Purdue University Purdue e-Pubs

ECE Technical Reports

Electrical and Computer Engineering

1-5-2008

Putting the Automatic Back into AD: Part I, What's Wrong (CVS: 1.1)

Jeffrey M. Siskind *Purdue University,* qobi@purdue.edu

Barak A. Pearlmutter barak@cs.nuim.ie

Follow this and additional works at: http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/ecetr

Siskind, Jeffrey M. and Pearlmutter, Barak A., "Putting the Automatic Back into AD: Part I, What's Wrong (CVS: 1.1)" (2008). ECE Technical Reports. Paper 368. http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/ecetr/368

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact epubs@purdue.edu for additional information.

Putting the Automatic Back into AD: Part I, What's Wrong (CVS: 1.1)

Jeffrey Mark Siskind¹ and Barak A. Pearlmutter²

- ¹ School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Purdue University, 465 Northwestern Avenue, West Lafayette IN 47907-2035 USA gobi@purdue.edu
- ² Hamilton Institute, National University of Ireland Maynooth, Co. Kildare, Ireland barak@cs.nuim.ie

Summary. Current implementations of automatic differentiation are far from automatic. We survey the difficulties encountered when applying four existing AD systems, ADIFOR, TAPENADE, ADIC, and FADBAD++, to two simple tasks, minimax optimization and control of a simulated physical system, that involve taking derivatives of functions that themselves take derivatives of other functions. ADIC is not able to perform these tasks as it cannot transform its own generated code. Using FADBAD++, one cannot compute derivatives of different orders with unmodified code, as needed by these tasks. One must either manually duplicate code for the different derivative orders or write the code using templates to automate such code duplication. ADIFOR and TAPENADE are both able to perform these tasks only with significant intervention: modification of source code and manual editing of generated code. A companion paper presents a new AD system that handles both tasks without any manual intervention yet performs as well as or better than these existing systems.

Key words: Nesting, multiple transformation, forward mode, ADIFOR, TAPENADE, ADIC, FADBAD++

1 Introduction

The hallmark of Automatic Differentiation is that it is—or at least should be—*automatic*. One wishes to take derivatives of unmodified programs with minimal, and ideally no, manual intervention. In this paper, we demonstrate how far we are from this ideal. (See [9] for another viewpoint.) We present two simple mathematical tasks, collectively coded in under 300 lines, code both tasks in FORTRAN, C, and C++, and relate our experiences in getting them to run with ADIFOR [2], TAPENADE [4], ADIC[3], and FADBAD++[1]. We were able to run these programs under ADIFOR and TAPENADE only by modifying the source code in different fashions that are specific to each preprocessor and with significant manual editing of the output of TAPENADE. We discovered that using FADBAD++ one cannot compute derivatives of different orders with unmodified code, as needed by these tasks. One must either manually duplicate code for the different derivative orders or write the code using templates to automate such code duplication. Finally, we discovered that it is not possible to perform either of these tasks with ADIC at all.

The central limitation discovered in all of these systems in the inability to nest. Nesting is fundamental to programming: one expects to be able to nest conditionals inside conditionals,

do loops inside do loops, etc. In the context of AD, this corresponds to taking derivatives of functions that take derivatives. In Sect. 2 we give two realistic tasks where such nesting is crucial: minimax optimization to find a saddle point of a function, as is done in game theory, and determining an optimal value of a control parameter for a simulated physical system, as is done in automatic control. See [5] for another recent application of nesting. For transformation-based AD systems, like ADIFOR, TAPENADE, and ADIC, such nesting is accomplished by transforming the transformed code produced by the preprocessor, i.e., passing code through the preprocessor multiple times. For overloading-based AD systems, like FADBAD++, such nesting is accomplished by overloading the overloaded operators.

ADIFOR, TAPENADE, and ADIC all provide a mechanism to allow the user to change the naming convention of differentiated components of programs. It appear that this feature was included in these systems precisely to support nesting, i.e., transformation of transformed code. It is necessary to avoid conflating the tangents of different derivatives as could otherwise potentially occur when nesting derivatives [7, 8]. We know of no other use for this feature. While we make crucial use of this feature in the tasks in Sect. 2, this feature alone is not sufficient to support transformation of transformed code.

The authors the above systems are aware of the issues involved with nesting. A paper [2] on ADIFOR states on p. 18:

While we currently can just process the ADIFOR-generated code [...]

The TAPENADE FAQ at http://www-sop.inria.fr/tropics/ states:

For example, one can use the forward mode twice, to get directional second derivatives. We know of some people who have tried that with TAPENADE, and apparently it worked.

[...]

However this requires a bit more interaction with the end-user.

[...]

The idea to obtain second derivatives is to apply Automatic Differentiation twice. Starting from a procedure P in file p.f that computes y = f(x), a first run of TAPENADE e.g., in tangent mode through the command line:

\$> tapenade -d -head P -vars "x" -outvars "y" p.f

returns in file p_d.f a procedure P_D that computes yd = f'(x).xd. Now a new run of TAPENADE on the resulting file e.g., in tangent mode again through the command line:

\$> tapenade -d -head P_D -vars "x" -outvars "yd" p_d.f returns in file p_d_d.f a procedure P_D_D that computes ydd = f''(x).xd.xd0. Specifically if you call P_D_D with inputs xd = 1.0 and xd0 = 1.0 in addition to the current x, you obtain in output ydd the second derivative f''(x).

[...]

Doing this, you might encounter a couple of simple problems that you will need to fix by hand like we usually do:

• The first multi-directional differentiation creates a program that includes a new file DIFFSIZES.inc, containing information about array sizes. Precisely, the include file must declare the integer constant nbdirsmax which is the maximum number of differentiation directions that you plan to compute in a single run. nbdirsmax is used in the declarations of the size of the differentiated arrays. You must create this file DIFFSIZES.inc before starting the second differentiation step. For instance, this file may contain

```
integer nbdirsmax
parameter (nbdirsmax = 50)
if 50 is the max number of differentiation directions. If what you want is the
Hessian, this max number of differentiation directions is the cumulated sizes of
all the inputs x.
```

• The second multi-directional differentiation requires a new maximum size value nbdirsmax0, which is *a priori* different from nbdirsmax. For the Hessian case, it is probably equal to nbdirsmax. What's more, TAPENADE has inlined the 1st level include file, so what you get is a strange looking piece of declarations:

```
INCLUDE 'DIFFSIZES.inc'
C Hint: nbdirsmax should be the maximum ...
INTEGER nbdirsmax
PARAMETER (nbdirsmax=50)
```

We suggest you just remove the INCLUDE 'DIFFSIZES.inc' line, and hand-replace each occurrence of nbdirsmax0 by either nbdirsmax or even 50!

We reported one of the issues discussed in Sect. 5 relating to ADIC and received this Email from Paul Hovland in response:

This is a known issue, but not one that we've thought very hard about how to work around because we haven't had a compelling application. I think we can come up with a workaround, but we'll need to discuss it for a while. One of us will try to get back to you later in the week.

The FADBAD++ web site at http://www2.imm.dtu.dk/~km/FADBAD/ states:

Combinations of automatic differentiation

One of the very unique things of FADBAD++ is the ability to compute high order derivatives in a very flexible way by combining the methods of automatic differentiation. These combinations are produced by applying the templates on themselves. For example the type B< F< double > > can be used in optimisation for computing first order derivatives by using the backward method and second order derivatives by using a backward-forward method.

The remainder of this paper demonstrates the distance between the above desiderata and current practice. A companion paper presents a new language and a new compiler that can process both of the tasks presented without any manual intervention and which generates code that is as fast as or faster than the above mentioned systems.

2 Tasks

We use two tasks to illustrate the nesting issues that arise with current AD implementations. Variants of both tasks appear in other papers, coded in different languages for different AD systems. For this paper, we coded each task in FORTRAN, C, and C++, for use by ADIFOR, TAPENADE, ADIC, and FADBAD++. The variants in the different languages differ only in ways specific to the language and the AD implementation. They share the same algorithms, structure, order, naming conventions, etc. In the next four sections, we use these two tasks as a running example to illustrate the nesting issues that arise with current AD implementations. For each task and each AD system, we went through a number of variants as we attempted to get the task working. Figure 1 gives the essence of the first variant for FORTRAN with ADIFOR. Length restrictions preclude including all variants of all

tasks for all AD systems in this paper. However, all of these variants are available from http://www.bcl.hamilton.ie/~qobi/tr-08-02/. That web site contains a run script for each variant that replicates the issues involved along with a file run.text giving the output of that script for each variant. We recommend that the reader make use of the 'Try' entries in that web site to follow the discussion in the next four sections.

Figure 1(a) gives the essence of the common code shared between these tasks. It omits the subroutines vplus and vminus that perform vector addition and subtraction, the subroutine ktimesv that multiplies a vector by a scalar, the subroutines magnitude_squared and magnitude that compute the magnitude of a vector, and the subroutines

distance_squared and distance that compute the L_2 norm of the difference of two vectors. The subroutine multivariate_argmin implements a multivariate optimizer using adaptive naïve gradient descent. This iterates $\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \eta \nabla f \mathbf{x}_i$ until either $\|\nabla f \mathbf{x}\|$ or $\|\mathbf{x}_{i+1} - \mathbf{x}_i\|$ is small, increasing η when progress is made and decreasing η when no progress is made.

Figure 1(b) contains the essence of the first task, saddle, that computes the saddle point of a function:

$$\min_{(x_1,y_1)} \max_{(x_2,y_2)} (x_1^2 + y_1^2) - (x_2^2 + y_2^2)$$

(It omits the code for gradient_outer as this code is analogous to the code for gradient_inner.) This task is a variant of an example from [6], differing in that it uses forward AD instead of reverse AD to compute gradients and naïve gradient descent instead of gradient descent with a line search.

Figure 1(c) contains the essence of the second task, particle, a variant of an example from [8] where the textbook Newton's method for optimization has been replaced with naïve gradient descent. (It omits the code for gradient_p and gradient_naive_euler as this code is analogous to the code for gradient_inner.) This task models a charged particle traveling nonrelativistically in a plane with position $\mathbf{x}(t)$ and velocity $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t)$. The particle is accelerated by an electric field formed by a pair of repulsive bodies,

$$p(\mathbf{x}; w) = \|\mathbf{x} - (10, 10 - w)\|^{-1} + \|\mathbf{x} - (10, 0)\|^{-1}$$

where *w* is a modifiable control parameter of the system, and hits the *x*-axis at position $\mathbf{x}(t_f)$. We optimize *w* so as to minimize $E(w) = x_0(t_f)^2$, with the goal of finding a value for *w* that causes the particle's path to intersect the origin. We use Naïve Euler ODE integration:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\mathbf{x}}(t) &= -\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} p(\mathbf{x}) |_{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(t)} \\ \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t + \Delta t) &= \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \Delta t \, \ddot{\mathbf{x}}(t) \\ \mathbf{x}(t + \Delta t) &= \mathbf{x}(t) + \Delta t \, \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) \end{aligned}$$

to compute the particle's path. We use linear interpolation to find the point where the particle hits the *x*-axis:

When
$$x_1(t + \Delta t) \le 0$$

let: $\Delta t_f = -x_1(t)/\dot{x}_1(t)$
 $t_f = t + \Delta t_f$
 $\mathbf{x}(t_f) = \mathbf{x}(t) + \Delta t_f \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t)$
Error: $E(w) = x_0(t_f)^2$

We minimize *E* with respect to *w* using multivariate_argmin.

```
subroutine p(x, r)
include 'particle.inc'
double precision x(dims), r, charge(dims), s
doumon /closure(charges(hcharges, dims)
integer k, l
r = 000
do k = 1, dims
charge(k) = charges(l, k)
enddo istance(dims, x, charge, s)
c 1 + 140/s
enddo
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               subroutine f(x, r)
include 'saddle.inc'
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           double precision x(4), r
r = (x(1)*x(1)+x(2)*x(2))-(x(3)*x(3)+x(4)*x(4))
end
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     r =
enddo
end
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 emd
subroutine gradient_p(x, g)
subroutine naive_euler(x, r)
include 'precision w(controls), r
double precision w(controls), r
double precision w(controls), r
double precision delta[, x0, f(dima), x_per(dims)
double precision delta_t_f, x_t_f(dima), x_per(dims)
double precision delta_t_f, x_t_f(dima), charges(ncharges, dims)
double precision delta_t_f, x_t_f(dima), charges(ncharges, dims)
double precision delta_t_f, x_t_f(dima), double delta_t_f(dima), double delta_t, double delta_t_f(dima), double delta_t, double delta_t_f(dima), double delta_t, 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     С
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              subroutine gradient_p(x, g)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        subroutine gradient_inner(x, g)
include 'saddle.inc'
double feature (inner), g(ninner), g_x(ninner, ninner), y
nineger K=ciion x(ninner), g(ninner), g_x(ninner, ninner), y
do k = 1, ninner
do 1 = 1, ninner
g_x(k, 1) = 0d0
enddo
b) = 1d0
      got 1
enif
enif
call twinnug(n, x_star, t, x_prime)
call distance(n, x_star, x_prime)
call distance(n, x_star, x_prime)
if (n ite.1d-5) return
if (t, prime.1t, fx) then
do j = 1, n
endabtr(j) = x_prime(j)
endabtr(j) = x_prime(j)
is it(x_prime
call d(x_prime, gx)
i = it(
end1 to 1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        enddo
call g_inner(x, g_x, y, g)
end
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     subroutine outer(x1, r)
include 'saaddle.inc(nouter), r, x2(ninner), x2_star(ninner), s
double precision x1c(nouter), g_xlc(ninner, nouter)
common /closure/ x1c
common /closure/ x1c
common /closure/ g_xlc
integer k
f(1) star(1) gradient_inner
x1c(1) = x1(2)
do x = 1, ninne_1
do x = 1, ninne_1
do x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
do
x = 1, ninne_1
d
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     do k = 1, ninner
g_xl(k, 1) = 0d0
enddxl(k, 2) = 0d0
x2(1) = 1d0
x2(2) = 1d0
x2(2) = id0
reall multivariate_argmin
end
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            С
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               subroutine gradient_outer(x, g)
programs main
double precision x1_start(nouter), x2_start(ninner),
double precision x1_start(nouter), x2_start(ninner),
double precision x1_start(nouter), x2_start(ninner,),
common /g_closure/_____lc
integer k
external outer, gradient_outer, inner, gradient_inner
x1_start(1) = 140
x2_start(1) = 140
x1_start[1]
x1c(1) = x1_start[1]
x1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     subroutine gradient_outer(x, g)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     С
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        subroutine gradient_naive_euler(x, g)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           (a)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          (b)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         (c)
```

1

Fig. 1. The essence of the baseline ADIFOR code for the saddle and particle tasks. (a) The common code shared between the tasks. (b) The code for the saddle task. (c) The code for the particle task.

Automatic

AD: Part

3 ADIFOR

For saddle, we first try to perform the first transformation to generate the code for g_inner (Try 1). We get the error:

Procedure g_inner undefined: required by procedure gradient_inner in module saddle.f. Procedure h_outer undefined: required by procedure gradient_outer in module saddle.f.

despite the fact that we specified AD_TOP=inner and none of g_inner, h_outer, gradient_inner, and gradient_outer, are reachable from inner. So we add g_inner and h_outer to AD_EXCLUDE_PROCS for the first transformation (Try 2). Now we get the error:

Recursive procedure set: multivariate_argmin.f.2 outer multivariate_argmin

despite the fact that there really is no recursion, since the nested call to multivariate_argmin is to a transformed variant. So we add multivariate_argmin to AD_EXCLUDE_PROCS for the first transformation and the first transformation succeeds. We then try to perform the second transformation to generate the code for h_outer. This compiles successfully (Try 3), but gives the wrong answer:

1. 1. 8.24632483E-06 8.24632483E-06

Inspection of h_saddle.f reveals that ADIFOR generated incorrect derivative code for h_outer. We conjecture that it may be confused by the nested calls to

multivariate_argmin. So we manually copy the code to make two versions of multivariate_argmin so that there is no potential for confusion. This compiles successfully (Try 4) and gives the correct answer, but does so only accidentally, as inspection of h_saddle.f reveals that ADIFOR has still generated incorrect derivative code for h_outer. We conjecture that it may be confused by the indirect subroutine call in the variant of multivariate_argmin that is differentiated. Se we manually specialize that variant to eliminate the indirect subroutine call. Again, this compiles successfully (Try 5) and gives the correct answer, but does so only accidentally, as inspection of h_saddle.f reveals that ADIFOR has still generated incorrect derivative code for h_outer. So we split saddle.f into three files: saddle1.f, which will be transformed in the first pass, saddle2.f, which will be transformed in the second pass, and saddle.f, which will not be transformed. Now we see that ADIFOR has generated correct derivative code that yields the correct answer (Try 6):

8.24632483E-06 8.24632483E-06 8.24632483E-06 8.24632483E-06

For particle, we first try to formulate the program as a single file, as this task requires no differentiation through nested or indirect subroutines calls. We rely on our experience with saddle and start by adding g_p and h_naive_euler to AD_EXCLUDE_PROCS for the first transformation and h_naive_euler to AD_EXCLUDE_PROCS for the second transformation. This compiles successfully (Try 1), but gives the wrong answer:

Ο.

Inspection of h_particle.f reveals that ADIFOR has generated incorrect derivative code for h_naive_euler. So we again rely on our experience with saddle and split particle.f into three files. Now we see that ADIFOR has generated correct derivative code that yields the correct answer (Try 2):

0.207191875

4 Tapenade

For saddle, we start with the same code as for the initial ADIFOR version, differing only in the naming and calling conventions for differentiated subroutines. First, we perform the first transformation to generate the code for inner_gv. Then, we create the file DIFFSIZES.inc as required by the output of the first transformation. Then, we perform the second transformation to generate the code for outer_hv. Then, we augment the file DIFFSIZES.inc as required by the output of the second transformation. However, despite issuing no errors or warnings, TAPENADE generates code that gives compiler errors (Try 1). Inspection of saddle_hv.f reveals that TAPENADE generated incorrect derivative code for outer_hv. We conjecture that, like ADIFOR, it may be confused by the indirect subroutine call in the variant of multivariate_argmin that is differentiated. Se we again manually specialize that variant to eliminate the indirect subroutine call. Again, the TAPENADE generated code gives compiler errors. Thus, we create a sed script to fix these errors, as discussed in the above TAPENADE FAQ entry and find that TAPENADE has now generated correct derivative code that yields the correct answer (Try 2).

For particle, we again start with the same code as for the initial ADIFOR version, differing only in the naming and calling conventions for differentiated subroutines, perform the first transformation to generate the code for p_gv, create the file DIFFSIZES.inc, perform the second transformation to generate the code for naive_euler_hv, augment the file DIFFSIZES.inc, and create a sed script to fix the errors in the TAPENADE-generated code. This compiles successfully (Try 1), but gives the wrong answer:

0.

Inspection of particle_hv.f reveals that TAPENADE generated incorrect derivative code for naive_euler_hv because our code contains a subroutine call that modifies aliased arguments. While this violates the FORTRAN 77 standard, ADIFOR and G77 were nonetheless able to generate correct code for this task. Furthermore, while TAPENADE issued a warning, the TAPENADE FAQ only discusses how this affects reverse mode, not forward mode as used in this task. We modify our code to eliminate the aliasing violation, modify the sed script accordingly, and find that TAPENADE has now generated correct derivative code that yields the correct answer (Try 2).

5 ADIC

For saddle, we start with a straightforward translation of the FORTRAN code used for the initial ADIFOR version into C. Since we will need to transform common.c as part of the second transformation, we first try to transform this code. Compiling this code (Try 1) yields syntax errors. Inspection of common.ad.c indicates that ADIC has generated incorrect code for the transformation of multivariate_argmin:

We conjecture that, like ADIFOR and TAPENADE, ADIC cannot differentiate code with indirect function calls. Thus we again manually specialize multivariate_argmin to eliminate

the indirect function call. Furthermore, since ADIC does not use flow analysis to determine what code needs to be differentiated, and thus differentiates everything in a file, this necessitates splitting saddle.c into three files, as before. However, unlike before, the unspecialized version of multivariate_argmin must be moved from common.c to saddle.c since we will need to differentiate common.c.

We next try to transform saddlel.c twice, as is needed by this task. However, the output of the first transformation uses variables declared to be of type DERIV_TYPE and this is defined by the generated file ad_deriv.h to be:

```
typedef struct {
    double value;
    double grad[ad_GRAD_MAX];
} DERIV_TYPE;
```

Note that ADIC failed to prefix many of the above identifiers, despite the fact that we specified prefix, var_prefix, and type_prefix. It also failed to control the file-name prefixes. Thus we rename the files appropriately and create a sed script to edit the code generated by ADIC to manually prefix the unprefixed identifiers. Furthermore, since ADIC cannot process much of its own generated ad_deriv.h, we make a variant that contains just the bare essentials. The second transformation attempt, however, is unsuccessful (Try 2). Since saddlel.c contains only two extremely simple functions, we conjecture that ADIC is not able to transform transformed code and abandon our attempt at running our two tasks in ADIC.

We also point out a further difficulty we have encountered in transforming transformed code with ADIC. With ADIFOR and TAPENADE, the driver code is straight FORTRAN. (See the code for gradient_inner in Fig. 1(b).) With ADIC, the corresponding driver code must use primitives like DERIV_val, ad_AD_SetIndepArray, ad_AD_SetIndepDone, and ad_AD_ExtractGrad:

```
void gradient_inner(double *x, double *g) {
  g_DERIV_TYPE g_x[INNER], y;
  int k;
  for (k = 0; k<INNER; k++) DERIV_val(g_x[k]) = x[k];
  ad_AD_SetIndepArray(&g_x[0], INNER);
  ad_AD_SetIndepDone();
  g_inner(&g_x[0], &y);
  ad_AD_ExtractGrad(&g[0], y);}</pre>
```

Nesting requires transforming such drivers and we have not been successful in doing so.

This also affects global variables which are written and then read across differentiation boundaries. In our tasks, the function outer must initialize the tangents of xlc to zero and the function naive_euler must initialize the tangents of charges to zero. This is done using the primitive ad_AD_ClearGrad. However, outer and naive_euler must be transformed and a similar problem arises.

6 FADBAD

For FADBAD++, we describe saddle and particle jointly. Our initial FADBAD++ variants are similar to the initial ADIC variants, differing primarily in that the functions magnitude_squared, magnitude, distance_squared, distance,

multivariate_argmin, f, inner, outer, p, and naive_euler, return results rather than modify arguments passed by reference, use of C++ I/O, and formulating the implementation of the drivers gradient_inner, gradient_outer, gradient_p, and gradient_naive_euler to use the FADBAD++ API instead of the ADIC API (Try 1). These variants, however, cannot be run, since they don't implement g_inner, h_outer, g_p, and h_naive_euler.

One way of providing these is to manually simulate the behavior of a preprocessor like ADIFOR, TAPENADE, or ADIC. We do this by making a copy of all of the functions in common.cpp, prefixing all function identifiers in this copy with g_ and changing all instances of double in this copy to F<double>. We also manually apply such a process to selected portions of saddle.cpp and particle.cpp, making prefixed, typelifted copies of certain functions and global variables, namely f, x1c, inner, charges, and p. This simulates the first transformation. To simulate the second transformation, we make copies of all of the functions in common. cpp, including the ones created by the first transformation, prefixing all function identifiers in this copy with h_ and changing all instances of double in this copy to F<double>. This creates some identifiers prefixed with h_g and some instances of the type F<F<double>> (which must be manually changed to the type F<F<double> >). We also manually apply such a process to selected portions of saddle.cpp and particle.cpp, including the portions created by the first transformation, namely f, x1c, inner, q_f, q_x1c, q_inner, gradient_inner, outer, charges, p, g_charges, g_p, gradient_p, and naive_euler. This compiles successfully, and gives the correct answer (Try 2). However, this is both inelegant and labor intensive. Thus we rewrite the code from Try 1 using templates. This compiles successfully, and gives the correct answer (Try 3). Note that this also requires modification of our original code.

7 Conclusion

The goal of AD is to be able to *automatically* take derivatives of *unmodified* programs. We are far from this goal, at least when considering nesting, i.e., taking derivatives of functions that take derivatives of other functions. All the systems that we have tried require manual modification of either the source code, the automatically generated code, or both. ADIFOR requires manual partitioning of the code into different files to be transformed different numbers of times. TAPENADE requires manual specialization of subroutines to eliminate indirect subroutine calls and manual post-editing of code that has been transformed multiple times. FADBAD++ requires either manual simulation of a transformation process or writing code using templates. And ADIC is not able to handle such nesting at all. Furthermore, along the path to solving these tasks, we encountered situations with both tasks using both ADIFOR and TAPENADE where incorrect derivative code was produced without warning or error, sometimes leading to subsequent compiler errors and sometimes, but not always, leading to incorrect computational results.

In this paper, we have rationally reconstructed the minimal path from our original intent to the solution of each task using each AD system. The actual process of producing these variants was very labor intensive and involved the exploration of many blind alleys that have been omitted. For example, before we specified AD_EXCEPTION_FLAVOR = performance, we needed to add ehsfid and ehufDO to AD_EXCLUDE_PROCS. Even then, transforming transformed code with ADIFOR yielded incorrect code that gave compiler errors due to redundant declaration of g_ehfid. And with long file names, ADIFOR generates calls to ehsfid with long Hollerith constants that extend past column 72, again giving compiler errors. We had to create sed scripts to post-edit the ADIFOR-generated code to remove these flaws. Similarly, TAPENADE generates pedantic warnings about code that compares floating point values for equality. But it itself generates code that triggers such warnings upon subsequent transformation of transformed code.

Even ignoring the flaws encountered, the documented mode of use for these systems is far from automatic, requiring manual specification, through script files, include files, and command line parameters, of things like the files to scan, the functions and variables to include or

exclude from the transformation process, the prefixes to use, and the dimensions of generated arrays. Furthermore, much of this information is specific to a particular AD system. Both the official mode of use, as well as the specific source-code changes and post-editing steps we had to employ to achieve success, vary significantly between ADIFOR and TAPENADE despite the fact that they both apply to FORTRAN77. The same occurred between ADIC and FADBAD++, despite the fact that our initial code for both of these systems was written in vanilla C(except for use of C++ I/O). (FADBAD++ can handle functions that return real values while ADIC requires returning results by mutating values passed by reference, much like ADIFOR and TAPENADE can only transform subroutines, not functions.) This is all further complicated by the fact that the different AD systems needed different code (in outer, naive_euler, and the main for saddle) to handle the initialization of the tangents of the variables x1c and charges that were implemented as common variables in FORTRAN and global variables in C/C++.

Our companion paper describes a novel language and a novel compiler that addresses the shortcomings described in this paper. We hope that this paper clarifies why we believe that the work described in the companion paper is novel and significant and addresses issues that are not addressed by current AD implementations.

Acknowledgement. This work was supported, in part, by NSF grant CCF-0438806, Science Foundation Ireland grant 00/PI.1/C067, and a grant from the Higher Education Authority of Ireland. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the funding agencies.

References

- Bendtsen, C., Stauning, O.: FADBAD, a flexible C++ package for automatic differentiation. Technical Report IMM–REP–1996–17, Department of Mathematical Modelling, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark (1996)
- Bischof, C.H., Carle, A., Corliss, G., Griewank, A., Hovland, P.: Generating derivative codes from Fortran programs. Preprint MCS–P263–0991, Mathematics and Computer Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Ill. (1992). Also appeared as Technical Report 91185, Center for Research in Parallel Computation, Rice University, Houston, Tex.
- Bischof, C.H., Roh, L., Mauer, A.: ADIC An extensible automatic differentiation tool for ANSI-C. Software-Practice and Experience 27(12), 1427-56 (1997). DOI 10.1002/(SICI)1097-024X(199712)27:12(1427::AID-SPE138)3.0.CO;2-Q. URL http: //www-fp.mcs.anl.gov/division/software
- 4. Hascoët, L., Pascual, V.: TAPENADE 2.1 user's guide. Rapport technique 300, INRIA, Sophia Antipolis (2004). URL http://www.inria.fr/rrrt/rt-0300.html
- 5. Martinelli, M.: Second derivatives via tangent-on-tangent and tangent-on-reverse (2007). Programme of the Sixth Euro AD Workshop
- Pearlmutter, B.A., Siskind, J.M.: Reverse-mode AD in a functional framework: Lambda the ultimate backpropagator. ACM Trans. on Programming Languages and Systems (2008). To appear
- Siskind, J.M., Pearlmutter, B.A.: Perturbation confusion and referential transparency: Correct functional implementation of forward-mode AD. In: A. Butterfield (ed.) Implementation and Application of Functional Languages—17th International Workshop, IFL'05, pp. 1–9. Dublin, Ireland (2005). Trinity College Dublin Computer Science Department Technical Report TCD-CS-2005-60
- 8. Siskind, J.M., Pearlmutter, B.A.: Nesting forward-mode AD in a functional framework. Higher-Order and Symbolic Computation (2008). To appear

9. Willkomm, J.: AD tools from a user's perspective (2007). Programme of the Sixth Euro AD Workshop