation and Development in Education

A Collection Of Invited Essays



Rose Dolan (Ed.)

July 2014

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © Maynooth University Department of Education 2014

Each article © Named Author

All rights reserved. No article may be reproduced without the permission of the author and/or the Maynooth University Department of Education.

Copy editing and e-publication: Keith Young

Cover Photograph © Tom Jeffers

CONTENTS

Introduction.....	6
Rose Dolan	
What sort of teacher do I want to be - critical and creatively constructive or compliant, conformist and conservative?	10
Jim Gleeson	
School Patronage, Educational Experience and Religious Teachings.....	16
Pádraig Hogan	
The Impossibility of Curriculum Change in the Mind of Someone Educated: Shark, Sabre-Tooth and Junior Certificate.....	20
Gary Granville	
“Making fools of ourselves”	32
Angela Rickard	
Constructing identities with young people: making visible cultural norms.....	38
Grace O’Grady	
Curriculum, Culture and Society.....	48
Dermot Quish	
Distributed Leadership and the Newly Appointed Teacher.....	54
Eilis Humphreys	
Bravery and Leadership.....	60
David Harris	
Sustaining Innovation in the Classroom.....	64
Lynda O’Toole	
The New Junior Cycle: Learning from Innovations in Transition.....	69
Denise Kelly	

Home School Community Liaison as Part of a School’s Pastoral Programme	74
Noel Kelly	
Schools and Community Service.....	78
Carmel Boyle	
Collaborative Practice at the Heart of Student Welfare	85
Margaret Keating	
Setting up a School Guidance Service	92
Emer O’Keefe	
Circle Time as a Learning Space: Challenges and Opportunities.....	95
Bernie Collins	
Experiential Learning as a vehicle for thinking critically on the assessment process; reflections of an educational psychologist in training.....	102
Maeve Daly	
Literacy and Creativity: a Personal Essay	108
Kevin Mc Dermott	
The Challenges of Teaching-Tales from the Frontline	112
Hayley McCann	
The Singularity.....	115
Lisa Connolly	
Key Considerations For A School Wishing To Be Genuinely Inclusive.....	118
Audrey Halpin	
Development Education through Drama in Education.....	127
Céline Healy	

CONTRIBUTORS

Professor Jim Gleeson, Professor of Identity and Curriculum in Catholic Education, Australian Catholic University.

Dr. Pádraig Hogan, Senior Lecturer, Education Department, NUI Maynooth.

Professor Gary Granville, Professor of Education, National College of Art and Design (NCAD), Dublin.

Angela Rickard, Lecturer, Education Department, NUI Maynooth.

Dr. Grace O’Grady, Lecturer, Education Department, NUI Maynooth.

Dr. Dermot Quish, Lecturer, Galway-Mayo Institute of Technology.

Dr. Eilis Humphreys, CEO of Le Cheile Educational Trust.

David Harris, Head Teacher in Nottingham University Samworth Academy.

Lynda O’Toole, Inspector, Department of Education and Skills Inspectorate.

Denise Kelly, Education Officer, National Council for Curriculum and Assessment.

Noel Kelly, Teacher in Collinstown Park Community College.

Carmel Boyle, Teacher in Firhouse Community College, Dublin.

Margaret Keating, Lecturer, Education Department, NUI Maynooth.

Emer O’Keeffe, Teacher in Loreto College, St. Stephen’s Green, Dublin.

Dr. Bernie Collins, Lecturer, St. Patrick’s College, Drumcondra.

Maeve Daly, Lecturer, Education Department, NUI Maynooth.

Dr. Kevin McDermott, Professional Development Service for Teachers

Hayley McCann, Teacher in Coláiste Pobail Setanta, Clonee, Dublin.

Lisa Connolly, Teacher in Coláiste Bride, Clondalkin, Dublin

Audrey Halpin, Lecturer, Church of Ireland College of Education, Rathmines

Dr. Céline Healy, Lecturer, Education Department, NUI Maynooth.

Chapter 20

Development Education through Drama in Education

Céline Healy

To meet the opportunities and challenges of the world as it is now, and to be actively involved in shaping the world of the future, learners need to develop the knowledge, understanding, attitudes and skills to actively participate in the development of local and global communities (Wade, 2008). Development education is based on reflection, analysis and action at local and global levels (Kenny and O'Malley, 2002). It seeks to enable learners to make connections between their own lives and the wider world so they may be more aware of the parts we all play in causing, sustaining or preventing the inequalities that exist in the world (McMorrow, 2006). Development Education aims to develop “critical thinking skills, analytical skills, empathetic capacity and the ability to be an effective person who can take action to achieve desired development outcome” (Tormey, 2003, 2).

It is difficult to learn to become actively involved in society through transmission approaches to education. Active involvement in society necessitates learners' active involvement in the classroom. However, it is not just a matter of introducing activity into the lesson, the activities need to engage learners in the learning process enabling them to develop a deep understanding of the important ideas to be learned (Nugent, 2006). Active learning is grounded in constructivism based on the premise that knowledge is constructed rather than passively received (Fox, 2001). Learning is achieved through exploration, discovery and reflection. The social elements of learning are emphasised through co-operative action, collaborative problem-solving and sharing (Niemi, 2002). Active learning enables learners to make connections between the classroom and the world outside, between concepts, information and real-life scenarios. Development education and active learning approaches are inseparable. However, implementing active approaches to learning can pose a challenge for teachers and there is a prevailing attachment to more traditional approaches in Irish second level schools (Clarke et al, 2010; Mc Morrow, 2006; Jeffers, 2004).

This practitioner has found Drama in Education (DiE) to be a valuable methodology in development education lessons helping to create motivating, meaningful contexts for learners to examine and discuss their worlds and the worlds of others. DiE uses as its foundation the human ability to imagine and re-create the behaviour of others in different times and in

different places (Neelands, 1992). It combines elements of theatre and pedagogical approaches to enable learners to become actively involved in viewing a situation from a variety of perspectives. The focus is on problem solving and living through a particular moment in time. DiE is a means of using learners' own experience to understand the experience of other people. Learners are enabled to actively identify with imagined roles and situations in order to explore issues, events and relationships. This identification is used to allow them to look at reality through a fictional lens (Wagner, 1999). An experience is explored through the creation and examination of layers of non-linear episodes relevant to an experience or situation. These episodes cumulatively enrich and extend the fictional context and allow a situation to be viewed and analysed from different angles. Through this analysis learners are led to see the meaning of their actions and to develop a better understanding of themselves, human behaviour and the world in which they live.

DiE provides a bridge between the unfamiliar world of concepts and data and the familiar world of human experiences and endeavours. Participants feel that it is happening because the same rules as are used in real life apply. The important difference between life and the make believe life created by the DiE situation is that there is an opportunity for one problem to be faced at a time. Drama in Education helps to peel away all that is extraneous to the experience or situation being explored leaving only the meaningful for examination. Dramatising an event can isolate it, making it simpler and more understandable (O'Neill and Lambert, 1982). In real life a decision taken cannot be revised except in the long term. The DiE context creates a 'no penalty' area where learners can see how decisions taken can influence a situation and learn from this (Heathcote, 1984). In this 'no penalty' area the burden of future responsibility is taken away. Learners are enabled to examine and test out their ideas and attitudes without having to live with the consequences of the decisions they make during the course of the drama. Thus, lived through experience and reflected upon experience can be combined to lead to greater understandings (Bolton, 1986).

DiE is not about acting or re-telling stories. It does not focus on the creation of a spectacle for an external audience. It uses the process of creating drama as a means of learning and therefore does not need an external audience to give it significance (Bolton, 1979). Participants create the drama but are also audience to their own work in process. The participant part of the person and the spectator part have equal status. Built-in distancing devices enable them to be audience to their own work and to reflect on and discuss its meanings and issues raised (Heathcote, 1984). The spectator part allows participants to stand back and analyse what they are experiencing at any given moment. The participant part deals with the event in a practical manner. By becoming a 'self-spectator' the participant is making things happen and is brought to an awareness of how he/she is making them happen. This exposes the learner to why things are happening and the possibility that they could be different. By placing participants in a position of being able to make a difference to the way

things are, they are being offered a possibility to effect change. This helps facilitate a change in insight and perception.

DiE offers a means of exploring and examining experience in ways which are denied to us in real life (Fleming, 1998). There is a process of de-familiarisation as scenes are looked at afresh through the conventions of drama. Complexities are revealed through simplification as aspects of a situation are thrown into relief so that they can be scrutinised and thus enable learners to examine things as they are rather than how they might be. In this way learners are brought to realise that there are many ways of looking at the world. They can examine their's and others' ways of looking at it, and realise there is no norm. DiE works by allowing learners 'to put behaviour under a microscope', reflecting on what they learnt and articulating it for others. These skills are transferable and can help to open learners' minds, broaden their outlook and increase their general knowledge. Learners learn to examine their own and others' culture and come to realise that all culture is relative, there is no norm.

Drama in Education takes learner needs and interests as its starting point and incorporates the active learner-centred approaches that are central to development education. Using a range of teaching and learning strategies in a multi-sensory way, it supports the interaction of multiple intelligences and facilitates different modes of expression. It gives learners a voice and enables them to use it. Creating contexts where learners draw on their empathetic competence along with their analytical and critical reasoning skills, it helps them develop the knowledge, skills and attitudes necessary to engage with the world. Drama in Education has a lot to offer development education.

REFERENCES

- Bolton, G. (1986) *Gavin Bolton: Selected Writings*. D. Davis and C. Lawrence (Eds). London: Longman.
- Bolton, G. (1979) *Towards a theory of drama in education*. London: Longman.
- Clarke, M., Clynes, M., Drudy, S., Healy, C. (2010). *Development Education and Active Learning in Second-Level Schools: An Exploration of Teaching Practice Contexts*. University College Dublin, Dublin.
- Fleming, M. (1998) 'Cultural Awareness and Dramatic Art Forms', in M. Byram and M. Fleming (Eds), *Language, Learning in Intercultural Perspective: Approaches through Drama and Ethnography*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Fox, M. (2001). Constructivism examined. *Oxford Review of Education* 27(1), 23-25.
- Heathcote, D. (1984) *Collected Writings on Education and Drama*. L. Johnson and C. O' Neill (Eds). Illinois: Northwestern University Press.
- Jeffers, G. (2006). Conversations on Teaching and Learning: A Challenge for School Leadership. *Oideas* 52.
- Kenny, M. and O'Malley, S. (2002). Development Education in Ireland – Challenges and Opportunities for the future. Dublin: Dóchas Research Report.
- Mc Morrow, U. (2006). Changing practices for a global society: voices of students, principals and university teacher educators on active learning. *Irish educational Studies*, 25(3), 321-335.
- Neelands, J. (1992) *Learning Through Imagined Experience*. Patrick Scott (Ed). London: Hodder and Stoughton.
- Niemi, H. (2002) Active learning – a cultural change needed in teacher education and schools. *Teacher and Teaching Education* 18 (7), 763-780.
- Nugent, R. (2006). Civic, social and political education: active learning participation and engagement? *Irish Educational Studies*, 25(2), 207-229.
- O'Neill, C. (1995) *Drama Worlds: a framework for process drama*. L. Barnett (Ed). Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- O'Neill, C. and Lambert, A. (1982) *Drama Structures. A practical handbook for teachers*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Tormey, R. (2003). *Teaching social justice intercultural and development education perspectives on educations context, content and methods*. Dublin: Centre for Educational Disadvantage Research.
- Wade, R. (2008). Journeys around education for sustainability: mapping the terrain. In J. Parker and R. Wade (Eds), *Journeys around education for sustainability* (pp 5-32). London: Education for Sustainability Programme.
- Wagner B. J., (1999) *Dorothy Heathcote. Drama as a Learning Medium*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.