Practical all-to-all propagators for lattice QCD
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Abstract

A new method for computing all elements of the lattice quark propagator is proposed. The method combines the spectral decomposition of the propagator, computing the lowest eigenmodes exactly, with noisy estimators which are ‘diluted’, i.e. taken to have support only on a subset of time, space, spin or color. We find that the errors are dramatically reduced compared to traditional noisy estimator techniques.
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1. Introduction

Hadron spectroscopy has traditionally been performed in lattice QCD by computing quark propagators from one or a few points on the lattice (usually the origin) to all other points—so-called point or point-to-all propagators—by inverting the fermion matrix with a point source, and combining the resulting propagators with appropriate operators to produce the desired hadronic correlators. This method does not require massive computing power, but restricts the accessible physics to primarily the flavor non-singlet spectrum. Flavor singlet mesons, as well as condensates and other quantities containing quark loops, require propagators with sources everywhere in space, and other methods, notably noisy sources, have been used for this purpose.
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Furthermore, point propagators restrict the interpolating operator basis used, for example, to produce early plateaus in effective masses, since a new inversion must be performed for every operator that is not restricted to a single lattice point.

Point propagators also throw away a large portion of the information contained in the gauge configurations. It is likely that a limited number of expensive configurations with light dynamical fermions will be available in the near future, and it will be highly desirable to extract as much information as possible from these lattices.

All-to-all propagators [1–14] provide a solution to these problems, but are usually too expensive to compute exactly as this requires an unrealistic number of quark inversions. Stochastic estimates tend to be very noisy and variance reduction techniques are crucial in order to separate the signal from the noise. In this paper we propose an exact algorithm to compute the all-to-all propagator utilizing the idea of low-mode dominance corrected by a stochastic estimator which yields the exact all-to-all propagator in a finite number of quark inversions. Some preliminary results were presented in Ref. [15].

The structure of the paper is as follows: In Section 2 we describe the principles of the method, and the notation we will use. Section 3 describes how QCD correlators, and meson two-point functions in particular, are computed in this framework. In Section 4 we present results for the meson spectrum, including P-waves and static-light mesons, using this method, and compare these with results using traditional methods. Finally, in Section 5 we present our conclusions.

2. Theory and notation

In the following, Latin indices \( i, j, \ldots \) denote color; Greek indices \( \alpha, \beta, \ldots \) denote spin. Indices in brackets denote eigenvectors and dilution indices, while indices in square brackets denote independent noise vectors; all of these will be introduced in the following. We use \( \langle u, v \rangle \) to denote the inner product (dot product) of two fermion vectors \( u \) and \( v \),

\[
\langle u(t), v(t) \rangle \equiv \sum_{\vec{x},\alpha,i} u^{i\alpha}(\vec{x},t)^* v^{i\alpha}(\vec{x},t).
\]

If the \( t \)-argument is absent from either of the vectors, the product is taken to be a global dot product, i.e. summed over all \( t \).

2.1. Noisy estimators and dilution

The standard method of estimating the all-to-all quark propagator is by sampling the vector space stochastically. One generates an ensemble of random, independent noise vectors, \( \{ \eta_{[1]}, \ldots, \eta_{[N_r]} \} \), with the property

\[
\langle \langle \eta_{[r]}(x) \otimes \eta_{[r]}(y)^\dagger \rangle \rangle = \delta_{x,y},
\]

where \( \langle \langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle \rangle \) is the expectation value over the distribution of noise vectors. Each component of the noise vectors has modulus 1,

\[
\eta^{i\alpha}(x)^* \eta^{i\alpha}(x) = 1 \quad \text{(no summation)}.
\]

The solution vectors \( \psi_{[r]} \) are obtained in the usual way,

\[
\psi_{[r]}(x) = M^{-1} \eta_{[r]}(y).
\]

The quark propagator from any point \( x \) to any other point \( y \) is given by

\[
M^{-1}(y,x)_{a\beta} = \langle \psi_{[r]} \otimes \eta_{[r]}^\dagger \rangle_{a\beta} = \lim_{N_r \to \infty} \frac{1}{N_r} \sum_{r} \psi_{[r]}^{i\alpha}(y) \eta_{[r]}^{j\beta}(x)^\dagger.
\]
This method is noisy because it relies on delicate cancellations in the $O(1)$ noise over many samples to find the signal, which falls off exponentially with the separation. We propose to remove the $O(1)$ random noise by “diluting” the noise vector in some set of variables $(j)$ such that $\eta = \sum_j \eta^{(j)}$, resulting in a substantial reduction in the variance. A particularly important example of dilution for measuring temporal correlations in hadronic quantities is “time dilution” where the noise vector is broken up into pieces which only have support on a single timeslice each,

$$\eta(x, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N_t-1} \eta^{(j)}(x, t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\eta^{(j)}(x, t) = 0$ unless $t = j$.

Each diluted source is inverted, yielding $N_d$ pairs of vectors, $\{\psi^{(j)}, \eta^{(j)}\}$, which then gives an unbiased estimator of $M^{-1}$ with a single noise source,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N_d-1} \psi^{(i)}(x, t) \otimes \eta^{(i)}(x_0, t_0)^\dagger.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

We show the effect of time dilution on a pseudoscalar propagator on a $12^3 \times 24$ lattice in Fig. 1. The circles are the average of 24 noise sources without any dilution and the diamonds are from a single noise source which has been time-dilated. This particular scenario is analogous to the methods used in Refs. [16,17]. Our method is, however, more general and can be extended to the spin, color and space components of the source vector.\(^1\) The “homeopathic” limit of the dilution procedure, where we have one noise vector for each time, space, color and spin component, results in the exact all-to-all propagator in a finite number of steps, because of the property of Eq. (3), see Fig. 2. This limit cannot be reached in practice on realistic lattices, but the path of dilution may be optimized so that the noise from the gauge fields dominate the errors in the hadronic quantities of interest with only a small, manageable number of fermion matrix inversions.

\(^1\) A dilution scheme that includes spin and color, but not time dilution, was previously used in Ref. [8].
2.2. Spectral decomposition

In the following we will make use of the hermitian Dirac operator $Q \equiv \gamma_5 M$, where $M$ is the usual Dirac operator. The quark propagator is $M^{-1} = Q^{-1} \gamma_5$, where $Q^{-1}$ is given by a sum over all eigenmodes,

$$Q^{-1}(y, x) = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\lambda_l} v^{(l)}(y) \otimes v^{(l)}(x)^\dagger. \quad (8)$$

Here, $Q v^{(l)} = \lambda_l v^{(l)}$ and $N$ is the rank of the matrix. Computing all these eigenvectors is clearly not feasible; however, theoretical arguments, supported by numerical evidence [9,10,18], suggest that much of the important infrared physics in hadronic interactions is encoded in the low-lying eigenmodes. Truncating the sum in Eq. (8) at some finite $N \to N_{ev}$ should thus yield a good estimate of the quark propagator for practical purposes. Such a truncation does, however, violate reflection positivity, making it mandatory to correct it.

2.3. Hybrid method

Given the preceding discussion, a natural suggestion would be to try to calculate as many as possible of the low modes exactly and correct the truncation with the noisy method. This gives rise to two concerns: firstly, the correction should leave the exactly solved low-lying modes intact; and secondly, it should not introduce large uncertainties in the process. We propose that the stochastic method with noise dilution is a natural way to accommodate both of those concerns.

First, we note that the exact $N_{ev}$ low eigenmodes obtained separately naturally divide $Q$ into two subspaces, $Q = Q_0 + Q_1$, defined by

$$Q_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{ev}} \lambda_i v^{(i)} \otimes v^{(i)}\dagger, \quad Q_1 = \sum_{j=N_{ev}+1}^{N} \lambda_j v^{(j)} \otimes v^{(j)}\dagger. \quad (9)$$
Similarly, the quark propagator is broken up into two pieces, \( Q^{-1} = \tilde{Q}_0 + \tilde{Q}_1 \), where \( \tilde{Q}_0 \) is the truncated version of Eq. (8) and \( \tilde{Q}_1 = Q^{-1} P_1 \), where \( P_1 \) is the projection operator

\[
P_1 = 1 - P_0 = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{N_{ev}} v^{(j)} \otimes v^{(j)\dagger}.
\]  

We correct the truncation and estimate \( \tilde{Q}_1 \) using the stochastic method, \( \tilde{Q}_1 = \langle \langle \psi_{[r]} \otimes \eta_{[r]}^\dagger \rangle \rangle \) with \( N_r \) noise vectors, \( \{\eta[1], \ldots, \eta[N_{HL}]\} \). The solutions are given by

\[
\psi_{[r]} = \tilde{Q}_1 \eta_{[r]} = Q^{-1} (P_1 \eta_{[r]}).
\]  

Since \( P_1 \) is a projector that commutes with \( Q \), we have \( \tilde{Q}_1 = P_1 Q^{-1} = P_1 Q^{-1} P_1 \). We can use this fact to ensure that our estimator of \( \tilde{Q}_1 \) satisfies \( \tilde{Q}_1^{\text{est}} P_0 = 0 \) by instead using the representation \( \tilde{Q}_1 = \langle \langle \psi_{[r]} \otimes \eta_{[r]}^\dagger \rangle \rangle \).

We now apply the idea of dilution to the stochastic estimation of \( \tilde{Q}_1 \). Each random noise vector, \( \eta_{[r]} \), that is generated will be diluted and orthogonalized (with respect to \( v^{(i)} \)) so that it can be used to obtain \( \psi_{[r]} \). In other words, we now have the following set of noise vectors:

\[
\{\{\eta_{[1]}^{(1)}, \ldots, \eta_{[HL]}^{(1)}\}, \ldots, \{\eta_{[1]}^{(N_r)}, \ldots, \eta_{[HL]}^{(N_r)}\}\},
\]

where the upper indices denote the dilution and the lower indices label the different noise samples. Note that the noise vectors are mutually orthogonal due to the dilution before an average over different random vectors are taken, i.e.

\[
\eta^{(i)}_{[r]}(\vec{x}, t) \otimes \eta^{(j)\dagger}_{[s]}(\vec{y}, t') = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \neq j. 
\]

This results in smaller variance than the standard method which mixes noise, as Eq. (2) shows.

There is a natural way to combine the two methods to estimate the all-to-all quark propagator. The similarity in the structure of Eqs. (8) and (5) suggests that one construct the following “hybrid list” for the source and solution vectors:

\[
u^{(i)} = \left\{ \frac{v^{(1)}}{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \frac{v^{(N_{ev})}}{\lambda_{N_{ev}}} | P_1 \eta^{(1)}, \ldots, P_1 \eta^{(N_d)} \right\},
\]

\[
u^{(i)} = \left\{ v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(N_{ev})}, \psi^{(1)}, \ldots, \psi^{(N_d)} \right\},
\]

where the indices run over \( N_{HL} = N_{ev} + N_d \) elements. The unbiased, variance reduced estimate of the all-to-all quark propagator (for a single random noise vector) is then given by

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N_{HL}} u^{(i)}(\vec{x}, x_4) \otimes w^{(i)}(\vec{y}, y_4)^\dagger \gamma_5.
\]

Using the pion as an example, we can demonstrate how positivity is recovered from the truncated propagator. In Fig. 3, we show the effective mass from the truncated propagator and from the hybrid method with a time, spin, color and space (even–odd) diluted noise vector. The truncated propagator, yielding an effective mass that approaches the asymptotic value from below, is corrected by the addition of the diluted noisy propagator.

\footnote{Note that defining \( w^{(i)} \) without the projector \( P_1 \) on the \( \eta \)-vectors in Eq. (13) also gives an unbiased estimator. These two different representations, corresponding to \( \tilde{Q}_1 = \langle \langle \psi_{[r]} \otimes \eta_{[r]}^\dagger \rangle \rangle \) and \( \tilde{Q}_1 = \langle \langle \psi_{[r]} \otimes \eta_{[r]}^\dagger \rangle \rangle \), will in general have different variances, although in some short numerical tests we were unable to discern this difference.}
3. Implementation for QCD correlators

Besides expanding the range of applications accessible in lattice QCD, all-to-all propagators make the construction of hadronic interpolating operators considerably simpler. With the (local) meson creation operator

$$\mathcal{O}_\Gamma (\vec{x}, t) = \bar{\psi}(\vec{x}, t) \Gamma \psi(\vec{x}, t),$$

a meson propagator is constructed using traditional, point-to-all propagators as follows,

$$C_\Gamma (\vec{x}, t; \vec{0}, 0) = \text{Tr} \ M^{-1}(\vec{x}, t; \vec{0}, 0) \Gamma \gamma^5 M^{-1}(\vec{x}, t; \vec{0}, 0)^\dagger \gamma^5 \Gamma.$$  

This construction, where the local operators are traded for non-local objects constructed from the quark propagators, may work well as long as we restrict ourselves to operators localized at a single lattice site. However, an extended source operator involves connecting quark propagators from sites in the vicinity of the source site. This requires at least an additional inversion and entangles the matrix inversion and operator construction stages: to a certain extent, the matrix inversion requires knowledge of the operators to be used.

All-to-all propagators eliminate this complication as both source and sink operators are constructed purely from local vectors,

$$\mathcal{O}^{(i,j)}_\Gamma (\vec{x}, t) = w^{(i)}(\vec{x}, t)^\dagger \gamma^5 \Gamma u^{(j)}(\vec{x}, t).$$

The extra factor of $\gamma_5$ comes from the use of the hermitian Dirac matrix, $\gamma_5 M$. Two pairs of vectors, $(w_{[1]}, u_{[1]})$ and $(w_{[2]}, u_{[2]})$, are needed for the two independent noisy estimators of the two propagators. $\Gamma$ can now denote an extended operator acting on the vector $u$. For complicated operators such as those used to project out hybrid or exotic states, this is a much needed simplification. For example, an interpolating operator for the exotic hybrid $1^{-+}$ can be constructed from combinations of gluonic paths projecting out the relevant quantum numbers [19].

One term in such a sum may be

$$w^{[1]}(\vec{x})^\dagger U_z(\vec{x}) U_y(\vec{x} + \hat{e}_z) U_z^\dagger (\vec{x} + \hat{e}_y) u^{[j]}(\vec{x} + \hat{e}_y),$$

where the common $t$-index is suppressed. A standard P-wave state may for example be constructed using

$$\mathcal{O}^{(i,j)P}(\vec{x}, t) = w^{(i)}(\vec{x}, t)^\dagger \gamma_5 (D_k u^{(j)})(\vec{x}, t),$$

where $D_k = \partial_k - i g A_k$. For compact notation, it is useful to introduce

$$\mathcal{A}^{(i)}(\vec{x}, t) = (D_k u^{(i)})(\vec{x}, t).$$

Fig. 3. The pion effective mass from 50, 100 eigenvectors and from the hybrid method with 100 eigenvectors and a time, spin, color and space (even–odd) diluted noise vector.
where

\[ D_{k} u^{(j)}(\vec{x}, t) = U_{k}(\vec{x}, t) u^{(j)}(\vec{x} + \hat{e}_{k}, t) - u^{(j)}(\vec{x}, t). \]  

(21)

Using all-to-all propagators, correlation functions are also constructed in an intuitive manner. Hadronic correlation functions are obtained from interpolating operators sitting at different time slices, e.g.,

\[ C^{AB}(\delta t) = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{t} O^{(i,j)A}_{[1,2]}(t) O^{(j,i)B}_{[2,1]}(t + \delta t), \]  

(22)

where

\[ O^{(i,j)A}_{[1,2]}(t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} w(i)_{[2]}(\vec{x}, t)^\dagger \gamma_5 \Gamma A u^{(j)}_{[1]}(\vec{x}, t) \equiv \langle w(i)_{[2]}(t), \gamma_5 \Gamma A u^{(j)}_{[1]}(t) \rangle \]  

(23)

and

\[ O^{(j,i)B}_{[2,1]}(t + \delta t) = \langle w(j)_{[1]}(t + \delta t), \gamma_5 \Gamma B u^{(i)}_{[2]}(t + \delta t) \rangle, \]  

(24)

for isovector two-point correlators. The correlator is constructed as a product of these meson operators on different time slices. The operators \( \Gamma A, B \) may now also include phases for momentum projections. As a consequence, once the hybrid list vectors have been constructed, correlation functions for any operator can be computed without any additional inversions.

3.1. Noise recycling

We can take advantage of having saved different random source/solution samples by reusing them in the contraction. In other words, one can generate \( N_R \) samples of noise vectors, \( \eta_{[r]} \), save the corresponding solutions, \( \psi_{[r]} \) to disk and perform the contraction,

\[ C^{AB}(t, t_0) = \sum_{r<s} \langle w_{[r]}(t), \Gamma A u_{[s]}(t) \rangle \langle w_{[s]}(t_0), \Gamma B u_{[r]}(t_0) \rangle, \]  

(25)

yielding \( \sim N_R^2 \) samples of the correlation function. The errors correspondingly decrease faster than the naive \( 1/\sqrt{N_R} \), although the measurements are somewhat correlated. We have seen in our preliminary tests that the error reduction is comparable to some dilution choices. It is clear that if one can afford to save the noise and solution vectors onto disk, then this is a straightforward method of variance reduction for mass-degenerate mesons.

3.2. Improving performance

When constructing one of these hadron operators, such as Eq. (23), on a particular time slice \( t \) and for a particular operator \( A \), the hybrid technique can be manipulated for computational efficiency.

Firstly, compute (and store)

\[ z_{[1]}^{(j)}(\vec{x}, t) = \gamma_5 \Gamma A u^{(j)}_{[1]}(\vec{x}, t), \quad \forall j. \]  

(26)

What now remains for the calculation of the time-slice elements of (Eq. (23)) is \( N_{\text{HL}} \times N_{\text{HL}} \) dot-products of spinors on a time slice, since

\[ O^{(i,j)A}_{[1,2]}(t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} w^{(i)}_{[2]}(\vec{x}, t)^\dagger z_{[1]}^{(j)}(\vec{x}, t) \equiv \langle u^{(i)}_{[2]}(t), z_{[1]}^{(j)}(t) \rangle. \]  

(27)
We note that time dilution is taken as a minimum requirement in the case of meson two-point functions and that the \( w^{(i)} \) hybrid list is naturally divided between our two vector spaces, since

\[
w^{(i)} = \left\{ \frac{v^{(1)}}{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \frac{v^{(N_{ev})}}{\lambda_{N_{ev}}}, \mathcal{P}_1 \eta^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_1 \eta^{(N_d)} \right\}.
\]

If we evaluate (and store)

\[
\langle w^{(i)}[2](t), z^{(j)}[1](t) \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \langle \eta^{(i)}[2](t), z^{(j)}[1](t) \rangle, \quad \forall i \leq N_{ev}, \forall j,
\]

the elements that remain to be computed are

\[
\langle \eta^{(i)}[2](t), (1 - \mathcal{P}_0) z^{(j)}[1](t) \rangle = \langle \eta^{(i)}[2](t), z^{(j)}[1](t) \rangle - \sum_{k=1}^{N_{ev}} \alpha^{(ik)} \langle \eta^{(i)}[2], v^{(k)}[2] \rangle,
\]

where

\[
\alpha^{(ik)} = \langle \eta^{(i)}[2], v^{(k)} \rangle = \langle \eta^{(i)}, v^{(k)} \rangle.
\]

The first term only needs to be computed if \( \eta^{(i)}[2](t) \) has support on the relevant time slice. The second term is simply a weighted sum over terms from the eigenvector space of \( w^{(i)} \) that have already been calculated. The weights are given by \textit{global} dot products of quark vectors which can be calculated externally to any particular time slice or operator: Since each \( \eta \) has support on only a single time slice, the global dot product is equal to the dot product of \( v \) with the time-slice restricted \( \eta(t) \).

This method also reduces the amount of storage required for the noise quarkfields by a factor of \( N_t \) since only the supported time slice needs to be stored on disk, all others being identically zero. This argument is easily extended to include the conjugate operator and all required momenta.

Once the general routine to construct an operator field \( C^{(i,j)}_{k,l}(t) \), as in Eq. (23), and the summation over the hybrid list indices required in Eq. (22) are in place, the method becomes a black box to the end user. From Eq. (26), we see that this user need only supply the subroutines to create the required hadron operators from the quark, antiquark and gluon fields on a time slice for each of the required operator fields. These routines would only contain the operations to be performed on the quark field, such as \( D_j \psi \), and have no reference to the hybrid lists.

For isoscalar mesons, the disconnected part of the propagator must be included, yielding the following contraction,

\[
C^{(i,j)}_{\Gamma-0}(t, t_0) = \langle \gamma_5 \Gamma u^{(j)}[11](t) | u^{(j)}[22](t_0) \rangle - \langle \gamma_5 \Gamma^\dagger u^{(i)}[22](t) | u^{(i)}[11](t_0) \rangle.
\]

3.3. Recipe to compute two-point functions

1. Calculate \( N_{ev} \) eigenvectors, \( v_j \), of \( \gamma_5 M \) with eigenvalues \( \lambda_j \).
2. Calculate solution vectors, \( \psi^{(i)} \), of

\[
\gamma_5 M \psi^{(i)} = \mathcal{P}_1 \eta^{(i)}, \quad \forall i
\]

where \( \eta^{(i)} \) are diluted noise vectors, satisfying Eqs. (2), (3) and (6) and

\[
\mathcal{P}_1 = 1 - \mathcal{P}_0 = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{N_{ev}} v^{(j)} \otimes v^{(j)}\dagger.
\]

At least two independent noise vectors need to be generated for the two quark propagators required in two-point functions.
3. With the hybrid lists defined as in Eqs. (13) and (14), construct the (time-slice) vectors $z^{(j)}_{[r]}(t)$,

$$z^{(j)}_{[r]}(t) = \gamma_5 \Gamma^{A} u^{(j)}_{[r]}(t), \quad \forall j,$$

where the operation $\Gamma^A u^{(j)}_{[r]}(t)$ is defined by the end user, and can also represent a conjugate operation.

4. Construct the operator field $O^{(i,j)\text{A}}_{[1,2]}(t)$

$$O^{(i,j)\text{A}}_{[1,2]}(t) = \{w^{(i)}_{[2]}(t), z^{(j)}_{[1]}(t)\},$$

on a time slice $t$ and store it to disk. Repeat for all time slices and for both the source and sink operators.

5. Contract these operator fields to obtain the two-point correlator $C^{AB}$,

$$C^{AB}(\delta t) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{HL}}} O^{(i,j)\text{A}}_{[1,2]}(t) O^{(j,i)\text{B}}_{[2,1]}(t + \delta t).$$

6. If increased accuracy is required, calculate $N_{\text{ev}}' - N_{\text{ev}}$ additional eigenvectors of $\gamma_5 M$. The solution vectors $\psi^{(i)}$ can be projected into the reduced orthogonal eigenvector space in $\gamma_5 M$ by the addition of a correction term,

$$\psi^{(i)} \rightarrow \psi^{(i)} - \sum_{j=N_{\text{ev}}}^{N_{\text{ev}}'} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} (v_j, \eta^{(i)}) v_j.$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

One can also increase the dilution level, from $N_d$ to $N_d'$ diluted noise vectors, with only $N_d' - N_d$ extra quark inversions. For example, if $\gamma_5 M \psi = \mathcal{P}_1 \eta$ with $\eta = \eta^{(1)} + \eta^{(2)}$, we need only calculate the solution to

$$\gamma_5 M \psi^{(1)} = \mathcal{P}_1 \eta^{(1)}$$

and $\eta^{(2)} = \eta - \eta^{(1)}$ and $\psi^{(2)} = \psi - \psi^{(1)}$.

4. Results

In this exploratory study, we use a data set consisting of 75 quenched configurations at $\beta = 5.7$ on a $12^3 \times 24$ lattice. We have used Wilson fermions with hopping parameter $\kappa = 0.1675$, corresponding to $m_\pi/m_\rho = 0.50$ [20]. The 100 lowest eigenvectors have been computed for each configuration, and noise vectors have been diluted in time, spin, color and in space on an even/odd basis.

4.1. Isovector mesons

We have computed correlators for the pion, rho, the $1^{+-}, 0^{++}, 1^{++}$ and $2^{++}$ P-wave states, using spatially extended operators for the P-waves [19]. The quark fields were smeared with 10 levels of Jacobi smearing iterations. In cases where we use multiple operators for a single state, we have found some differences in the overlap depending on the spatial size of the operators where typically larger operators were favored.

Fig. 4 shows effective masses for the pion, rho and $1^{+-}$ P-wave states, using 100 eigenvectors, time, color, spin and space-even–odd dilution and 75 configurations. A lower statistics result for the hybrid $1^{+-}$ was reported in Ref. [15].

We can also compare the results using our method with results using traditional point-to-all propagators on the same number of configurations. These are shown in Fig. 5 for the pion, rho and $1^{+-}$ P-wave. The P-wave was computed using point sources at 3 different spatial points in order to construct the derivative operator. While
the improved signal for the pion may not justify the additional computational expense, it is clear that for the $\rho$ meson and in particular the P-wave the reduction in noise is very significant with all-to-all propagators. This is particularly important if only a limited number of configurations is available, which will be the case for light, dynamical full QCD configurations. In the case of P-waves (as well as hybrids, and of course isoscalar mesons) all-to-all propagators may be the only way of obtaining any acceptable signal at all.

4.1.1. Effect of dilution

First we study the effect of dilution using only noise vectors and no eigenvectors. Results for the pion, rho, $1^{+-}$, $1^{++}$ and $2^{++}$ are shown in Figs. 6–10 with square symbols. The error bars on the errors were obtained by bootstrap.
Fig. 6. Demonstration of the effect of dilution on the statistical errors as a function of dilution, for the pion. The squares denote the errors obtained using only noise vectors, while the diamonds are errors obtained using the hybrid method.

Fig. 7. Demonstration of the effect of dilution on the statistical errors as a function of dilution, for the \( \rho \). The squares denote the relative errors on the correlator on time slice 3 obtained using only noise vectors, while the diamonds are errors obtained using the hybrid method. The solid line is the expected behavior of the errors from accumulating an equivalent number of independent (time-diluted) noise samples. The inset shows the relative errors from the hybrid method in more detail.

resampling. Time dilution is sufficient to reach the level where the error on the correlator is saturated by the gauge fluctuations for the pion. This is not the case for the vector meson as the error decreases with increasing number of dilutions. We also show the curve which would be expected to result from using \( N \) independent noise samples per time slice. This curve is given by

\[
\sigma^2_N = \frac{\sigma^2_1 - \sigma^2_g}{N} + \sigma^2_g,
\]  

(40)
where $\sigma_g$ is the gauge noise, i.e. the statistical uncertainty resulting from having a finite number of gauge configurations. We have assumed that the gauge noise is given by the errors from the hybrid method with our highest dilution level, $\sigma_g = \sigma_{hyb}^{24}$. We see in all cases studied here that dilution always works better than accumulating an equivalent number of independent noise samples. A closer inspection reveals that the errors fall off like $1/N$ rather than the naive $1/\sqrt{N}$. This is in addition to the exponential gain due to time dilution.

4.1.2. Effect of eigenvectors

Figs. 3 and 11 show how the low-lying eigenvectors saturate the signal for the pion and to a lesser extent the $\rho$ meson. For early times the effective mass from the eigenvectors alone clearly exhibits violation of positivity, but from $t = 7$ onwards for the pion and $t = 9$ for the $\rho$, the full signal for the effective mass is captured by the eigenvectors. We also note the clear difference between the data from 50 and 100 eigenvectors. We have not performed any systematic study of the effect of varying the number of eigenvectors in the hybrid method, since
this is expected to be highly dependent on the action and lattice spacing used, and the non-chiral action and coarse lattices used in this exploratory study would be unlikely to yield valuable information for more realistic simulations.

The diamonds (lower points) in Figs. 6–10 show the behavior of the errors for the pion, rho, $1^{+-}$, $1^{++}$ and $2^{++}$ as a function of the dilution level for the hybrid method with 100 eigenvectors. We observe a dramatic decrease in the relative error of the estimated correlator when the lowest 100 eigenvectors are used. The exception is the pion, where the errors remain constant at about 5% (from our 75 configurations) regardless of dilution and eigenvectors. We interpret this as an effect of the pathologies of Wilson fermions on coarse lattices with light quarks, where the large fluctuations of the lowest eigenmode affect the pion in particular.

The insets of Figs. 7 and 8 show the errors for the hybrid method in more detail as a function of dilution, for the $\rho$ meson and $1^{++}$ P-wave. The gauge noise level appears to be reached somewhere between dilution levels 6 (color
4.2. Isoscalar mesons

We have computed the disconnected part of the pseudoscalar isoscalar ($\eta'$) meson. Fig. 12 shows the errors as a function of dilution level for the hybrid method ($N_{\text{ev}} = 100$) and using only a noisy estimator. We see that the errors from the gauge noise are large, of the order of 20%, and consequently the total uncertainty is saturated by the gauge noise almost immediately. This is not surprising on this coarse lattice with a non-chiral action, but it gives confidence that a good signal can be obtained from a proper action.

4.3. Static-light mesons

The benefit of using all-to-all propagators is particularly evident in simulations of heavy-light mesons in the static limit. Using a single point propagator for the light quark means that the source and sink of the static-light correlator are restricted to a single spatial lattice site. All-to-all propagators allow us to place source and sink operators at each spatial site on the lattice, yielding a dramatic increase in statistics. The application of all-to-all propagators to the static-light spectrum has previously been examined in Refs. [6,21].

The mesons considered in the previous sections are classified according to their $J^{PC}$ quantum numbers. Here, however, the mesons contain non-degenerate quarks and charge conjugation is no longer a symmetry of the meson. Also, static-light mesons which differ only in the spin of the static quark are degenerate. Therefore, in the static limit, we find a single $S$-wave channel and two distinct $P$-wave channels. We label these $J^P$ where $J_\ell$ is the total angular momentum of the light degrees of freedom. The $S$-wave is then $\frac{1}{2}^-$ and the $P$-wave channels are $\frac{1}{2}^+$ and $\frac{3}{2}^+$. Fig. 13 shows effective masses for the static-light mesons. The run parameters are the same as for the isovector mesons shown in Fig. 4 and, once again, we have used extended operators for the $P$-waves. We obtain excellent signals in each channel and, as Fig. 14 shows, we are able to determine the $P$-wave ground-state energies to within 1% accuracy. Physically, the $\frac{3}{2}^+$ is expected to be heavier than the $\frac{1}{2}^+$ [21] but, given the coarseness of the lattice and the actions used, we do not expect our results to be physically meaningful.
The results were obtained using the variational method with five operators differing by the Jacobi smearing parameters of the light quark. This is a very simple way of extending the basis operators to get a better overlap with the ground state and for identifying excited states. As Fig. 15 shows, we find clear signals for the first and second excited states of the S-wave. We can also resolve signals for the first excited states of both P-waves.

Figs. 16–18 show the relative errors for the static-light meson correlators as a function of dilution level. For the $\frac{1}{2}^-$ and $\frac{3}{2}^+$ the errors are already saturated by the gauge noise with time dilution alone, while for the $\frac{1}{2}^+$ there is still some gain to be had from additional dilution. In this case we find that the errors fall off approximately as $1/\sqrt{N}$, indicating little if any sensitivity to the dilution path for this variable: after time dilution, the purely statistical gain is the dominant factor.
5. Conclusions

We have presented a new algorithm to estimate the all-to-all propagator. All-to-all propagators make it possible to make use of all the available information in a gauge configuration, which considering the cost to generate full QCD configurations may be of crucial importance. They are also a necessary ingredient in flavor-singlet physics and in computing fermionic thermodynamic quantities.

All-to-all propagators have a further advantage over point propagators in that operator construction is considerably simplified: the operators are constructed in a natural way from local fields, and extended operators used in variational methods may be employed at no additional cost.

We have presented evidence that diluting stochastic estimators in time, color, spin or other variables gives less variance than traditional noisy estimators. This is not unexpected, since dilution will yield the exact all-to-
all propagator in a finite number of iterations. More work is needed to determine the optimal dilution path for different observables, although the simplest choices (color, space even/odd, and to some extent spin dilution) are often sufficient to reach the gauge noise level for the ensemble sizes considered here.

The hybrid method allows one to extract the important physics from low-lying eigenmodes and combine this with a noisy correction in a natural way. This may be implemented so that the user can be blind to the details of the dilution and eigenvector list.

We have found that this method makes it possible to determine traditionally “noisy” quantities such as masses of P-wave states, hybrids and static-light mesons (including excited states) to a high level of precision with limited statistics.
Although we have focused on (mainly isovector) mesons in this study, the method is also straightforwardly applicable to baryons and to thermodynamic quantities (condensates and susceptibilities). Work on flavor singlets and thermodynamic observables is currently in progress.
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