Policy Making in Adult Education

A Comparative Approach across 21 European Regions



Agentur für Erwachsenen- und Weiterbildung (Hg.

Aktuelles aus Erwachsenen- und Weiterbildung



Policy Making in Adult Education: A Comparative Approach Across 21 EU Regions

The Regional Project was funded from the European Commission.

This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the Information contained therein. October 2015

Further information on the project is available at <u>www.regionalproject.eu</u> <u>Book weblink:</u> <u>https://www.vlbtix.de/MediaFiles/cover/978376/395/9783763956814_leseprobe_01.pdf</u>

3.3 Country Profile: Ireland Michael Kenny¹ and Michelle Kinsella²

Introduction

In the course of the project, three regions within Ireland were in focus and a series of interviews was undertaken to investigate how the policy making progress for adult learning is devised. Additionally, a review of adult learning policy development was undertaken in each of the regions selected. The research was informed by reviewing secondary publicly available information including census data and area annual reports. In each case the data was complemented by further information from front line educators involved in the delivery of adult learning programmes in the region.

The regions selected for the purposes of this project were:

- An urban region: City of Dublin Education and Training Board (CDETB)
- A midland rural region: Longford Westmeath Education and Training Board (LWETB)
- A border region: Cavan Monaghan Education and Training Board (CWETB)

The research revealed that educational policy making is the prerogative of the Department of Education and Science located in the capital city of Ireland, Dublin. Administrative regions, called Education and Training Board (ETB) areas, prepare service level plans which are assessed by a national education and training body, SOLAS. When education and training service plans are accepted this triggers the provision of funding along with monitoring, evaluation and reporting requirements to the specified area for the activities specified in the service plans. The new structure, SOLAS and ETBs, put in place in 2014 and the standard operational procedures applied to each area are still in the pilot-formative stage. It should be noted that when a strategic planning process is at a formative stage it is more challenging to undertake a comparative analysis particularly with other countries where the procedure for adult learning policy development is in place over an extended period. However, the newness of structure and processes offers an opportunity to fine-tune the policy making model in Ireland to make it more effective in serving the needs of learners, in contributing to the social, cultural, and economic development of the country, and in reemphasising that education is the foundation for a healthy modern democratic society.

¹ Michael Kenny, Lecturer, Department of Adult & Community Education, Maynooth University (Ollscoil na hÉireann Má Nuad)

² Michelle Kinsella, Regional Project Research Assistant, Department of Adult & Community Education, Maynooth University (Ollscoil na hÉireann Má Nuad)

Ireland is a small, modern, trade-dependent open economy. Ireland was among the initial group of 12 EU nations that joined the European Union (then called the European Community (EC)) in 1973 and the euro group of countries in January 2002. The following tables present key statistics in relation to Ireland and the regions selected.

| Table 1. Demographic a | na employment | statistics (2015) | | |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | Republic of | Region 1: | Region 2: | Region 3: |
| | Ireland | City of | Longford/Westmeath | Cavan/Monaghan |
| | | Dublin | - | _ |
| Population | 4,588,252 | 525,383 | 125,164 | 133,666 |
| Employment Rate | 59.6% | 82.6% | 77.1% | 79.1% |
| Unemployment Rate | 14.6% | 17.40% | 22.9% | 20.9% |
| Region Type | | Urban | Midlands | Border |

Table 1: Demographic and employment statistics (2013)

Table 2: County Incomes and Regional GDP

| | Republic of Ireland | Region 1: City of Dublin | Region 2: Longford Westmeath | Region 3: Cavan/Monaghan |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Disposable Income Per Person, 2011 in €* | €19,055 | €21,329 | €17,428 | €16,984 |
| Region Type | Country | Urban | Midlands | Border |

*Source: CSO statistical release, 23 April 2014

From the above tables the reader will note that the Dublin urban region has the highest population, the highest rate of employment, and the highest gross national product (GNP) per person. The Longford/Westmeath region has the highest levels of unemployment but the Cavan/Monaghan region has the lowest gross national product per person.

For the purposes of this research the researchers sought a range of informants. Interviewees included

- Two policy and research officers with national further education organisations
- Two academics in the field of educational policy
- A combination of four adult education officers involved in adult learning delivery in both selected and not selected areas
- One higher executive officer active in administrative delivery of adult learning

| Table | e 3. Interviewees Learning Experience | <u>)</u> |
|-------|--|----------|
| 1. | Formal and non-formal learning | 2 |
| 2. | Formal and informal adult learning | 3 |
| 3. | Formal, informal and non-formal learning | 4 |
| 4. | Formal education | 2 |
| 5. | Not classified | 1 |
| | | 12 |

- One higher executive officer at national government departmental level with responsibility for adult learning policy
- Two officers active in non-governmental organisations (NGOs) delivering adult learning

| 1. | Economic, Social and Cultural goals | |
|----|--|---|
| 2. | Social & cultural followed by economic goals | - |
| 3. | Social and cultural goals | |
| 4. | Social goals | |
| 5. | Economic goals | |
| 6. | Social and Economic goals | |
| 7. | Not classified |] |
| | | |

A total of twelve interviews were conducted in the period June to October 2014. The experience

of the interviewees ranged across formal, non-formal, and informal learning (Table 3). Ireland has a rich mixture of non-formal, informal and formal learning in the adult learning/further education sector. Interviewees also ranged across a combination of education for economic, social and cultural goals within adult

learning policies (Table 4). The interviewees suggested that, in their experience, the primary goal of adult learning policies in Ireland was economic progression with elements of social and cultural education of lesser importance.

Policy Formulation and Implementation

One interviewee noted that recent adult learning/further education policy documents in Ireland emphasise the role of adult learning within the wider societal issues such as social protection, welfare, justice and health. However, they note that adult learning has not yet managed to forge an identity for itself and is still being influenced by a dominant training for enterprise and employment model. Another interviewee noted that in Ireland there was a specific funded policy for training but there was none for adult learning and particularly for community-based adult learning. Since 2014 SOLAS (the national education and training body) centrally prepares the national strategy in adult/further/training and education published in the FET (Further Education and Training) Strategy 2014. One interviewee noted that arising from the 2014 SOLAS FET strategy ETBs (Education and Training Boards) can now make local policy to respond to the needs as identified through local service plans. This interviewee suggests that up to recently there was a large discrepancy between national policy in adult learning/further education and local implementation but ETBs now have the tools, resources and freedom to implement national policy locally. Other interviewees do not agree

with this and suggest that ETBs are being required to implement a dominant economic strategy within their education and training programmes.

In relation to the question "How is Adult learning policy developed/formulated in your region" two of four interviewees responded. Two interviewees suggested that adult learning policy was formulated primarily at national level and two responded that while the policy was formulated at nation level it was possible to adapt it for local application. Local responses are possible if the Education and Training Board (ETB) undertake a local need assessment, and on the basis of the outcomes, define local programmes that correspond with national policy emanating from the national authority. One interviewee noted that key people in further education such as AEOs (Adult Education Officers) and CEFs (Community Education Facilitators) can have policy influence particularly when they work through collective organisations. In Ireland there is a history of adult education policy being formulated by people, particularly women in women's groups, who having been alienated and isolated by social policy began to articulate their own needs in the early 1980s. Entry into the European Union facilitated the voice of a wide range of stakeholders than were previously accommodated in Ireland. However, more recently, the opportunity to influence policy has become more centralised according to some interviewees. These interviewees suggest that nationally and centrally driven policy alone "misses" much of the diversity in the adult/further education sector.

Interviewees active in further education agreed that there is ongoing consultation in the formation of policy. One interviewee referred to the elaborate consultation structure put in place by

| 1 | There are consultations | |
|----|-------------------------|----|
| 1. | There are consultations | 10 |
| 2 | No consultations | |

SOLAS to create the further education strategy. This included a national technical group and advisory group to advice on the

consultation process. SOLAS invited a range of stakeholders to their open consultation process. However, there are mixed views on the effectiveness of this process. While some interviewees favoured this process others suggested the process was used to confirm a market driven strategy that was already in place. One interviewee suggested the consultation process as "entirely tokenistic". Others suggested that it was possible to influence "at the edge".

Interviewees agreed that consultation is a constant formal and non-formal dialogue through events such as national and local conferences, seminars and focus groups to engage stakeholders. They noted that the approach to consultation varies from ETB area to ETB area and is directed by a senior ETB executive(s) who may, or may not, have experience of a particular consultation model.

On further discussion a consensus emerged that consultation in policy-making in Ireland would benefit from a more open consultation methodology.

When the interview progressed to the interviewee experience of preparing policy by publishing green paper and white papers interviewees referred to making submissions to green papers and attending focus groups on adult learning/further education policy in Ireland. They noted that in their experience it was difficult to see an outcome from the time and resource investment in the policy-making process. They noted that it is easy for groups without power to become disheartened when seeking to influence policy.

A further question asked about the range of stakeholders involved in policy consultation. Interviewees suggested that adult learning providers in the form of Education and Training Boards (ETBs) and other interested parties, consulted with a range of stakeholders ranging across higher education, vocational education, civil society, trade unions, business, and particular interest groups. However, a number of interviewees suggested that stakeholders often need education about how the policy-making process works. One interviewee noted that the adult learning landscape in Ireland has changed dramatically over the past few years and that people are not familiar with the new structures and procedures.

In addition to practitioners and learners in lifelong learning interviewees identified other key stakeholders that should be involved in the consultation process. These included non-governmental organisations (NGOs), funders, further education providers, senior civil servants in the Department of Education and Skills, the quality qualifications authority (QQI), policy think-tanks, and other organisations within the lifelong learning field. Interviewees noted that the lifelong learning process is complex and messy, and that consultation with a wide range of stakeholders is essential.

One interviewee noted their wish to see education and training boards (ETBs) used as consultative forums to reach out to the wider community especially during the annual service planning process. Part of the service planning process requires interaction with stakeholders on the ground. This interviewee also noted that, in many cases, there was significant consultation between state agencies in the preparation of local education strategies. They noted that ETBs have representation from a wide range of stakeholders on their boards. They also noted that consultation should not happen just for the sake of it and that a further education and training strategy implementation advisory group around is invaluable. Interviewees noted that in Ireland, because of the significant recent change in further education, there is an opportunity to shape learner engagement in policy formation. However, one interviewee noted that in some cases people are frustrated with consultation because they feel they are not being heard. Further, some of the agency

consultations are not linked up and it appears many agencies are duplicating the same process of information collection.

In the interview discussion about documents that support and inform adult learning/further education consultation the following reports were referred to: PIAAC (http://www.oecd.org/site/piaac/_Technical%20Report_17OCT13.pdf), PISA (http://ec.europa.eu/ireland/press_office/news_of_the_day/ireland-makes-progress-in-pisaassessment_en.htm), OECD reports (https://www.education.ie/en/Publications/Education-Reports/Programme-for-the-International-Assessment-of-Adult-Competencies-PIAAC-2012-Survey-Results-for-Ireland.pdf), CEDEFOP reports

(https://cumulus.cedefop.europa.eu/files/vetelib/2013/2013_CR_IE.pdf), EU reports (http://www.nesse.fr/nesse/activities/reports/mind-the-gap-1), *Further Education and Training Strategy 2014-2019* (http://www.education.ie/en/Publications/Policy-Reports/Further-Educationand-Training-Strategy-2014-2019.pdf) and *An Action Plan for Solas The New Further Education* & *Training Authority* (http://www.education.ie/en/Publications/Policy-Reports/An-Action-Planfor-SOLAS.pdf).

One interviewee noted that local consultations have rarely been published but in the new FET strategy regional further education and training plans will be published. In discussion OECD & CEDEFOP were noted to have a major impact on education policy in Ireland due to the profile, level of research, and quality of production of their policy documents. One interviewee noted that every government pays attention to specific European policies when funding is attached. They noted that policy follows the money and there is a different policy perspective when programmes are funded from the national exchequer compared to funding from external agencies such as the EU.

In discussion about the sharing of practices with other regional and local government authorities interviewees noted that Ireland is not as good as it could be at sharing practice and that most sharing of practice is done informally through internal networks. Interviewees also noted that evaluation has always been a challenge in Ireland. There are uneven levels of evaluation and often the evaluation is only used by individual adult educators to inform their own practice. However, now that there is a new national agency and that a national database is being developed there will be greater opportunity for harvesting the outcomes of evaluations completed at local level. Having noted this interviewees also expressed concern that evaluations and data collecting would focus primarily on the economic impacts of further education and that social/cultural further education would be further marginalised. Interviewees noted that success criteria are already predominantly focused on progression into employment or higher education and do not adequately assess other impacts of citizen value.

The interviewees referred to further education courses focused on employment. They noted that many of the adult learning participants may have left school early or have had a negative experience in formal education. Interviewees accepted the value of FET for employment where participants were ready and able to acess the world of work. The interviewees noted that FET courses may be a means of exiting the poverty trap of the welfare system or for parents to role model engagement in education. Two interviewees referred to examples of programmes that combine economic and social aspects of development. An example is a community employment (CE) scheme with a progression rate (70%) to employment or further education due to its particular learner centered approach.

Interviewees noted the importance of the social inclusion in adult learning programmes. Interviewees noted that the goals of further education should firstly be the socialisation of learners. Adult learning should then encourage cultural awareness and thirdly lead the learner to economic progress. All interviewees agreed that the main goal of adult learning is enhanced citizenship and social participation. They agreed that economic progression emanates from education that is socially and culturally engaging. Interviewees referred to the value of a counselling and guidance services for adult learners. They also referred to the value of community education that brings people together in informal settings. Community education can be the first step into the further adult learning. During this discussion two interviewees again noted the dominant economic focus of the further education strategy and the direction of the national policy emphasising the provision of further education to the long term unemployed and meeting market needs of the employer.

A significant part of the interview was a discussion about the principle challenges in adult learning/further education policy formation. Interviewees were very engaged with this question. One interviewee noted that there is a gap in understanding and perception separating executive management in public service and adult learning/further education activists. Interviewees also suggested that front-line staff involved in the delivery of adult learning programmes have limited understanding of programme management and policy development other than what is happening in their classroom. Further, interviewees suggested that a relatively small number of adult learning/further education staff and activists understand the process of policy formation.

One interviewee noted that as people become more educated they seek a greater level of accountability and empowerment within the policy-making process. They welcomed this as an important development. Some interviewees mentioned the hidden hand of the economy on education. Another interviewee commented that quantitative indicators applied in evaluation do not measure the soft outcomes of adult or community education. They suggested that this is a particular challenge in community education. This interviewee referred to 'More Than Just A Course' Report from AONTAS (2010) noting its value as a ten year longitudinal study and the learning

achievements evidenced in this report. As an example one interviewee commented on a programme run fifteen years ago. Ten course participants from the course are now managing community resource centres, working as youth workers and working as community leaders. Such an outcome is a significant return on investment that can only be seen in the longer term. The interviewee noted that some of the people on this community education course went on to do degrees while others are continuing to impact on the lives of many people in their community. Arising the interview suggested that adult learning policy needs to reflect longer term impacts of further education.

A further interviewee noted the flexibility of adult learning to respond to the needs of learners could be lost in the drive to achieve common standards, particularly QQI (Quality Qualifications Ireland) accreditation. This interviewee suggested that policy needs to recognise that some people who come into adult learning are not ready, or able, to engage in accredited learning at the early stages. Interviewees noted that, in theory, the further education legislation requires a strategy for each ETB area comprising a five year plan and a yearly plan. This interviewee noted that ideally the strategy should encourage responsiveness and flexibility to adult learning needs. However, two interviewees suggested that the current further education and training strategy is urban-centric with a tendency towards a 'one-size-fits-all' approach to adult learning. Adult learning policy should put people first, listen to the providers on the ground, encourage joined up thinking, and plan for the long term. They talked about situations where only level 3 and 4 (National Framework of Qulaifications) adult learning programmes were offered when in reality there was a much more diverse need.

One interviewee suggested that adult learning policy should not be developed in isolation from compulsory education policy. One interviewee noted the political dimension of policy-making is a complex matrix of cross cutting issues. They also noted that there are gaps in hard and soft data and an over dependence on quantitative information as was noted previously. Another interviewee noted that result measurable outcomes dominate adult learning policy development.

During the interview there was discussion about the main policy formulation drivers in the experience of the interviewees. In general interviewees agreed that the primary driver in policy formulation in Ireland is labour market activation. This overshadows everything else with a focus on the short-term outcomes and progression to employment or further/ higher education. Interviewees also affirmed that policy-making is a long-term process. One interviewee noted that the experience of organisations such as AONTAS suggest that impacting on policy can take years. However interviewees also noted that there are opportunities in the changing landscape of adult learning. Interviewees noted that the local ETBs are in a position to interpret policy to meet the needs of learners in their respective areas.

Funding for Adult Learning

Concerning AL-funding the interviewees noted that they were not directly involved in budgeting. While the researchers of this project targeted leaders in adult/further education policy development these people did not have a responsibility for funding. It suggests that there is a separation of policy and strategy development from funding decisions. Interviewees noted that funding for further education is part of the overall education budget and that that is decided at national level. They noted that the budgets of European, cross-border, philanthropic and charitable projects contribute to funding further education especially for disadvantaged groups. They noted that targeted funding is vital where there are learners who have particular disadvantages. Interviewees also noted that there is no budget for policy development at local level and that is the work of the Department of Education and Science.

Key findings

From the foregoing text we can conclude the following: The structure of adult learning are presently going through the most significant change since the founding of the state, leading to new structures and new management positions in place in all the administrative regions in the republic of Ireland. People are settling in to the new roles in their respective areas.

Yet, levels of funding continue to decline arising from the austerity following the financial collapse. Some interviewees are dissatisfied with the trends arising from the new structures. There is a strong sense that the economic agenda has overtaken the learning agenda. Funding and resources are the primary barriers to adult learning in each administrative area. Furthermore, there is limited evidence of inclusive consultative engagement as an input into policy making and programme development.

In the Republic of Ireland adult learning policy is made at national level by the department and delivered to a national education training body in conjunction with funding. Local organisations, education and training boards, in each administrative area are tasked with preparing a development plan and submitting to the national education and training body, solas, to secure funding.

There is no common system for designing policy across the administrative areas or nationally. The national education and training body, SOLAS, recently introduced a consultation system to prepare their first national implementation strategy. While generally welcoming this, interviewees identified a number of shortcomings in this process.

European policy and funding has had a very significant impact on the level and method of delivery of adult learning in the republic of Ireland. Interviewees suggest that a number of significant

lessons have been learned but these are not uniformly applied across all areas. As funding from Europe reduces so does the impact of Europe on the adult learning programmes and their means of delivery.

The interviewees identified a number of generic tools that would be valuable to assist the process of policy formation. These tools include the production of a regular magazine, use of social media, active participation in events, ongoing CPD Education, participation in EU programmes, attending open discussion forums, participation with NGOs and academics discussing policy as well as the utilisation of quantitative and qualitative data.

Furthermore, interviewees also noted that the skill of policy formation in an Irish context is largely illdefined. The most useful tool is consistently engaging with those who make policy and being available to have conversations, write position papers, and input at various levels while policymakers are struggling to respond to demands for policy change. Interviewees are clear that it is the people on the ground they need to be talking to, listening to the voices of those who will be engaging in learning as well as to meet the employers through the chamber of commerce etc.

Conclusions

The key trends emerging from this are that the structures for adult learning are presently going through the most significant change since the founding of the state; arising there are new structures and new management positions in place in all the administrative regions in the republic of Ireland. People are settling in to the new roles in their respective areas. The levels of funding continue to decline arising from the austerity following the financial collapse. Consequently, funding and resources are the primary barriers to adult learning in each administrative area.

In the republic of Ireland adult learning policy is made at national level by the department and delivered to a national education training body in conjunction with funding. There is no common system for designing policy across the administrative areas or nationally. Finally, as funding from Europe reduces so does the impact of Europe on the adult learning programmes and their means of delivery.

Bibliography

Cavan County Council Department of Community and Enterprise. (2009). Social Inclusion Handbook 2009. Cavan County Council . http://www.cavancoco.ie/file/community/social%20inclusion/Social%20Inclusion%20Handbo ok.pdf

- Cavan County Council. (2014-2020). Draft Cavan County Development Plan Planning Department Forward. Cavan: Cavan County Council.
- Cedefop. 2013. Ireland: VET in Europe: Country Report. Available at https://cumulus.cedefop.europa.eu/files/vetelib/2013/2013_CR_IE.pdf
- Central Statistics Office. (2011) CSO Area Profile for County Dublin City (2011a). Retrieved January, 2015, from http://census.cso.ie/areaprofiles/PDF/CTY/dublincity.pdf
- Central Statistics Office. (2011) CSO Area Profile for County Longford. Retrieved December, 2014, from http://census.cso.ie/areaprofiles/PDF/CTY/longford.pdf
- Central Statistics Office. (2011). Census of Population 2011 Preliminary Results. Retrieved December, 2014.
- Central Statistics Office. (2011). Census of Population 2011 Preliminary Results. Retrieved January 2015, from Central Statistics Office http://www.cso.ie/en/media/csoie/census/documents/Prelim%20complete.pdf
- Central Statistics Office. (2012). Census of Population 2011 Preliminary Results. Retrieved July 2014, from Central Statistics Office

http://www.cso.ie/en/media/csoie/census/documents/Prelim%20complete.pdf

Central Statistics Office. (2012). PIAAC: Survey Results for Ireland. Available at https://www.education.ie/en/Publications/Education-Reports/Programme-for-the-International-Assessment-of-Adult-Competencies-PIAAC-2012-Survey-Results-for-Ireland.pdf

Dublin City Development Plan 2016-2022. Retrieved January 2015, from http://dublincitydevelopmentplan.ie/index.html

Longford Community Resources Ltd. (n.d). Retrieved December 16, 2014, Retrieved December 2014 from http://lcrl.ie/Main-Programmes/traveller-primary-healthcare-project/

Longford County Development Board. (n.d.).Review of "The Way Forward" Strategy for Economic, Social and Cultural Development for County Longford 2002-2011. Action Plan 2006-2008. Retrieved December 16, 2014, from http://www.longfordcoco.ie/uploadedFiles/LongfordCoCo/Our_Departments/County_Devel opment_Board/Documents/General_Documents/CDBActionPlan.pdf

Longford County Development Plan 2009-2015. Retrieved December 16, 2014 from http://www.longfordcoco.ie/uploadedFiles/LongfordCoCo/Our_Departments/Planning/Docum ents/Draft_County_Development_Plan/Longford%20County%20Dev

- NESSE. 2012. Mind The Gap: Education Inequality Across EU Regions. Available at http://www.nesse.fr/nesse/activities/reports/mind-the-gap-1
- OECD. Technical Report of the Survey of Adult Skills. 2013. Available at http://www.oecd.org/site/piaac/_Technical%20Report_17OCT13.pdf.
- SOLAS. 2014. Further Education and Training Strategy 2014-2019. Available at http://www.education.ie/en/Publications/Policy-Reports/Further-Education-and-Training-Strategy-2014-2019.pdf
- SOLAS. 2013. An Action Plan for Solas. The New Further Education and Training (FET) Authority. Available at http://www.education.ie/en/Publications/Policy-Reports/An-Action-Plan-for-SOLAS.pdf

Comparative Analysis Michael Kenny and Michelle Kinsella

Introduction

This comparative analysis consolidates findings stemming from the country profiles presented in chapter three. Partners adopted a common methodological approach for both primary and secondary research, analysis and extrapolation of key findings for the compilation of the country profiles. The analysis identifies areas of commonality and areas of difference in Adult Learning (AL) policy making across countries and regions investigated in the framework of the REGIONAL Project. It hinges on the findings of the country profiles of each country consolidating specific knowledge of the cultural and political situations of the individual countries and regions which is necessary to meaningfully interpret the information provided by interviewees and extracted from policy documents. Since this knowledge fed into the country profiles already, which systematically and comprehensively assess all available data, these documents were used as a basis for the comparative analysis of policy making processes across Europe. Therefore, where country profiles are directly referenced within this document the following format will apply;

| Ireland | (Regional_ie) |
|----------|---------------|
| Germany | (Regional_de) |
| Italy | (Regional_it) |
| Serbia | (Regional_rs) |
| Slovakia | (Regional_sk) |
| Hungary | (Regional_hu) |

Policy Formulation

The way in which AL policy is formed differs across the six partner countries (Germany, Hungary, Italy, Ireland, Serbia and Slovakia). The country profiles of Ireland, Slovakia and Serbia explicitly state that AL policy is determined at country or national level. However, while the country profiles of Serbia and Slovakia are definitive in that policy is decided at national level, there is discrepancy amongst Irish interviewees. One interviewee commented that in Ireland under the new Education and Training Authority (SOLAS) it is possible to make local policy which responds to the needs identified through local service plans (Regional_ie, chapter 3.3). However, this view was not shared by all interviewees. Two participants commented that AL policy was formulated primarily at

national level and a further two responded that while it was formulated nationally it was possible to adapt it for local application (Regional_ie, chapter 3.3).

The country profile of Germany states that "education is a political field that is not allocated to the central government but to those of the Federal States" (Regional_de, chapter 3.1) suggesting that AL policy in Germany is formulated at a regional level. The country profile of Italy states that while central government provides overall supervision and co-ordination for policy guidelines and targets "the regions are responsible for planning and implementing labour and AL policies" (Regional_it, chapter 3.4). The country profile of Hungary offers another model of policy determination. Hungary notes that "the main education policy principles are defined by the competent line ministries, but principles are tailored to the real needs and expectations on the local level" (Regional_hu, chapter 3.2).

We can determine from the country profiles of the six REGIONAL Project partner countries that AL policy is determined at national level by one or more ministries in five countries, and is determined at regional level in one country, Germany. However, it should also be noted that in Germany, where AL policy is determined at regional level, funding for AL is also budgeted for at regional level. Further the relative size of the regions in Germany suggests a level of scale that is not possible for Slovakia, Serbia, Ireland, and Hungary. This suggests that even though the European Commission highlighted regional disparities in Adult Education in "Mind the Gap: Education Inequality across EU Regions" (p.13) in 2012, in most countries researched here the individual regions are not catered for individually on a regional level, Interviewee responses cited in the country reports for five of the six REGIONAL Project countries suggest regions are not autonomous for AL policy making purposes. Rather AL policy is determined at a supra-regional level. In most cases this is at the national level. However, in Germany where regions are individual entities for EU analysis and funding, even though AL policies are made on regional or a sub-national level, the relevant processes are still located on a supra-regional level (i.e. NUTS 1).

The Purpose of AL

While the instrumental role of AL is always recognised – to varying degrees among countries and regions – there is a difference in the way AL is perceived as a means to greater social integration and economic empowerment. In some countries, AL encompasses the entire spectrum of social and economic development of individuals, even with differentiation among programmatic AL actions targeted at a diverse audience (this is the case of Germany where AL is considered also a key means of social integration for specific target groups of the population such as the elderly). Conversely, in

other countries AL seems to be considered purely for its economic empowerment traits, sometimes at the boundaries with VET.

In the country profile of Ireland, interviewees suggested that the goal of AL policies in their experience was "predominantly economic, with elements of social and cultural education" (Regional_ie, chapter 3.3). In the country profile of Ireland there is no specific reference made to any consensus having been reached from interviews as to whether AL is predominantly formal, informal or non-formal. The interviewee responses indicate a variation in how the purpose of AL is perceived with four respondents referring to it as formal, informal and non-formal, while two commented that it was formal and non-formal, two believed it to be formal and three participants believed the purpose of AL to be formal and informal. One interviewee noted that "recent AL/further education policy documents emphasise the role of AL within the wider societal issues such as social protection, welfare, justice and health" (Ibid). The interviewee also noted that AL has not yet managed to forge an identity for itself and is still being influenced by a dominant model of training for enterprise and employment (Ibid). Another interviewee in the country profile noted that in Ireland there was a "specific funded policy for training but there was none for AL and particularly for community-based AL" (Ibid).

The text of the REGIONAL project country profiles highlights that interviewees are concerned with the goals of AL policies within their respective countries. As noted above, many interviewees in the Irish country profile noted their concern about the dominant influence of economic goals currently evident in programmes arising from AL/further education policy. Some interviewees however suggest that economic goals emanate from education that is socially and culturally engaging (Regional_ie, chapter 3.3), and they also refer to the importance of guidance and counselling services and community education to bring people together through informal courses that might encourage participants into further learning. These comments highlight the concerns of some interviewees that the ethos of AL is being colonised by market orientation and desire for economic outcomes.

The country profile of Slovakia refers to the law on lifelong learning adopted in 2009 and "amended several times and now a new law is being prepared" (Regional_sk, chapter 3.6). Slovakia reports that in the context of AL, the national agencies deal mainly with non-formal and informal education reporting that "in interviews, the regional representatives referred to formal education and referred to secondary (professional schools) which partially offer also further education in their premises" (Ibid). The profile states that this reflects the findings that "the concept of AL (AL) policy is not developed at the regional level". AL in Slovakia is connected with formal education and with the perception that providers of formal education are also providers of courses for adults that can

help to reduce unemployment (Ibid). The country profile of Slovakia also states that the goal of AL policy is perceived as a combination of economic and social goals but states that the social goal was connected to the economic "when the economic situation improves, also the social conditions improve". The country profile of Slovakia reports that "most respondents see a clear link between the AL policy and actions for work" (Regional_sk, chapter 3.6).

The country profile of Germany reports that "eight out of nine interviewees" indicated that the goals of AL in their region is social and cultural (Regional_de, chapter 3.1). This country profile finds that only "six out of nine interviewees consider economic goals to be important but none of the interviewees from the Saxony-Anhalt region express this" (Ibid). Considering the comparatively tense economic situation in Saxony-Anhalt, the authors of the country profile of Germany conclude from this information that "it may well be that work-related AL is perceived as a social measure in more difficult economic situations and as an economic measure in more comfortable economic situations" (Ibid). The country profile of Germany notes that formal education is identified as the main type of AL goal promoted through the policy actions by interviewee responses (Regional_de, chapter 3.1). However, the number of adults participating in non-formal and informal learning is much higher than the number of participants in formal adult education (See AES 2012). However, this is only reflected in a minority of the answers given by interviewees.

AL in Serbia is governed by a new Law in Adult Education which came into effect in January 2014. The law "regulates the area of adult education in the context of lifelong learning and, for the first time regulates non-formal education" (Regional_rs, chapter 3.5). AL in Serbia is reported as being "implemented through formal, non-formal and informal education" (Ibid). The country profile of Serbia reports that the "strategic framework of the national education policy is well developed" (Ibid). Human resource development is reported as being well developed and defined as a priority within adult education. The report refers to a number of strategies in this regard, one of which is the Adult Education Strategy (Ibid) which it states is based on the premise that "adult education is a strong factor of economic development, improvement of productivity and competitiveness of the economy, improvements of employability and reduction of regional disproportions in economic development of the Republic in Serbia" (Ibid). The report states that the social and cultural goals of AL are perceived more from the challenge of the "large rate of illiteracy in the country" and that "other stakeholders put priority on economic goal, taking into consideration the high unemployment rate both on national and local/regional levels" (Ibid).

In the country profile of Hungary the authors note that most respondents to the Hungarian interviewers support formal adult education which operates under the AL Act. The authors note that the AL Act is the most important source of regulation for the field and that AL is a standalone item

(Regional_hu, chapter 3.2). The country profile of Hungary states that AL is directly related to unemployment and for this reason it is assumed that the goals of AL in Hungary are economic rather than social or cultural (Regional_hu, chapter 3.2). In their comments on the analysis of interviews with reference to Italy (Regional_it, chapter 3.4), the authors report that almost all respondents highlighted the importance of non-formal and informal education for adults. However, the authors' report points out that the perceptions captured during the interviews "are not reflected in the policy documents and statement identified at secondary research stage" (Regional_it, chapter 3.4). The country profile of Italy also mentions that in the Basilicata region in particular, some interviewees raised a concern about the lack of recognition of non-formal and informal elerning opportunities (Ibid). In Italy only some regions have already implemented a system of recognition of competences. In addition the country profile of Italy refers to the complexities surrounding the goals of AL and while social and cultural goals are mentioned, the authors state "for most part of the policy, the objective of AL seems to be biased towards economic growth" (Regional_it, chapter 3.4).

It is worth noting the disparities that exist amongst interviewees within the different regions. For instance, the country profile of Italy specifically mentions that interviewees have been carried out in five regions and included 16 interviewees for the primary and secondary research: Abruzzo (1), Basilicata (5), Lombardy (1), Piedmont (5), Tuscany (4). These regions according to the profile "were selected for their representativeness of the diversity of economic and social structures as well as for their geographical representation, covering the main geographical areas of Italy: North (Piedmont, Lombardy), Centre (Toscana), South (Abruzzo, Basilicata)". The key point here is that these regions present different economic structures and can be considered as representative of the country (Regional_it, chapter 3.4). The implications of this are discussed later in the report under Policy Implementation. The REGIONAL partner from Slovakia chose two regions that differ in terms of structure and demographics. Bratislavský Self-Governing Region and Prešovský Self-Governing Region were chosen as examples of two very different settings in Slovakia: "Bratislavsky Region represents a central region, situated around the capital city, it is a competitiveness region, rich, urban, industrial, and covering a small area. The Prešovský Region is a remote region, lying in the easternmost part of the country, agricultural, rural, convergence region covering a relatively large area. The number of inhabitants is similar in both regions" (Regional_sk, chapter 3.6). The country profile of Hungary specifically mentions that while interviewees were selected with consideration of project elements, regional characteristics and the covering of relevant regions, the "almost non-existing regional AL implementation differences in Hungary and the generally applied countrywide authority of the different interviewees made it mainly irrelevant" (Regional_hu, chapter 3.2).

Interviews in all country reports suggest a correlation between the purpose of AL emphasized and the demographic circumstances of the regions. To summarize, the country profile of Ireland concludes that the purpose of AL is predominantly economic. The country profile of Slovakia states that the social goal is connected to economic goals in that when the economic situation improves so therefore does the social situation. In Serbia, taking the high unemployment rate into account, AL is concerned with reducing that rate of unemployment and so some stakeholders prioritize economic goals. In relation to social and cultural goals the country profile of Serbia reports a concern with the high rates of illiteracy in the country.

The country profile of Germany surmises, from interviewee responses, that perhaps there is a different sense of the purpose for AL depending on the economic situation at the given time. The country profile of Germany mentions that in the case of Saxony-Anhalt it appears that work related AL is understood as a social measure in situations of economic difficulty, but in more comfortable economic situations it is perceived as an economic measure. The situation in Hungary is much like Serbia in that AL goals are directly related to unemployment and its reduction. The country profile of Italy mentions the difficulties that surround AL goals and also states there appears to be a bias towards economic growth in relation to AL policy. Consequently, the country profiles imply tensions surrounding social, cultural and economic goals of AL which are evident in the AL implementation programmes and the structuring of the AL sector.

The following figures 1 and 2 illustrate a breakdown of the roles formal, non-formal, informal and social, cultural, economic goals which have been identified from partner country interview data (the country profiles) as relevant. It is notable in Figure 1 that there is no definitive certainty of the relative importance of formal, non-formal and informal learning as there appears to be some discrepancies amongst how each term is interpreted. Similarly in Figure 2 the authors of country reports have not drawn a conclusion on predominance of economic, social or cultural goals and outcomes in AL.

| Country | Formal | Non-formal | Informal |
|----------|------------------|------------|----------|
| Ireland | No consensus rea | ached | · |
| Germany | 1 | 1 | |
| Italy | | 1 | 1 |
| Serbia | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Slovakia | | 1 | 1 |
| Hungary | 1 | | |

Table 1 The Purpose of AL - Types of learning

Table 2 The Purpose of AL - Goals

| Country | Economic Goals | Social Goals | Cultural Goals |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Ireland | 1 | | |
| Germany | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Italy | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Serbia | 1 | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Slovakia | 1 | 1 | |
| Hungary | 1 | | |

Consultation Process

While the country profiles of all REGIONAL research project partners noted that consultation is part of the policy making process in their country, differences in the methods used for consultation were noted. Interviews reported on in the country profile of Ireland noted that while consultations for policy-making take place through events such as conferences, seminars and focus groups at local and national level, the approach to consultation varies from Education and Training Board (ETB) area to ETB area. In this country profile interviewees referred to the need of involvement of a wide range of stakeholders in the policy-making consultation process, and that it was evident that "consultation in policy-making in Ireland would benefit from a more open consultation methodology' (Regional ie, chapter 3.3). The authors of the country profile of Italy note that the "active consultation process is behind the policy formulation in all the regions investigated" (Regional_it, chapter 3.4). However, they also comment that there are some differences in the stage at which third party stakeholders become involved in the process from region to region. In some cases stakeholders become involved at identification stage and in some cases later after policy is formulated but still open for inputs (Ibid). In addition, the country profile of Italy notes that "from the primary research efforts, it appears that some regions are better equipped (with more structured consultation processes) and better empowered (with more reliable data sources) than others" (Ibid). The authors also note that "Such a fragmentation in approaches may lead to an uneven result in policy making to identify crucial issues and mismatches between labour market needs and AL approaches" (Ibid). The interviewees in the country profile of Slovakia report that consultations are undertaken at the beginning of the policy making process when a need is identified (Regional_sk, chapter 3.6). The authors note, however, that consultation reports are only formalized and published in the explanatory memorandum (Ibid) when there is a statutory instrument in place to support a programme of action.

Both the German and Serbian partner country profiles report that consultation takes place through existing networks. In the case of Serbia it is noted that "In all sectors national or umbrella organisations provide networks and play coordinating roles in consultation procedures. As an example the National Employment Service consults with the centers for social work and nationalto-local boards for education" (Regional_rs, chapter 3.5). Similarly, in the country profile of Germany it is noted that "the stakeholders to be consulted in the policy making process are mainly selected through existing structures and networks" (Regional_de, chapter 3.1). All interviewees from Serbia are reported as having emphasised the need for more extensive and regular intra-sectoral consultations (Regional_rs, chapter 3.5) while the country profile of Germany states that interviewees indicated "consultation with other units, departments and services with public administration or within their organization" (Regional_de, chapter 3.1). In the case of country profile of Hungary it is reported that consultations were a significant element and "The purpose of such consultations is to ensure the concordance of adult education and adult training policy with the general social and economic strategies" (Regional_hu, chapter 3.2).

With regard to the consultation process a disparity was identified in how it is undertaken across regions. The country profiles of Ireland and Italy report varying procedures for consultation impacting on the effectiveness of AL policy. The country profiles of Serbia and Germany both report that existing networks play a key role in consultation procedures. Interestingly, the basic mechanism of using formal networks is similar in the regions of Germany presented in the country profile even though the processes are completely separate and in no way centrally regulated. This might be due to close cooperation of German regions through consultations at government department level. However, the networks are in all cases set up differently in accordance with the general regionalisation of the adult education field. In terms of regional disparities the Irish example is especially telling because while the country report of Ireland states that policy formulation is done on the national level, the consultations feeding into the policy formulation process are spread and individualised across the country. Arising regional needs are recognised as important inputs into national policies. This is further reflected by the newly established ETB structures.

The importance and utilisation of data documents

When asked about familiarity and utilisation of EU documents and reports to inform policy-making, interviewees for the country profile of Ireland referred to the range of PIAAC, PISA, OECD, and CEDEFOP documents. National level documents such as the 2014 *Further Education and Training Strategy* (2014-2019) and *An Action Plan for Solas* (the recently formed Irish Further Education and Training Authority) were also referred to as vital documents which inform policy-making (Regional_ie, chapter 3.3).

All of the research participants interviewed for the country profile of Italy referred explicitly to national, European and international policy frameworks, strategies and tools as very important to

inform policy-making. The policy formulation of AL policies in all target regions in Italy is supported by data and statistics with varying degree of corroboration according to the country profile. Some regions profiled rely on data and information provided by the National Institute of Statistics (ISTAT) or by regional research centers (i.e. Piedmont: IRES, Istituto di Ricerche Economico Sociali del Piedmont; Tuscany: IRPET, Istituto Regionale per la Programmazione Economica della Toscana). However, the authors of the country profile of Italy note that the "non-elected and technical interviewees seemed to be better informed of the various tools available, such as Europe 2020, PIAAC and AES" (Regional_it, chapter 3.4).

The country profile of Germany notes that documents consulted at a regional level are regional development plans and national education lifelong learning policies. "When designing AL policies all interviewees consider specific data-sources: mostly regional, but also national, European and international materials. It is striking though that three out of nine interviewees had no knowledge of the PIAAC study and two did not have knowledge of AES". (Regional_de, chapter 3.1).

The country profile of Serbia reports that "all stakeholders base their policy planning in accordance with the National policies and strategies" and also "all interviewees indicate the national education strategy as the key strategy, with some references that the national strategy follows the basics of European policies implied by the EC. National ministry representative indicates Europe 2020 strategy and International documents, but not specifically" (Regional_rs, chapter 3.5). The country profile of Slovakia notes that, in relation to documents utilized, the following are considered "at national level: the Law on LLL (Lifelong Learning) and Strategy on LLL, government programme; on the EU level: Europa 2020, Structural Funds documents and AL documents. Regarding the data sources, there are Eurostat data used, national statistics (on further education, for example), regional statistics" (Regional_sk, chapter 3.6). Interviewees from Hungary mention having knowledge of PIAAC and AES. However the country profile of Hungary states that when devising policy "National and local data are used" (Regional_hu, chapter 3.2).

A number of conclusions can be drawn from this comparison. Firstly, it is striking that only interviewees from those countries who, at least partly, make policies on regional levels use regional data sources. This may either mean that no regional data is available in those countries, or that they are not acknowledged as useful resources by policy makers on the national level. Further, it seems that regional specifics are in many cases not taken into consideration which indicates that regional disparities are not appropriately tackled. As in the chapter on policy formulation above, it has to be noted that Germany does not present an exception here, since the regions in this research are Nuts 1. Italy seems to be the only exception in this analysis, however, regional data is not used in all regions under research for the REGIONAL project.

Secondly, the comparison across the regions suggests that generally data at all levels is used. There seems to be no clear preference among the policy makers; rather they use a broad selection. This indicates that data from regional, national, European and international levels are useful in regional and national AL policy making.

A third interesting finding is the Italian observation of a discrepancy between elected and nonelected policy makers as to their use of data. The non-elected policy makers appear to be better informed than their elected counterparts. This corresponds to a German finding that is not related to data access but to general political structures, which emphasises the relative irrelevance of elected policy makers in the policy making process. In Germany non-elected policy makers play a much larger role (Regional_de, chapter 3.1).

Policy Implementation

While it is both expected and notable from the REGIONAL project country profiles that the implementation procedure of AL policy differs across the countries and in some cases between regions within countries, a number of important factors should be noted. The country profile of Ireland reports on the countrywide reorganisation of statutory AL/further education structures including new legislation. The report refers to the formation of the new national education and training agency (SOLAS) and the reorganisation of 33 Vocational Education Committees (VECs) into 16 Education and Training Boards (ETBs). Some interviewee responses note that it is difficult to implement policy at local level due to a "dominant economic strategy" (Regional_ie, chapter 3.3) predetermining the education and training programmes delivered within the relevant region. In addition, one interviewee suggests that the newly established Education and Training Boards were now being given resources to allow an interpretation of policy through implementation at local level (Ibid). The profile also refers to Interviewees mentioning the national database being developed to support more efficient AL programme evaluation systems. The interviewees in the country profile of Ireland express concern that "evaluations and data collecting would focus primarily on the economic impacts of further education and that social/cultural further education would be further marginalised" (Regional_ie, chapter 3.3). They note that success criteria for AL programmes "are already predominantly focused on progression into employment or higher education and do not adequately assess other impacts of citizen value" (Regional_ie, chapter 3.3). The focus on AL for economic goals is most evident in the country profile of Ireland, but this tension is evident in other country profiles. For instance as was previously outlined in the section on the purpose of AL, interviewees in the country profile of Ireland commented that they accept the merit of further education and training as an avenue for employment for those ready and able to access the world of work. However as practitioners, managers, and academics they also emphasised the wider social goal of AL as "enhanced citizenship and social participation" (Regional_ie, chapter 3.3).

The interviews in the REGIONAL project country profiles highlight interviewees' concerns with the goals of AL policies within their respective countries. As noted above, interviewees in the country profile of Ireland noted their concern about the dominant influence of economic goals currently evident in programmes arising from AL/further education policy. Interviewees suggest that economic goals emanate from education that is socially and culturally engaging (Regional_ie, chapter 3.3), and they referred also to the value of guidance and counselling services and community education to bring people together through non-formal courses that might ease learners into further learning.

As is referred to previously in this document the country profile of Italy suggests that a fragmented picture of AL policies, actions and programmes emerged from the primary research that informs their country profile. The authors of the Italian profile note that "the AL ecosystem remains highly fragmented and the current mechanisms of consultation and dialogue may not suffice in ensuring coherence, especially at implementation stage" (Regional_it, chapter 3.4). A challenge identified in the region of Basilicata is noted as "mapping of educational and labor market needs evolution and to promptly respond to those" (Ibid). The country profile of Italy also noted that there exists a "generalised 'distance' between policy statements and programmatic reality on the ground: education and learning are the main drivers of economic growth, competitiveness and social inclusion; the crucial role of AL is well-acknowledged in every national and European programme. However, the perspective from the ground is rather different with limited implementation in the region" (Ibid). Respondents from Tuscany report "an unclear regulatory framework at times generating confusion on the specific roles and responsibilities when it comes to AL programmes and actions" (Ibid). The country profile of Italy refers to a lack of an integrated approach which they attribute to a "generalised low awareness of AL opportunities available to society at large" (Ibid). The country profile of Germany also refers to the various actions which arise from AL policies including such things as grants to cover tuition, fees, materials, resources and funding of various partnerships between education institutions and industries (Regional_de, chapter 3.1). The country profile of Germany also notes launching campaigns to encourage adults to "update their knowledge and skills as well as organizing conferences to reach adults" (Ibid). In general the funding of institutions was considered the most important feature of policy implementation and the country profile of Germany mentions that this corresponds to the regional Adult Education Acts that emphasise and ensure such activity. However, interviewees from the Lower Saxony region "stressed that limited funds are an issue" (Ibid). Furthermore they refer to a correlation between a view of the field of AL as politically marginalized and the lack of funding available. An additional problem that is noted by interviewees from different regions within Germany is "that AL has a negative image because it is either associated with deficiencies that have to be corrected or it is reduced to arts and crafts" (Ibid).

In the country profile of Slovakia, interviewees identify activities that they state are accompanying measures to AL but are not directly linked with implementing policy. For example they refer to training courses, not reported or registered, offered by regional level secondary schools. At national level the interviewees list conferences and seminars as promoting AL to increase the interest of citizens but they also identify a lack of interest in AL/further education from the perspective of citizens in general; a lack of funds to finance actions; a lack of training offered in relation to labour market needs; that no system of recognition of results is achieved from non-formal training; that no system of recognition of trainers' knowledge/skills is obtained through practice; the lack of definition for AL as a stand-alone policy by the Ministry of Education; the low level of cooperation between state regulatory agencies and private providers of training for adults; and the need for professional training for personal growth rather than only focusing on employability. (Regional_sk, chapter 3.6).

Slovakian interviewees refer to the valuable AL programmes of the National Lifelong Learning Institute but also note criticisms for "not building upon what already exists, not cooperating with private providers and creating new structures that have questionable future after the project is finished" (Ibid).

The country profile of Serbia notes that AL and training policy implementation in Serbia is provided by private education services/providers. When adult learners complete basic education they then have the opportunity to continue their formal education and acquire additional qualifications from the National Employment Service (Regional_rs, chapter 3.5). The country profile of Serbia refers to seventy primary schools delivering "functional basic adult education" and "80 high schools delivering secondary AL programmes" (Ibid). The National Employment Service in Serbia provides free non-formal AL programmes to increase the chances of the participants in securing employment. However, as stated in other country profiles, the research reported in the Serbian country profile notes a lack of co-ordination between national and local level delivery of AL programmes. The interviewees for the country profile of Serbia state that local government authorities are often uninformed about the process and procedures of functional elementary education and that "better information and coordination between the local and national level is necessary" (Ibid). The country profile states that "from all answers it is indicated that there is an

overall problem of lack of or insufficient coordination and sharing of experience with other national and regional stakeholders and partners" (Ibid).

AL policy implementation across the regions is directly related in all instances to the particular requirements of the individual regions and, as such, is utilised in such a way as to respond to need. However, where the country profile of Germany can clearly identify that funding provision is an important aspect of policy implementation, this is not the case in Italy where there appears to be a variance in how AL is understood and appreciated across the regions. In general, there seem to be a number of problems in the implementation of AL policies, but these are difficult to categorise because they are very diverse. This supports the conclusions drawn above that knowledge from the individual regions is necessary for successful policy making. Furthermore it supports the EU findings of regional disparities and the demand for regionally specific policies.

Funding

Funding is reported by interviewees as being a challenge for all partner countries. All of the partners report that EU funding plays a part in the implementation of AL policy, however, for some it appears there is greater reliance upon such funding than for others. In addition, while some partners provide funding sources details others have not been able to provide clarity around these issues.

Interviewees in the country profiles of Ireland and Serbia note that they did not have information pertaining to specific funding allocation or that they did not have responsibility for funding. The country profiles of Serbia notes that "sources of funding are mainly from public sources and in cases EU funds" (Regional_rs, chapter 3.5). They also comment on the difficulty in accessing information regarding the division of funding for AL without any input from the finance department (Regional_rs, chapter 3.5). A similar situation is noted in the country profile of Ireland. In Ireland it was noted that funding is decided at national level but that a number of "European and non-governmental budgets contribute to funding in further education" (Regional_ie, chapter 3.5). In addition, the country profile of Ireland notes that no budget is set aside for policy development at local level (Ibid). Public Private Partnerships (PPPs) are not a component of AL funding in Ireland while the country profile of Serbia notes that PPPs are not a means of funding except in one case where business contributes a small amount during a practice course.

The country profiles of Italy notes that funding is a "critical element affecting policy formulation and implementation" (Regional_it, chapter 3.4), and reports that all interviewees identified the European Social Fund as the main source of funding for AL. Furthermore, "in all the regions investigated, the EU funds are the key financial resource" (Ibid), except in the case of Lombardy region where they report that AL depends on a blend of financial resources from European, regional and national sources. The country profile of Italy reports that PPPs are not usual practice and it is noted that "the low adoption and use of PPP instruments may be due to a different set of reasons ranging from low interest of the private sector to engage in the provision of AL services; low capacity of the public sector to structure and manage PPP deals; cumbersome procedures of PPP mechanisms; and so on" (Ibid).

The country profile of Slovakia reports a similar situation whereby funding of AL at a national level is 100% financed by EU funds whereas at regional level a combination of national and regional funds were funding sources. In the case of Slovakia PPPs are not usual practice and there are no rules/guidelines in place regarding PPPs. The country profile of Slovakia also points out that several interviewees mentioned that there is insufficient funding and an absence of AL funding (Regional_sk, chapter 3.6).

In the case of the country profile of Hungary funding is reported as being provided from EU and national funds predominantly and by private funding to a lesser extent. PPP contributions are forbidden by regulation in Hungary. The country profile of Hungary notes that this "excessive rigidity of regulation and the lack of required funding" (Ibid) pose the greatest challenge.

The country profile of the German REGIONAL partner states that all three REGIONAL project research regions have Adult Education Acts. Therefore, funding for AL providers is regulated by legislation. The country profile of Germany gives an in-depth breakdown of the regional financing of AL and notes that funding is allocated from national, regional and EU funds. Nonetheless the country profile of Germany states that "it is difficult to establish a clear figure of EU-funding because it is hardly possible to helpfully decide what is AL and what is not" (Regional_de, chapter 3.1). The authors of the country profile of Germany report conflicting interviewee answers in relation to the ranking of funding sources and attribute this to the difficulty with defining a clear distinction of EU funding in AL programmes. It is also noted that private funding plays an important role in funding AL in Germany, mainly in the form of participation fees and of providers' own funds in case of churches, trade unions, etc. However, as private funding is received directly by AL providers in addition to public funding the authors state that "clear statements are very difficult here" (Ibid). PPPs are sources of funding in the three German regions selected for research. However, the country profile text presents diverse answers about PPPs as sources of funding due to the varying perceptions of what a PPP is or is not.

The complexity surrounding funding is borne out across all regions with all partners reporting that interviewees found it difficult to nominate the exact amount of funding provided to AL, or where funding is sourced from. This may be due to a variety of reasons: Firstly, in many cases it is unclear which policy measures are counted as AL measures and which are not. Especially in relation

to EU funding of labour market policies it is often difficult to decide which of those measures may be considered AL measures. Secondly, the multiplicity of stakeholders active in AL policies and the corresponding variety of budgets complicates the matter. In many regions or countries AL is funded from different government departments, often as a by-product or minor project. This, the profiles suggest, makes it difficult, especially for individual policy makers, to gain a funding overview. Thirdly, in many cases the origin of funds becomes blurred because the money passes through different budgets. For example, in cases, EU funds can be transferred into national budgets, that may in turn be transferred to regional and possibly even local budgets. Individual policy makers can then not distinguish where particular money for AL programmes comes from.

As a consequence it is difficult to establish a clear picture of the funding situation across the regions researched. Therefore, the conclusion that can be drawn here is rather the existence of the difficulty in AL funding itself. However the research notes that European funding plays an influential role alongside national and regional sources. Yet, the exact amounts or relative amounts coming from different sources are not clear for many policy makers.

Conclusion

The comparative report arising from the research from the six countries in the REGIONAL project suggests that AL policy is predominantly formed through a top-down process (5 out of 6 countries) where statutory educational agencies and/or departments determine the direction of AL programmes and the levels of funding/resources available to support these programmes. There is a dependence on AL policy formulated at national level other than in Germany where policy is formed by federal governments. In general AL policy is not formed at local or regional level and only in some cases interpreted by local or regional administrations.

Furthermore, AL delivery remains strongly connected to the delivery of second level education and vocational training in most countries such that AL is not developed as a separate and standalone policy item at regional level. The goals of AL are predominantly economic and social but the expectation of the return from investment in AL is contested between countries, across regions and among stakeholders. The primary interview research in the comparative report notes that non-formal and informal AL is highly valued in practice but not adequately acknowledged in policy or supported by the allocation of resources in practice.

The concept of consultation for AL policy formation is recognised in each partner country but the process and methods of engaging stakeholders in consultation is not systematised or standardised across countries and, in some cases within countries between regions. Arising, there is uneven and intermittent engagement of AL stakeholders for the purposes of achieving optimal input into AL policy making. European and OECD published documents are important sources of background information for AL policy formation but the level of usage and the level of familiarity with these documents varies. A range of baseline data sources are accessed to varying extents leading to challenges in inter-regional and inter-country comparison. Finally, greater utilisation of regional and local qualitative/quantitative data, mapped to AL need and delivery, would enhance responsiveness to local and regional need, enhance consistency, promote quality assurance, and ensure greater adherence to policy priorities.

Summary of key issues

| Research Criteria | Germany | Hungary | Ireland | Italy | Serbia | Slovakia |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|--------------|-------|--------|----------|
| Policy determined at regional | 1 | No | No | 1 | No | No |
| level | | | | | | |
| Economic goals predominate | No | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| AL has an independent identity | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | < |
| in policy | | | | | | |
| Formal learning remains | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| predominant programme in AL | | | | | | |
| Funding budgets for AL are | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| separate and explicit | | | | | | |
| Country profiles confirm policy | 1 | 1 | \checkmark | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| makers use specific AL data | | | | | | |
| sources national and/or | | | | | | |
| European. | | | | | | |

Bibliography

NESSE (Network of Experts on Social Aspects of Education and Training) Report. 2012. Mind The Gap Education Inequality Across EU Regions See http://www.nesetweb.eu/content-resource-library. Cardiff School of Social Sciences at Cardiff University, Wales.

Regional_de. Germany Country Profile, (2015). GERMANY REGIONAL Comparative Analysis of Regional Policies for AL, Niedersächsischer Bund für freie Erwachsenenbildung e.V. Agentur für Erwachsenen- und Weiterbildung. http://www.regionalproject.eu/pdf/CP_Germany_en.pdf Regional_hu. Hungary Country Profile, (2015). HUNGARY REGIONAL Comparative Analysis of Regional Policies for AL, Ministry for National Economy. http://www.regionalproject.eu/pdf/CP_Hungary_en.pdf

Regional_ie. Ireland Country Profile, (2015). Ireland REGIONAL Comparative Analysis of Regional Policies for AL, Maynooth University. http://www.regionalproject.eu/pdf/CP_Ireland_en.pdf

Regional_it. Italy Country Profile, (2015). ITALY REGIONAL Comparative Analysis of Regional Policies for AL, SVIMEZ - IDP. www.regionalproject.eu http://www.regionalproject.eu/pdf/CP_Italy_en.pdf

Regional_rs. Serbia Country Profile, (2015). SERBIA REGIONAL Comparative Analysis of Regional Policies for AL, Regional Economic Development Agency for Sumadija and Pomoravlje. http://www.regionalproject.eu/pdf/CP_Serbia_en.pdf

Regional_sk. Slovakia Country Profile, (2015). SLOVAKIA REGIONAL Comparative Analysis of Regional Policies for AL Academia, Istropolitana Nova. http://www.regionalproject.eu/pdf/CP_Slovakia_en.pdf 5. Informed Policy-Making: The Contribution of Comparative Research in Education Michael Kenny

Introduction

The report *Mind the gap: Educational inequalities across EU regions* (2012) notes that there is considerable variation in the nature, scale and effects of educational inequalities across EU regions (p.11). The implication of this disparity is that balanced regional development and economic growth is hindered (p.14), inequality between regions is compounded (p.149), and the disparity causes a brain drain towards more advantaged regions (p.11). Prior to the REGIONAL-project I would have considered this statement as a factual statement of a trend in education. I would not have considered the considerable complexity in comparing education inter-regionally and internationally. The project has made me aware of strengths and weaknesses of comparative research in education resulting in comparative educational data.

In general, the strengths of comparisons include that they can flout hierarchies and question knowledge (Radhakrishnan, 2009, passim) and that they can make research more universal (Zima, 2011, p.16). These strengths are, however, prone to turn into weaknesses: Comparative research in education can suggest policies and practice that can address the imbalances and inequalities in education. However, comparative research in education has weaknesses. These include the "uncritical transfer of policy and practice" (Crossley, 1999, p.251), insensitivity to social situatedness (Bruner 1996), increasing emphasis on evidence based research (Goodson 1997 in Crossley, 1999, p.254), politically inspired narrow interpretation of international league tables, undue reliance on "applied policy orientated studies" (Crossley 1998), and the dominance of uncontextualised action orientated perspectives dictated by outcome orientated government policy (Higgens and Rwanyange, 2005, p.8). Crossley (1999) notes that "highly charged" debates among governmental agencies, policy-makers, funders, practioners, academics and other stakeholders call for educational research "to be more cumulative and authoritative" (p.249).

This essay will discuss comparative research in education with particular reference to adult learning in a European context. Drawing on module readings and wider literature the essay will suggest the importance of robust comparative research in education to ensure that the European ideal to "make war unthinkable and reinforce democracy" ("Schuman Declaration and the Birth of Europe") is supported through adult learning. In a time of growing Euro-scepticism, the rise of the far right, austerity following financial collapse, the dogged nature of disadvantage that retains a high proportion of the European population in poverty and unemployment, and the relative economic and social positioning of new EU member states, the lessons arising from comparative research in education are increasingly relevant. Besatie and Broc (1990) quoted in Crossley (1999, p.254) note that "the health of policy making in an interdependent world must depend in part on the health of comparative education research in the broadest sense". This essay, with a critique of the post-modern perspective on tools of international assessment, will discuss the Program for the International Assessment of Adult Competencies (PIAAC) and the rationale for REGIONAL, which developed a toolkit for policy makers in adult learning, because, as Watson notes there is a growing criticism that "too much educational research is of little value for policy makers" (2001 p.25). This essay will review a wider theoretical context that underpins the 22 month European Commission funded project and contextualise the project by discussing it in connection to PIAAC, exemplarily focussing on the results from Ireland.

Comparative Education/Research in Education

Comparative education asserted itself as an educational discipline in the 1960s and early 1970s (Watson 2001, p.9) and has become increasingly prominent in the last 20 years. Comparative education examines education within one country, or between countries, using data and insights drawn from the practises and situations in that country or countries. Vernon Mallinson notes that various attempts have been made to define comparative education since Matthew Arnold (1822-1888) and Sir Michael Sadler (1861-1943) presented the concept and concludes that it is clear that "no satisfactory definition can be obtained until the whole purpose of education as a social force has been closely examined" (1980, p.1). The purpose of education is an intrinsic question in comparative education as, according to Meade (1980), there can be no society of human beings without some kind of education system and the problems of education cannot be isolated from those of society as a whole (Mallinson 1980, p.7). Mallinson notes, when we study the purpose of education we are engaging in comparative education (1980, p.10). Watson (2001, p.28), referencing Raivola (1985), notes "[a]ll research that seeks to offer general explanations must be comparative" and, referencing Khoi (1986), Watson (2001) suggests that "comparative education is a field of study that covers all disciplines that seek to understand and explain education" (p.28). Arising comparative education is difficult to define but, directly or indirectly, encompasses all discussion on education.

However, society and the people who compose that society are in a constant process of change. The educational needs and demands of citizens will change over life time and life situation. The average expected level of education has increased significantly over time. Stevens and Weale (2003) state that "[p]rogress of the sort enjoyed in Europe was not observed in the illiterate societies" and quoting from Barro (1997) suggest that one extra year of education (for men) raises the economic growth rate by 1.2% per annum. As society moves from primitive to complex economies so education

should change to reflect this dynamic in the context of the "national characteristic" of that society. It is the national characteristic, according to Mallison, that is the stabilising force in society. Mallinson refers to Jeffreys (1950) suggestion that education is "an instrument for conserving, transferring, and renewing culture" and that education's prime function is the "nurture of personal growth" (1980, p.2). Mallinson also refers to Joad's (1945) suggestion that the purpose of education is for the members of society to:

- 1. Make a living
- 2. Play their part as a citizen of democracy
- 3. Develop their latent powers and faculties of their nature.

However, as Europe has modernised and post-modernised the demands of European society have changed. Increasingly education is being influenced by globalisation, marketisation, information technology and the triumph of free-market economics (Watson 2001, p.9). As education is increasingly influenced by globalisation comparative education invites "a systematic examination of other cultures and other systems of education deriving from those cultures" (Mallinson 1980, p.10) and encourages comparativists to "ever closer contact with other people and other cultures" (Mallinson 1980, p.11). However engaging in comparative educational research is an engagement with tensions for which the researcher needs awareness and preparation. Delors (1996, p.15) identifies such tensions and warns of the "Tensions of the Twenty First Century" including tensions between the global and the local, the traditional and the modern, the universal and the individual, etc. Yet increasingly these tensions are being ignored especially in relation to developing and less developed countries in the name of cost effectiveness and efficiency. Watson notes that "quick-fix ideas or principles are borrowed from one society and transferred to another without thinking of the consequences" (2001, p.11).

According to Noah and Eckstein (1985) comparative education has four purposes:

- 1. To describe educational systems, processes, or outcomes
- 2. To assist in the development of educational institutions and practices
- 3. To highlight the relationships between education and society, and
- 4. To establish generalized statements about education that are valid in more than one country.

Majgaard and Mingat (2012, p.1) suggest that "[a] comparative perspective is useful not only to show the range of possibilities in key education policy variables but also to learn from the best performers in the region". Mallinson (1980) referring to Hans (1949) notes that the purpose of comparative education is "not only to compare existing systems but to envisage reform best suited to new social and economic conditions" (1980, p.1). Mallinson expands on Hans suggesting that comparative education is also a comparison of educational philosophies evident in educational

practice prevailing in that setting. It is important that comparative education is not proscribed by analytics especially as the output possibilities of big-data interpretation become more available (See later discussion of PIAAC below).

Interpreters of comparative education research should also be aware of the influence of history. Sadler noted that "A national system of education is a living thing, the outcomes of forgotten struggles and difficulties of battles long ago" (Sadler 1900, pp.309-310). All educational systems are either products of the history of that setting or are influenced by its (the education systems') attempt to ignore that history. Cowen summarises this history with the terms "'National temperament', 'national sentiments', 'national traditions', 'national aims/ideals', 'national character/characteristics'" (Cowen 2009, p.44).

Disparity Debate Adult Learning

Education, according to the World Bank, "enhances people's ability to make informed decisions, be better parents, sustain a livelihood, adopt new technologies, cope with shocks, and be responsible citizens, and effective stewards of the natural environment" (World Bank Group 2011, p.11).

According to the Center for Global Development (2002) the benefits of education include:

- Improved Health: With education people are better prepared to use health services effectively. For example, educated mothers have healthier children.
- Higher wages and economic growth: In poor countries with each additional year of schooling people earn 10% higher wages. These earnings, in turn, contribute to national economic growth. For example, no country has ever achieved rapid continuous growth without reaching an adult literacy rate of at least 40%.
- Democracy and political stability: Education supports the growth of civil society, democracy, and political stability allowing people to learn about their rights and acquire the skills and knowledge necessary to exercise them.

Although the trends in education and the composite human development index (See *Gapminder* for composite display of data, www.gapminder.org) show a steady increase in educational achievement in Europe 'Rethinking Education' (European Commission, 2012c) draws attention to significant underperformance in terms of adult learning. The report highlights that 73 million adults

(approximately 25% of the adult population) have only a low level of education and that the still low participation rates in lifelong learning across the EU is well short of the European benchmark³. While the EU average is 8.9% of the adult population participating in lifelong learning country

| Pe | rcent | age o | f the | popul | ation | aged | 25-6 | 4 par | ticipa | ting iı | n forr | nal or | non- | forma | al lea | rning <mark>, 201</mark> 3 |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|--------|----------|--------|--------|------|-------|--------|----------------------------|
| АТ | BE | BG | СҮ | CZ | DE | DK | EE | EL | ES | FI | FR | HU | IE | ΙТ | LT | LV |
| 3.4 | 7.1 | 1.2 | 7.5 | 11.4 | 7.8 | 32.3 | 12 | 2.4 | 10.8 | 23.8 | 5.5 | 2.8 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 4 | 5 |
| U | MT | NL | PL | РТ | RO | SE | SI | SK | UK | EU 27 | HR | IS | NO | СН | TR | МК |
| 13.4 | 6.6 | 16.7 | 4.5 | 11.6 | 1.6 | 25 | 15.9 | 3.9 | 15.8 | 8.9 | 2.3 | 25.9 | 18.2 | 29.9 | 2.9 | 3.4 |

figures vary from as low as 1.6% in Romania (RO) to 32.3% in Denmark (DK) as the Table 1 below illustrates.

For the purposes of this essay adult learning is defined as "... the entire range of formal, nonformal and informal learning activities which are undertaken by adults after a break since leaving initial education and training, and which results in the acquisition of new knowledge and skills" (Brooks 2008, p.5). The Faure Report (Faure, 1972) and the Delors Report (Delors, 1996) suggest a learning culture that is open to all and a learning continuum ranging from formal to non-formal and informal education. Colley (2002) and the European Commission (2001), makes the distinction between "formal learning", "non-formal learning", and "informal adult learning". More precisely, these reports suggest that learning is not only 'life-long' but also 'life-wide' where individuals maintain continuous learning contact in all settings (at home, at work or in the community, and including unintentional or random learning).

Tools of Comparative research

Comparative research has evolved from its "positivistic origins in the ninetieth century" (Crossley, 1999, p.250) to a narrative, interpretivist and socio-cultural comparative analysis supported by bigdata analysis and multimedia graphic presentation in the twenty first century. The Program for the International Assessment of Adult Competencies (PIAAC) is an example of a big-data analysis tool embedded in the information collection infrastructure of, as of 2014, thirty three countries of the

³ Among the five benchmarks defined the adult learning the objective of reaching an average of at least 12.5 % of adults participating in lifelong learning by 2010 was set. In 2009, the EU Member States agreed to raise this benchmark to 15 % to be attained by 2020 as part of the strategic framework for cooperation in education and training 2020 (ET 2020).

Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). Twenty four countries were surveyed 2008-13, and a further nine were added 2012-16 (See Table 2).

| Round 1 (2008-13) | | Round 2 (2012-16) |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Australia | Italy | Chile |
| Austria | Japan | Greece |
| Belgium | Korea (Republic of) | Indonesia |
| Canada | Netherlands | Israel |
| Cyprus | Norway | Lithuania |
| Czech Republic | Poland | New Zealand |
| Denmark | Russian Federation | Singapore |
| Estonia | Slovak Republic | Slovenia |
| Finland | Spain | Turkey |
| France | Sweden | |
| Germany | United Kingdom | |
| Ireland | United States | |

Table 2. Countries Participating in PIAAC

PIAAC is a study conducted at household level under the direction of the OECD to assess key cognitive and workplace skills deemed necessary for successful participation in 21st century society and the global economy in each of these countries. PIAAC was developed in the context of changes in the demand for skills particularly in knowledge based societies. The skills assessed in PIAAC are literacy, numeracy and problem solving in technology rich environments. Technological change together with changes in the structure of employment towards jobs involving the use of information technology and away from manual labour requires a different set of skills than previously.

The number of households required for survey in a PIAAC participating country is 5,000, a representative sample of the adult population. In Ireland Central Statistics Office surveyed 10,500 households (approx. 10% of total households in the Republic of Ireland) on behalf of the Department of Education and Skills for the OECD. Using 2011 Census of Population data, Ireland's PIAAC sample consisted of:

- 700 Census of Small Areas
- 10,500 households
- One Respondent/household (Random selection)

PIAAC Ireland recruited fifty survey Interviewers and four team co-ordinators to survey 10,500 households between 1st August 2011 and 24th March 2012. Using a laptop computer, PIAAC interviews were conducted in respondents' homes following the PIAAC standardised interviewing procedures as follows:

- Capture names, age and gender of all household members
- Computerised random selection of 1 respondent per household
- Respondent replied to Background Questionnaire questions
- Respondents with Computer Experience were directed to complete a Computer Based Direct Assessment (CBA)
- Respondents without Computer Experience were directed to complete a Paper Based Direct Assessment (PBA)

DATA COLLECTION 2011-12

- Surveyed Households 10,500
- PIAAC Requirement 5,000
 Completed Interviews 5,983
- Response Rate 72%
 Computer Assessments 70%
- Paper Assessments 30%

Source: Central Statistics Office of the Republic of Ireland

PIAAC's extensive background questionnaire provides information on the range of other skills and personal traits that are important for success in the 21st century global economy. The questionnaire also collected information on the relationship between the respondents' cognitive domains and a number of key indicators including demographic characteristics, educational attainment, employment status, and skills used at work and at home.

There are seven key findings from the EU report published in Ireland (PIAAC Ireland 2013) that are presented as specifically relevant for EU education and training policies⁴. These are:

- 20% of the EU working age population has low literacy and low numeracy skills;
- Education and skills increase employability: This represents a challenge for the one in five unemployed who have low literacy and numeracy skills;
- The high-skilled are progressing well through adult learning, but people with low proficiency are easily caught in a 'low skills trap' as they are less likely to participate in learning activities;
- There are significant differences between individuals with similar qualifications across the EU member countries: Upper secondary graduates in some member states score similar or better than higher education graduates in others;
- 25% of adults lack the skills to effectively make use of ICTs (information and communications technologies);
- The skills of a person tend to deteriorate over time if they are not used frequently. The gap in literacy proficiency skills between generations is more than two thirds of a proficiency level (equivalent to five years of education);
- Sustaining skills brings significant positive economic and social outcomes.

⁴ http://ec.europa.eu/education/policy/strategic-framework/doc/piaac_en.pdf

The PIAAC data results also offer comparative insights on:

- What adults can do in literacy, numeracy and problem solving in technology-rich environments
- How certain socio-demographic characteristics are linked to skills proficiency
- How skills are used in the workplace
- How skills are developed, maintained and lost
- The relationship between skills proficiency and economic/social well-being.

Due to the methodological design chosen, the results are comparable to PISA results and those of the preceding adult skill surveys International Adult Literacy Survey (IALS) and Adult Literacy and Lifeskills Survey (ALLS).

Yet, with a greater emphasis on cost minimisation and on a fix-all solutions Watson (2001) suggests that one of the greatest challenges for robust comparative educational research is "the use of decontextualized data and statistics" (p.12). Watson (2001) clarifies this as a situation where raw data gives no information about the underlying educational philosophy about a country or educational setting, nor gives detail of the social, economic or cultural context of that setting. Watson recalls the comments of Sandler (1900) and Noah (1984) in relation to these concerns. Watson (2001, p.28) notes that research data, upon which policies are based, are often too superficial to be really meaningful. Watson also notes that "We [...] ignore [...] historical perceptions and insights" of educational settings "at our cost" (2001, p.24).

There are concerns that big-data based comparative surveys such as PIAAC do not contextualise learning needs, adequately accommodate indigenous knowledge history and culture, or are informed by the educational philosophy underpinning education in the setting where the information/data is collected. However, initiatives such as PIAAC and others, such as PISA, offer opportunities for comparative researchers to further interrogate and to qualitatively complement the findings of the international statistical comparative surveys. For example Usher (2013) compliments the Statistics Office of Canada (StatsCan) and the Council of Ministers of Canada (CMEC) for going "the extra mile to not only oversample for every province [...] and for aboriginal populations". Usher (2013) goes on to say "this allows us to take some truly interesting looks at several vulnerable sub-segments of the population."

Policy & Practice Going Forward

Since Jullien in 1817 (Fraser 1964) and comparativists thereafter, the potential of compiling large, cross-national surveys of education is discussed regularly. Mayer and Benavot (2013) note that the advent of international comparative studies of performance such as the Educational Testing Service

(ETS) surveys, the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA) studies of student achievement, and the OECD's Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) of 15-year-old pupils' scholastic achievement in mathematics, science, and reading have attracted more frequent analysis and critique commentary (Crossley 2014). Such big-data studies have now extended to adult competencies, PIAAC, and are "increasingly favoured by decision makers and research funders alike" (Crossley 2014, p.18). This narrative fits neatly within the concept of new managerialism that is highly favoured by political and administrative elites that, it can be suggested, know the cost of everything but the value of nothing.

The advantage of quantitatively accumulating international comparative studies is qualified by commentators who write about their reservations of exporting a "'fix-it' educational technique to another country" (Cowen, R. 2009, p.315) and uncritical comparative interrogation disregarding issues such as hegemony of the North (Barrett et al. 2011), Weltanschauungen or lived experiences and existential phenomenology (Kim 2014, p.49), and the 'historical–philosophical–cultural and liberal humanist motif in comparative education' (coined by Kazamias, 2009, quoted in Phillips, 2014). Such reservations give rise to the terms such as Policy Borrowing and Lending in Education (see Steiner-Khamsi and Waldow, 2012). It is evident that educational policies and enthusiastically adopted educational practices based on big-data are often too superficial to be really meaningful according to Watson (2001, p.28). It seems that the negative side-effects of comparative approaches such as simplistic universalisms and reinforcement of hierarchies take effect. Furthermore, because of their apparent cost effectiveness, these studies can starve and marginalise other forms of research and scholarship as noted by Crossley (2014). Narratives arising from big-data studies borrow "legitimacy from the predominance of economic discourse in contemporary society, from a quest to measure and evaluate performance in all walks of life" (Crossley 2014 p.20).

Watson (2001, p.11) suggests that "one of the main purposes of comparative education has always been that of reform … learning for other situations … looking comparatively, using comparative data and ideas to inform policy decisions". In comparative reform there is a double challenge of reform 'from' and reform 'to'. If the situation 'from' which reform originates is not completely reported the full implications of the reform will not be understood. Equally, if the situation 'to' which reform is applied by transferrance is not adequately critiqued then reform will be a misfit. Referencing Jameson (1988) Watson (2001, p.25) refers to "… the disappearance of a sense of history" in comparative educational research and Cossley (1998), referenced in Watson (2011, p 28.), argues for greater emphasis on qualitative and ethnographic research that will rebalance the dominance of quantitative big-data.

It is likely that traditional areas of comparative study will continue for the foreseeable future given that formal structures of education are set to remain and that the demands for education performance are still predominantly dictated by international and national structures of economic control. The advent of big-data studies makes the transnational transference of outcomes faster and more likely. The almost universal adoption of league tables is evidence of this. However, the weakening of the nation state, marketization of education, shadow education systems, trans-national educational provision corporations, the rise of private schools, etc. also offer opportunities for new comparative research. Watson (2001, p.25) warns of limiting the vision of comparative research to people living in "a perpetual present and in a perpetual change" and Crossley warns of "what some see as a search for 'certainty' in times when this is hard to find" (2014 p.20). The dominance of the present and illusion of the now can be a devastating weakness in comparative research. King (1979) suggest that education should be focused on uncertainty rather than certainty.

Comparative researchers have greater access to qualitative and ethnographic data from a widening range of sources which can be used effectively to qualify dominant international quantitative comparisons. The compilation of appropriately informed data on educational inequality is an important tool in addressing inequality and in the empowerment of local and regional responses to educational need. Comparative spatial studies, such as the Small States Studies, that present disparities in educational opportunities and outputs can also reflect wider inequalities impacting on marginalised populations. Comparative research needs systematic collection of data, especially qualitative and ethnographic data at sub-regional and local level and the application of outcomes from this research to international studies.

The REGIONAL Project

The REGIONAL project originates from the need to identify and exploit factors to improve the effectiveness and impact of planned and managed adult learning throughout Europe with the overall objective of reducing the major geographic disparities that persist in educational opportunities and outcomes across and within EU regions. Rethinking Education (European Commission 2012c) draws attention to significant underperformance and identifies areas where joint actions are required in the adult learning sector. The Communication highlights that 73 million adults have only a low level of education and that there are still low participation rates in lifelong learning across the EU. The UNESCO Institute for Lifelong Learning (Keogh 2009) argues that differences in adult participation in lifelong learning can be found in gaps between legislation, policy and implementation and in weak relationships between formal policy-making and practise. While there is an extensive body of literature discussing the importance of adult

86

learning for the society as a whole and for the individuals that constitute this society, there exists very little research to date that discuss the reasons behind "the regional disparities in terms of adult participation in lifelong learning in the EU" (European Commission, 2012a). The objective of REGIONAL is the development of a policy making toolkit comprising research methodology and guidelines, the country profiles and a set of case studies presenting a comparative analysis of regional policies, their formulation, implementation and funding in six countries across EU as a resource for policy makers and stakeholders actively engaged in the formulation and implementation of adult learning policies in Europe.

Conclusion

Crossley refers to the need for a "comprehensive reconceptualization" (1999 p.249) of the comparative and international studies field in light of the dramatic changes in factors such as greater internationalisation/globalisation, development in information and communications technology, demand for greater coherency in the research-policy-practice continuum, greater emphasis on cross disiplinarity, growing tension between economic and cultural dimensions of social reform and challenges to the dominant models of development. This essay discussed comparative education in a time of change and progressed to focus on one particular tool of international assessment, the Program for the International Assessment of Adult Competencies (PIAAC). The essay discussed the weaknesses and the opportunities potentially available to comparative education in a time of change. Finally, the essay presented the REGIONAL project that seeks to develop a policy-making toolkit that can better address disparities in adult learning policies across European regions.

Bibliography

- Barrett, A. M., M. Crossley, and H. A. Dachi. 2011. "International Collaboration and Research Capacity Building: Learning from the EdQual Experience." Comparative Education 47 (1): 25–43.
- Brooks, G. Burton, M. 2010. European Adult Learning Glossary, Level 1. Study on European Terminology in Adult Learning for a common language and common understanding and monitoring of the sector. European Commission. Brussels. http://adultlearning.isfol.it/adultlearning/risorse/EuropeanAdultlearningGlossarylevel1.pdf
- Borkowsky, A. 2012, "Monitoring Adult Learning Policies: A Theoretical Framework and Indicators", OECD Education Working Papers, No. 88, OECD Publishing

- Center for Global Development, The. 2002. Rich world, poor world: A guide to global development. Washington, DC http://www.cgdev.org/files/2844_file_EDUCATON1.pdf
- Colley, H. Hodkinson, P. and Malcolm, J. 2002. Non-formal learning: mapping the conceptual terrain. A Consultation Report: University of Leeds Lifelong Learning Institute, Leeds. http://www.infed.org/archives/e-texts/colley_informal_learning.htm.
- Cowen, R. Kazamias, A.M. and Unterhalter, E. (Eds). 2009. International Handbook of Comparative Education
- Crossley, M. 1998. The place of research and evaluation in educational transformation: crosscultural issues and research capacity building in Belize, Central America. Paper presented to the 10th World Congress of Comparative education Societies, Cape Town, South Africa.
- Crossley, M. 2014. Global league tables, big data and the international transfer of educational research modalities. Comparative Education, Vol. 50, No. 1, 15–26.
- Cowen, R. 2009. The transfer, translation and transformation of educational processes: and their shape-shifting? Comparative Education, Volume 45, Issue 3, pages 315-327
- Delors, J. 1996. Learning: The Treasure Within. Report on the UNESCO Commission on Education for the 21st Century. UNESCO. Paris
- European Commission. 2001. Communication: Making a European Area of Lifelong Learning a Reality. Brussles. http://eur-

lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2001:0678:FIN:EN:PDF, [Accessed 13 May 2014].

- European Commission. 2012a. Mind the Gap: Education Inequality across EU Regions, Working document from DG Education and Culture, Brussels.
- European Commission. 2012b. Education and Training Monitor 2012. Publications Office of the European Union. Luxembourg. http://ec.europa.eu/education/library/publications/ monitor12_en.pdf, Accessed 11 May 2014]
- European Commission. 2012c. Rethinking education: investing in skills for better socio-economic outcomes. Staff working document. Strasburg. http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legalcontent/EN/ALL/;jsessionid=dRYFTn7LDqkb81nSt2sYlrL01VX24SwhJ2sK0zWHKQpLJ Z3TxYCG!896498260?uri=CELEX:52012SC0373
- Faure, E. Herrera, F. Kaddoura, A.R. Lopes, H. Petrovsky, A.V. Rahnema, and M. Ward, F.C.1972. Learning to Be: The World of Education Today and Tomorrow. UNESCO. Paris.
- Fraser, S.E. 1964. Jullien's Plan for Comparative Education 1816–1817. New York: Bureau of Publications, Teachers' College, Columbia University.

- Hans, N. 1949. Comparative education: A study of educational factors and traditions. Routledge and Kegan Paul, London
- Higgins, L. and Rwanyange, R. 2005. Ownership in the education reform process in Uganda, Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education, Vol. 35, No.1: 7-26.

Joad, C.E.M. 1945. About Education. Faber and Faber, London.

Jeffreys, M.V.C. 1950. An Inquiry into the Aims of Education. Pitman. London

Kim, T. 2014. The intellect, mobility and epistemic positioning in doing comparisons and comparative education. Comparative Education. Volume 50, Issue 1.

King, E.J. 1979. Education for Uncertainty. Sage, London.

- Keogh, H. 2009. The state and development of adult learning and education in Europe, North America and Israel. Regional synthesis report. UNESCO Institute for Lifelong Learning, Hamburg, Germany.
- Knowles, M. S., Holton, E. and Swanson, R. (2005) The Adult Learner: The Definitive Classic in Adult Education and Human Resource Development (6th Edition). Elsevier inc.
- Mallinson, Vernon. 1980. An Introduction to the Study of Comparative Education (4th Edition). Heinemann, London.
- Majgaard, K. and Mingat, A. 2012. Education in Sub-Saharan Africa : A Comparative Analysis. World Bank Publications, Herndon, VA, USA
- Noah, H.J. and Eckstein, M.A. 1969. Toward a Science of Comparative Education. The Macmillan Company, New York.
- PIAAC Ireland, 2013. The Survey of Adult Skills (PIAAC): Implications for education and training policies in Europe. European Commission. DG Education and Culture, Brussels.
- Phillips, D. 2014. 'Comparatography', history and policy quotation: some reflections. Comparative Education, Volume 50, Issue 1.
- Radhakrishnan, R. 2009. Why Compare? New Literary History 40:3, pp. 453-471.
- Sadler, M. 1900. How far can we learn anything of practical value from the study of foreign sytstems of education? in J.H. Higginson (Ed.) 1979. *Selections from Michael Sadler*. Dejail and Meymorre, Liverpool.
- "Schuman Declaration and the Birth of Europe: Speech of 9 may 1950." http://www.schuman.info/9May1950.htm. Schuman Project, Brussels. Accessed 10 May 2014.
- Stam, R., and Shohat, E. 2009. Transnationalizing Comparison: The Uses and Abuses of Cross-Cultural Analogy. *New Literary History* 40:3, pp. 473-499.

- Steiner-Khamsi, G., and Waldow F. (Eds). 2012. World Yearbook of Education 2012: Policy Borrowing and Lending in Education. Boutledge, London.
- Stevens, P. and Weale, M. 2003. Education and Economic Growth. National Institute of Economic and Social Research, London.

http://cee.lse.ac.uk/conference_papers/28_11_2003/martin_weale.pdf

- Usher, A. 2013. PIAAC: The Results for Aboriginal and Immigrant Canadians. Higher Education Strategy Associates, Toronto, Canada.
- Watson, K.(Ed). 2001. Doing Comparative education research: Issues and problems. Symposium Books, UK.
- World Bank Group. 2011. Learning for All Investing in People's Knowledge and Skills to Promote Development. World Bank Group Education Strategy 2020. The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank, Washington DC. http://siteresources.worldbank.org/EDUCATION/Resources/ESSU/Education_Strategy_4_1 2_2011.pdf