

# Z-POLYNOMIALS AND RING COMMUTATIVITY

S.M. BUCKLEY AND D. MACHALE

ABSTRACT. We characterise polynomials  $f$  with integer coefficients such that a ring with unity  $R$  is necessarily commutative if  $f(x)$  is central for all  $x \in R$ . We also solve the corresponding problem without the assumption that the ring has a unity.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [4] and [1], characterisations were given for the polynomials  $f$  with integer coefficients such that a ring  $R$  is necessarily commutative whenever  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Here we characterise those polynomials  $f$  such that a ring  $R$  is necessarily commutative whenever  $R$  satisfies the weaker condition that  $f(x)$  is central for all  $x \in R$ . The fact that these two classes of polynomials are different follows from the observation that a ring satisfying the identity  $x^2 - 2x = 0$  is necessarily commutative, while there are easy examples to show that there are non-commutative rings where  $x^2 - 2x$  is central for all  $x$ .

Throughout this paper,  $f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X^i \in X\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Given a ring  $R$ , we write  $f(R) = 0$  if  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , and we write  $f(R) \subset Z(R)$  if  $f(x) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ ; here  $Z(R)$  is the centre of  $R$ . For us, a ring does not necessarily have a unity, unless this is assumed.

Given a class  $\mathcal{F}$  of rings, we denote by  $C_0(\mathcal{F})$  and  $C_Z(\mathcal{F})$  the sets of polynomials  $f \in X\mathbb{Z}[X]$  that force a ring  $R \in \mathcal{F}$  to be commutative whenever  $f(x)$  always lies in  $\{0\}$  or  $Z(R)$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(\mathcal{F}) &= \{f(X) \in X\mathbb{Z}[X] : (R \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } f(R) = 0) \implies R \text{ commutative}\}, \\ C_Z(\mathcal{F}) &= \{f(X) \in X\mathbb{Z}[X] : (R \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } f(R) \subset Z(R)) \implies R \text{ commutative}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We are mainly interested in two classes  $\mathcal{F}$ : the class of all rings  $\mathcal{R}$ , and the class of all rings with unity  $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ . For each prime  $p$ , we also define the class  $\mathcal{R}_p$  of rings such that  $p^k R \subset Z(R)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the class  $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_p := \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \cap \mathcal{R}_p$ . We refer to polynomials in  $C_Z(\mathcal{R})$  as *Z-polynomials*, and polynomials in  $C_0(\mathcal{R})$  as *C-polynomials*.

A well-known result of Jacobson [3, Theorem 11] shows that for  $n > 1$ ,  $X^n - X$  is a C-polynomial. More generally, Herstein [2] showed that if  $a_1 = \pm 1$ , then  $f$  is not just a C-polynomial, but also a Z-polynomial. In view of that result, we call  $f$  a *Herstein polynomial* if  $a_1 = \pm 1$ .

Using Herstein's result, the second author and Laffey [4] showed that  $f$  is a C-polynomial if and only if  $f$  is either a Herstein polynomial, or  $f$  satisfies the following set of three conditions:  $a_1 = \pm 2$ ,  $a_2$  is odd, and  $\sum_{i=2}^n a_i$  is odd. We will see that Z-polynomials form a more restrictive class than C-polynomials. In fact Z-polynomials coincide with Herstein polynomials; see Proposition 4.

Our characterisation of  $C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$  is not as simple to state as that of  $C_Z(\mathcal{R})$ . It involves the following family of conditions indexed by a prime  $p$ :

*There is at least one non-multiple of  $p$  among the numbers*

$$T_p := \{a_1\} \cup \{b_j \mid 0 \leq j < p-1\},$$

where

$$b_j = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ i \equiv j \pmod{p-1}}} ia_i, \quad 0 \leq j < p-1.$$

Whenever the above condition holds, we say that  $f$  satisfies the  $T_p$  condition.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X^i \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Then  $f \in C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$  if and only if the greatest common divisor of the numbers  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is 1, and  $f$  satisfies the  $T_p$  condition for all primes  $p \leq n$  that divide  $a_1$ .*

By comparison, we note that the main result in [1] states that a polynomial  $f(X) \in X\mathbb{Z}[X]$  lies in  $C_0(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$  if and only if the greatest common divisor of the numbers  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is 1, and  $f$  satisfies the  $S_p$  condition for all primes  $p \leq n/2$  that divide  $a_1$ , where the  $S_p$  condition involves a set  $S_p$  is defined by:

$$S_p := T_p \cup \{c_j \mid 0 \leq j < p-1\},$$

where

$$c_j = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ i \equiv j \pmod{p-1}}} a_i, \quad 0 \leq j < p-1.$$

After reducing the problem to understanding  $C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p)$  for all primes  $p$  in Section 2, we prove the main results in Section 3.

## 2. REDUCTION TO PRIME POWERS

There is one rather obvious necessary condition for  $f \in C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p)$ : given any prime  $p$ , the ring  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is non-commutative and of characteristic  $p$ , so if every coefficient of  $f$  is divisible by  $p$  then  $f \notin C_0(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p) \supset C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p)$ . Thus every a polynomial in  $C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$  (or in  $\bigcap_{p \text{ prime}} C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p)$ ) is *primitive*, i.e. the greatest common divisor of its coefficients is 1.

The rest of this section is dedicated to proving the following lemma which reduces the task of characterizing  $C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$  to that of characterizing  $C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p)$  for all primes  $p$ .

**Lemma 2.**  $C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}) = \bigcap_{p \text{ prime}} C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p)$ .

As a first step, the following simple lemma shows that commutativity of a ring  $R$  such that  $mR \subset Z(R)$  follows from commutativity of its subrings  $R_p$  satisfying  $p^k R_p \subset Z(R)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and prime factor  $p$  of  $m$ .

**Lemma 3.** Suppose  $mR \subset Z(R)$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  has prime factorisation  $m = \prod_{p|m} p^{k_p}$ . For each prime factor  $p$  of  $m$ , let  $m_p := m/p^{k_p}$  and  $R_p := m_p R$ . Then

- (a)  $R_p$  is an ideal in  $R$ , and  $p^{k_p} R_p \subset Z(R)$ .
- (b) Every  $x \in R$  can be written in the form

$$x = z + \sum_{p|m} x_p, \quad z \in Z(R), \quad x_p \in R_p.$$

- (c)  $xy = yx$  whenever  $x \in R_p$ ,  $y \in R_q$ , and  $p, q$  are distinct prime factors of  $m$ .
- (d)  $R$  is commutative if and only if each  $R_p$  is commutative.

*Proof.* Part (a) is immediate. As for (b), since the greatest common divisor of the numbers  $\{m_p : p | m\}$  is 1, we can choose  $n_p \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\sum_{p|m} n_p m_p$  equals 1 mod  $m$ , and then  $x - \sum_{p|m} n_p (m_p x) \in Z(R)$ .

We next prove (c). Let  $x = m_p x'$ ,  $y = m_q y'$ . Since  $m$  divides  $m_p m_q$ , we can use distributivity repeatedly to get

$$xy = ((m_p m_q) x') y' = y' ((m_p m_q) x') = yx.$$

Finally for (d), the ‘‘only if’’ part is trivial. Conversely, suppose that each of the rings  $R_p$  is commutative. Given  $x, y \in R$ , we write

$$x = z + \sum_{p|m} x_p, \quad y = w + \sum_{p|m} y_p,$$

where  $z, w \in Z(R)$ , and  $x_p, y_p \in R_p$  for  $p | m$ . Using distributivity we expand  $xy$  into a sum of products of pairs of elements from the set  $\{z, w\} \cup \left( \bigcup_{p|m} \{x_p, y_p\} \right)$ . Bearing in mind (c), we see that the factors in each of these products commute, and so  $xy = yx$ .  $\square$

The *degree*  $\deg(f)$  and *codegree*  $\text{codeg}(f)$  of a nonzero polynomial  $f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X^i$  are the largest and smallest  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , respectively, such that  $a_i \neq 0$ .

*Proof of Lemma 2.* Clearly  $C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}) \subset \bigcap_{p \text{ prime}} C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p)$ , so we need only prove the reverse implication. Suppose therefore that  $f \in \bigcap_{p \text{ prime}} C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p)$ , so  $f$  is necessarily primitive. Suppose also that  $f(R) \subset Z(R)$  for some given unital ring  $R$ .  $f$  must be of degree at least 1. We write  $f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X^i \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , where  $a_n \neq 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $1 \leq \text{codeg}(f) \leq \deg(f) = n$ .

If  $\text{codeg}(f) < \text{deg}(f)$  then  $g(X) := 2^n f(X) - f(2X)$  defines another nonzero polynomial such that  $\text{codeg}(g) = \text{codeg}(f)$  and  $\text{deg}(g) \leq \text{deg}(f) - 1$ . In fact

$$g(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (2^n - 2^i) a_i X^i.$$

Also note that  $g(R) \subset Z(R)$ . Iterating this reduction procedure we eventually get a nonzero monomial such that  $h(R) \subset Z(R)$ . If  $\text{deg}(h) > 1$ , then simply replace  $h$  by  $H(X) := h(X+1) - h(1)$ . Then  $\text{deg}(H) = \text{deg}(h)$  and  $\text{codeg}(H) = 1$ , so if we again repeat the reduction procedure we eventually get a polynomial  $F(X) = mX$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $F(R) \subset Z(R)$ . Thus  $mR \subset Z(R)$ .

Define  $m_p$  and  $R_p$  as in Lemma 3, and let

$$R'_p = \{m_p x + b \cdot 1 \mid x \in R, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then for each prime factor  $p$  of  $m$ ,  $R'_p$  is a subring of  $R$ ,  $1 \in R'_p$ , and  $p^k R'_p \subset Z(R)$ , so  $R'_p \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p$ . Since also  $f(R'_p) \subset Z(R) \cap R'_p = Z(R'_p)$  for all  $p$ , and  $f \in C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p)$ , each  $R'_p$  is commutative. Thus also each  $R_p$  is commutative, and so  $R$  is commutative by Lemma 3. But  $R$  is an arbitrary ring satisfying  $f(R) \subset Z(R)$ , so we deduce that  $f \in C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$ , as required.  $\square$

### 3. PROOFS OF RESULTS

We first state and prove our characterisation of  $C_Z(\mathcal{R})$ .

**Proposition 4.** *The classes of  $Z$ -polynomials and Herstein polynomials coincide.*

*Proof.* The fact that Herstein polynomials are  $Z$ -polynomials is Herstein's main result in [2]. Conversely, as mentioned in the Introduction, it is shown in [4] that if  $f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X^i \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is a  $C$ -polynomial, then either it is a Herstein polynomial or  $a_1 = \pm 2$ . Thus to establish our result, it suffices to exhibit a non-commutative ring  $R$  such that  $f(R) \subset Z(R)$  whenever  $a_1$  is even.

This is rather easy to do: we simply take  $(R, +, \cdot)$  to be the ring of  $3 \times 3$  matrices over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This ring is not commutative since, for instance,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

However  $2x = x^3 = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Moreover since  $xyz = 0$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ , it follows that  $x^2 \in Z(R)$  for all  $x$ . Thus if  $a_1$  is even, then  $f(x) = a_2 x^2 \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in Z(R)$ .  $\square$

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1. The main step is the following characterisation of  $C_Z(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_p)$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose  $f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X^i \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , and let  $p$  be a prime. Then  $f \in C_Z(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_p)$  if and only if  $f$  satisfies the  $T_p$  condition.*

*Proof.* We prove sufficiency of the  $T_p$  condition. We may assume that  $R \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$  is such that  $p^k R \subset Z(R)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . When considering  $f(R) \subset Z(R)$  for such rings, we may treat the coefficients of  $f$  as being either elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ , or elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , as suits us.

If  $p \nmid a_1$ , then  $a_1$  is a unit mod  $p^k$ , so  $g(X) := a_1^{-1} f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}[X]$  has the form  $X + \sum_{i=2}^n d_i X^i$ , and so it is a Herstein polynomial when we view its coefficients as being integers. In particular the condition  $g(R) \subset Z(R)$  forces characteristic  $p^k$  rings  $R \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$  to be commutative. We may therefore assume that  $p \mid a_1$ .

Suppose that there exists  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i < p - 1$ , such that  $p \nmid b_i$ . We treat  $f(X)$  as a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}[X]$ , but let us also write  $f_p(X)$  for  $f(X)$  when instead viewed as an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[X]$ . Expanding  $f_p(X + t)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , we see that the coefficient of  $X$  is  $s_p(t) := \sum_{i=1}^n i a_i t^{i-1}$ . Let  $S_p(X) := \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} b_i X^i \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X]$ . By Fermat's Little Theorem,  $s_p(t) = S_p(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . The fact that  $p \nmid b_i$  for some  $i$  means that  $S_p$  is not the zero polynomial, and so it has at most  $p - 1$  roots. Thus there exists  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $s_p(t) \neq 0$ . It follows that the coefficient of  $X$  in the expansion of  $f(X + t \cdot 1)$  is coprime to  $p$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ . Fixing this value of  $t$  and picking  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$  which is equivalent to  $t \pmod p$ , we get a polynomial  $g(X) := f(X + k) - f(k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}[X]$  such that  $g(R) \subset Z(R)$  and such that the coefficient of  $X$  in  $g$  is a unit mod  $p^k$ . This implies the commutativity of  $R$  as before.

We now prove the converse. Suppose therefore that the  $T_p$  condition fails for a given function  $f$ . Let  $R$  be the ring of matrices

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \delta \\ 0 & \alpha & \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . For brevity, let us call  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ , the *first, second, third, and fourth coordinates* of  $x$ , respectively.

Given such a matrix  $x$ , it can be verified inductively that for all  $i > 1$ ,

$$(1) \quad x^i = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^i & i\alpha^{i-1}\beta & * \\ 0 & \alpha^i & i\alpha^{i-1}\gamma \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^i \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $*$  equals  $i\alpha^{i-1}\delta + \binom{i}{2}\alpha^{i-2}\beta\gamma$  (and  $\alpha^0$  is defined to be 1, even for  $\alpha = 0$ ), but the actual value does not affect subsequent calculations.

Consider now  $f(x)$ . Because  $t^p = t$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , it follows from (1) that the second coordinate of  $f(x)$  equals  $a_1\beta + \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} d_i \alpha^{p+i-2}\beta$ , where  $d_1 = b_1 - a_1$  and

$d_i = b_i$  for every other index in this sum, and the numbers  $b_i$  are as in the  $T_p$  condition. Now  $T_p$  fails to hold, so  $a_i$  and all the  $b_i$ s are divisible by  $p$ , and so  $p|d_i$  for  $0 \leq i < p-1$ . It follows that the second coordinate of  $f(x)$  equals zero, and similarly we see that the fourth coordinate of  $f(x)$  is 0. Thus  $f(x)$  has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 & \zeta \\ 0 & \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon \end{pmatrix},$$

for some  $\varepsilon, \zeta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . But it is readily verified that all such matrices lie in the centre of  $R$ , so we have shown that  $f(x) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$  whenever  $T_p$  fails. Now  $R \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p$ , and it is non-commutative regardless of  $p$ , since for instance

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus  $f \notin C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p)$  if the  $T_p$  condition fails.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Since

$$C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}) = \bigcap_{p \text{ prime}} C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p),$$

it follows that the polynomials in  $C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$  are precisely those for which the  $T_p$  condition holds for all primes  $p$ . If the gcd of the coefficients is not 1, then all coefficients  $a_i$  are divisible by some prime  $p$ , and certainly  $f$  does not satisfy the  $T_p$  condition. Thus by Theorem 5,  $f \notin C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$ .

For the converse direction, since  $T_p$  trivially holds when  $p$  does not divide  $a_1$ , it suffices to show that the  $T_p$  condition holds for all primes  $p > n$  as long as the gcd of the coefficients is 1. Because  $p > n$ , all the sums in the  $T_p$  condition involve at most one term. Thus, since the gcd of the coefficients is 1, there exists  $i \leq n < p$  such that  $p \nmid ia_i = b_i$ .  $\square$

The characterisation for quadratic polynomials is particularly simple, and follows immediately from Theorem 1.

**Corollary 6.** *Suppose  $f(X) = a_1X + a_2X^2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Then  $f \in C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$  if and only if  $a_1$  is odd.*

According to [4], a polynomial  $f$  lies in  $C_Z(\mathcal{R})$  if and only if it is a Herstein polynomial. Comparing this with Corollary 6 or Theorem 1, it is easy to give examples of polynomials in  $C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}) \setminus C_Z(\mathcal{R})$ , for instance  $3X + X^2$  or  $5X + 2X^3$ . Comparing Theorem 1 with the characterisation of  $C_0(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$  in [1], it is easy to give examples of polynomials in  $C_0(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}) \setminus C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$ , for instance  $3X^2 + 2X^3$  or  $X^2$ .

Lastly we note that the examples proving necessity in Theorem 5 (and so also in Theorem 1) involve only finite rings of prime characteristic. Thus if  $\mathcal{F}$  is the

set of all finite rings with unity, then  $C_Z(\mathcal{F}) = C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$ , while if  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of all finite rings with unity and characteristic  $p$ , then  $C_Z(\mathcal{F}) = C_Z(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p)$ . This is analogous to the fact that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of all finite rings (without the assumption of unity), then  $C_Z(\mathcal{F}) = C_Z(\mathcal{R})$  because the proof in [4] uses only finite rings to prove necessity.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S.M. Buckley and D. MacHale, *Polynomials that force a unital ring to be commutative*, Results Math., to appear; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00025-012-0296-0>.
- [2] I.N. Herstein, *The structure of a certain class of rings*, Amer. J. Math. **75** (1953), 864–871; <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2372554>.
- [3] N. Jacobson, *Structure theory for algebraic algebras of bounded degree*, Ann. Math. **46** (1945), 695–707; <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1969205>.
- [4] T.J. Laffey and D. MacHale, *Polynomials that force a ring to be commutative*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A **92A** (1992), 277–280; <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20489425>.

*S.M. Buckley:*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF IRELAND  
MAYNOOTH, MAYNOOTH, CO. KILDARE, IRELAND.

*E-mail address:* `stephen.buckley@maths.nuim.ie`

*D. MacHale:*

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE CORK, CORK, IRELAND.

*E-mail address:* `d.machale@ucc.ie`