

## A note on the ring axioms

It is well known that if  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is a ring with unity 1, then the commutative law of addition  $x + y = y + x$  for all  $x, y \in R$  is redundant; i.e. it can be deduced from the other ring axioms. In such a ring, every  $x \in R$  can be written as a product  $x = x \cdot 1$ . In fact the stated result holds under this weaker condition.

**THEOREM.** Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a ring in which every element is a product; i.e. given  $r \in R$ , there exist elements  $s, t \in R$  such that  $r = s \cdot t$ . Then the commutative law of addition,  $x + y = y + x$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , is redundant.

**PROOF.** Let  $x$  and  $y$  be arbitrary elements of  $R$  and let  $x = a \cdot d$  and  $y = b \cdot c$ . Consider  $(a + b) \cdot (c + d)$ . Now, using the right distributive law followed by the left distributive law we have

$$(a + b) \cdot (c + d) = a \cdot (c + d) + b \cdot (c + d) = a \cdot c + a \cdot d + b \cdot c + b \cdot d.$$

On the other hand, using the left distributive law followed by the right distributive law, we have

$$(a + b) \cdot (c + d) = (a + b) \cdot c + (a + b) \cdot d = a \cdot c + b \cdot c + a \cdot d + b \cdot d.$$

Next, using the left and right cancellation laws in the group  $(R, +)$  we see that

$$a \cdot d + b \cdot c = b \cdot c + a \cdot d \quad \text{i.e.} \quad x + y = y + x.$$

The following example shows that if we drop the condition that every element of  $R$  is a product, then the theorem is no longer valid.

*Example.* Let  $(G, +)$  be a non-commutative group, written additively, with identity element 0. For all  $a, b \in G$ , define  $a \cdot b = 0$ , and thus there exists an element of  $G$  which is not a product. Also  $(G, +, \cdot)$  has all the ring properties except commutativity of  $+$ .

The final example shows that the condition that every element is a product is weaker than the condition that the ring has a one-sided unity.

*Example.* Let  $R = \{0, a, b, c\}$  and let  $R_1 = (R, +, \cdot)$  and  $R_2 = (R, +, *)$  be rings defined by

+	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	a	0	c	b
b	b	c	0	a
c	c	b	a	0

·	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	a	b	c
b	0	a	b	c
c	0	0	0	0

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	a	a	0
b	0	b	b	0
c	0	c	c	0

Then it is clear that  $(R_1, +, \cdot)$  has left unity but not right unity, whereas  $R_2$  has right unity but no left unity. So their direct sum  $R_1 \oplus R_2$  has no left unity or right unity. But  $R_1 \oplus R_2$  does have the product property, for if  $(x, y) \in R_1 \oplus R_2$  then  $(x, y) = (a, y)(x, a)$ .

STEPHEN BUCKLEY  
(graduate student)

*Department of Mathematics, University College, Cork*