Related content

- <u>The Kahler 2-form in D=11 supergravity</u> B P Dolan
- <u>Hopf fibration of eleven-dimensional</u> supergravity B E W Nilsson and C N Pope
- An $S^2 \times S^1$ bundle over CP^2 a solution of d = 11 supergravity with isometry group (SU(3)/Z_3) × U(2) B Dolan

To cite this article: B Dolan 1985 Class. Quantum Grav. 2 L91

An $S^2 \times S^1$ bundle over CP²-a solution of d=11

supergravity with isometry group $(SU(3)/Z_3) \times U(2)$

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



S IOP Astronomy ebooks

Part of your publishing universe and your first choice for astronomy, astrophysics, solar physics and planetary science ebooks.

iopscience.org/books/aas

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

An $S^2 \times S^1$ bundle over CP^2 —a solution of d = 11supergravity with isometry group (SU(3)/Z₃)×U(2)

Brian Dolan

Department of Natural Philosophy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK

Received 28 January 1985

Abstract. By considering the dimensional reduction of d = 11 supergravity to the N = 2, d = 10 non-chiral theory, and using the torsion free connection on CP^2 to construct an S^2 bundle over CP^2 , a new solution of d = 11 supergravity is presented with internal symmetry group $(SU(3)/Z_3) \times U(2)$.

There are now many solutions known of the d = 11 supergravity equations of motion [1, 2]. In particular, the dimensional reduction to N = 2, d = 10, non-chiral supergravity has led to the investigation of Hopf fibrations of compact, seven-dimensional manifolds [3, 4]. In this letter, a new solution of the latter type is presented in which the manifold is topologically a twisted product of $(S^2 \times S^1)$ over CP^2 . The isometry group is $(SU(3)/Z_3) \times U(2)$.

The dimensional reduction from d = 11 to d = 10 is effected in the following manner for the bosonic field equations. Let $e^{M}(M = 0, 1, ..., 10)$ be the orthonormal 1-forms for the metric in eleven dimensions, and make the ansatz

$$e^{10} = \exp(\sigma)(\mathrm{d}x^{10} + \frac{1}{3}\lambda A)$$
 $\lambda = \mathrm{constant}$ (1)

where A is a 1-form in ten dimensions—all fields are assumed independent of the eleventh dimension (the factor of $\frac{1}{3}$ is for later convenience). For the 4-form field, \mathcal{F} , let

$$\mathcal{F} = f + g_{\wedge} e^{10}$$

where f is a 4-form in ten dimensions and g is a 3-form in ten dimensions.

Then the bosonic field equations in ten dimensions are (with F = dA and A, B, $C, \ldots = 0, 1, \ldots, 9$) [3]

$$R_{AB_{h}} * e^{ABC} = \tau_{\sigma}^{C} + \tau_{F}^{C} + \tau_{f}^{C} + \tau_{g}^{C} = \tau^{C}$$

$$\frac{1}{3}\lambda d[(\exp(9\sigma/4) * F] = -2 \exp(3\sigma/4)g_{h} * f$$

$$d * d\sigma = \frac{2}{3} \exp(-3\sigma/2)g_{h} * g - \frac{1}{3} \exp(3\sigma/4)f_{h} * f - \frac{1}{18}\lambda^{2} \exp(9\sigma/4)F_{h} * F$$

$$d[\exp(-3\sigma/2) * g] - \frac{1}{3}\lambda \exp(3\sigma/4)F_{h} * f = -f_{h}f$$

$$d[\exp(3\sigma/4) * f] = 2f_{h}g$$

$$dF = dg = 0$$

$$df = \frac{1}{3}\lambda g_{h}F$$

0264-9381/85/040091+04\$02.25 © 1985 The Institute of Physics

 τ^{C} are the energy-momentum 9-forms for the matter fields

$$\tau_{\sigma}^{C} = -\frac{9}{8}(i^{C} d\sigma_{\wedge} * d\sigma + d\sigma_{\wedge}i^{C} * d\sigma)$$

$$\tau_{F}^{C} = -\frac{1}{18}\lambda^{2} \exp(9\sigma/4)(i^{C}F_{\wedge} * F - F_{\wedge}i^{C} * F)$$

$$\tau_{f}^{C} = -\exp(3\sigma/4)(i^{C}f_{\wedge} * f - f_{\wedge}i^{C} * f)$$

$$\tau_{g}^{C} = -\exp(-3\sigma/2)(i^{C}g_{\wedge} * g + g_{\wedge}i^{C} * g)$$

 i^{C} is the interior derivative (contraction with the vector orthonormal to e^{C}), * is the ten-dimensional Hodge duality operator. Indices are raised and lowered with $\eta_{AB} = \text{diag}(-+\ldots+)$. R_{AB} are the curvature 2-forms. The shorthand notation $e^{ABC\ldots} = e_{A}^{A} e_{B}^{A} e_{C}^{A} \ldots$ has been used.

To construct a solution, first employ the Freund-Rubin ansatz [5], and assume that four-dimensional spacetime is anti-de Sitter space (Ads). Take

$$f = \varepsilon \times (\text{volume form of Ads}), \qquad \varepsilon = \text{constant}$$

next, let $\sigma = 0$ and g = 0 ($\sigma = \text{constant} \neq 0$ merely requires a redefinition of ε).

A metric on the seven-dimensional internal space (or, equivalently, F and the metric on the six-dimensional space) corresponding to an $(S^2 \times S^1)$ bundle over CP^2 can be constructed in the following way.

Let b^{α} , $\alpha = 4$, 5, 6, 7 be orthonormal 1-forms for the Fubini-Study metric on CP^2 and b^m , m = 8, 9 be orthonormal 1-forms for the standard metric on S^2 , radius a = const.Let L_j^m , m = 8, 9; j = 1, 2, 3 be the components in the b^m basis of the three Killing 1-forms on S^2 .

Then take

$$e^{\alpha} = b^{\alpha}, \qquad e^{m} = b^{m} - 2aL_{j}^{m}A^{j} \tag{2}$$

where A^j are three 1-forms on CP^2 . Everything has now been specified, except the four 1-forms A and A^j (j=1,2,3). 1-forms which satisfy the equations of motion can be constructed in the following way. Consider the connection on CP^2 obtained from b^{α} via the zero torsion structure equation. For a general four-dimensional manifold, this would be an SO(4) Lie algebra valued 1-form, and can be split into two SU(2) Lie algebra valued 1-forms by taking the self-dual and anti-self-dual parts in the SO(4) indices. For CP^2 , however, the holonomy group is U(2), rather than the full SO(4) and one finds that the anti-self-dual part gives an SU(2) Lie algebra valued 1-form, while the self-dual part reduces to a U(1) connection (the conventions are such that the volume element of CP^2 is $b^4_{\Lambda}b^5_{\Lambda}b^7_{\Lambda}b^6$ in the basis given below). A^j are identified with the anti-self-dual SU(2) connection and A with the self-dual U(1) connection.

The quantisation condition for such a configuration is automatically satisfied, since on any coordinate overlap two sets of orthonormal 1-forms are defined, differing by a well defined U(2) gauge transformation, which also gives the gauge transformation on A and A^{j} between the two coordinate patches.

Explicitly, with σ^{j} left invariant 1-forms on S^{3} and orthonormal 1-forms [6]

$$b^{4} = cr\sigma^{1}/(1+r^{2})^{1/2} \qquad b^{5} = cr\sigma^{2}/(1+r^{2})^{-1/2}$$

$$b^{6} = cr\sigma^{3}/(1+r^{2}) \qquad b^{7} = cdr/(1+r^{2})$$

 $0 \le r < \infty$, c = constant, then A and A^{j} are given by

$$A^{2} = -b^{4}/cr$$
 $A^{2} = -b^{5}/cr$ $A^{3} = -[(2+r^{2})/2cr]b^{6}$ $A = (3/2c)re^{6}$

This gives F proportional to the Kähler 2-form,

$$F = (3/c^2)(b_{\wedge}^7 b^6 + b_{\wedge}^4 b^5)$$

and the 2-forms on CP^2 obtained from A^j are

$$G^{j} = \mathrm{d}A^{j} + \varepsilon^{j}{}_{kl}A^{k}_{\wedge}A^{l}.$$

Explicitly

$$G^{1} = (1/c^{2})(e^{7}_{\wedge}e^{6} - e^{4}_{\wedge}e^{5}) \qquad G^{2} = (1/c^{2})(e^{7}_{\wedge}e^{5} - e^{6}_{\wedge}e^{4})$$

$$G^{3} = (1/c^{2})(e^{7}_{\wedge}e^{4} - e^{5}_{\wedge}e^{6}).$$

With this ansatz one finds

$$\mathbf{d}^*F = \mathbf{d}^*f = 0$$

and the remaining equations of motion reduce to $(\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3 \text{ label Ads})$

$$\begin{split} R_{AB\wedge} * e^{AB\mu} &= (\varepsilon^2 + \lambda^2) * e^{\mu}, \\ R_{AB\wedge} * e^{AB\alpha} &= -\lambda^2 * e^{\alpha}, \\ R_{AB\wedge} * e^{ABm} &= (\varepsilon^2 - \lambda^2) * e^{m} \end{split}$$

with the constraint $e^2 = 3\lambda^2$.

With $R_{S^2} = 2/a^2$ the curvature scalar for S^2 (obtained from b^m), R_{CP^2} and R_{AdS} the curvature scalars for CP^2 (obtained from b^{α}) and anti-de Sitter space respectively, these equations can be solved (this involves the calculation of the curvature 2-forms obtained from (2) via the torsion free structure equation). The result is

$$R_{AdS} = 2(4\varepsilon^{2} + 8a^{2} - R_{CP^{2}} - R_{S^{2}})$$
$$= -3\varepsilon^{2} - \frac{1}{2}R_{CP^{2}} - R_{S^{2}}$$
$$= -2\varepsilon^{2} - R_{CP^{2}} + 16a^{2}.$$

There is a one-parameter family of solutions. Let a, the radius of S^2 , parametrise the solutions. Then

$$\varepsilon^{2} = 4a^{2} + 1/a^{2}$$

 $R_{S^{2}} = 2/a^{2}$
 $R_{CP^{2}} = 2(3/a^{2} + 20a^{2})$
 $R_{AdS} = -8(1/a^{2} + 4a^{2})$

with $\varepsilon^2 \ge 4$ for consistency.

To determine the symmetries of this solution, consider the way in which it is constructed. The ansatz for the internal metric retains the symmetry of CP^2 , $SU(3)/Z_3$, since Lie transport along the flow lines of the isometries of CP^2 merely results in a gauge transformation of A^j and A, because A^j and A are constructed directly from b^{α} . However, the quantity which appears in the full metric, e.g., $L_j^m A^j$ in (2), is an SU(2) scalar, since it is contracted over j, and so is invariant under Lie transport along the flow lines of the isometries of CP^2 . Similarly, the isometries of the fibres, $S^2 \times$ $S^1(U(2))$, are unchanged by the introduction of A^j and A, just as for the standard, non-Abelian, Kaluza-Klein ansatz (except that here the base space is CP^2 rather than spacetime).

Hence the full group of isometries of the seven-dimensional internal space is $SU(3)/Z_3 \times U(2)$. The full U(2) connection is nothing more than the connection, obtained from the zero torsion structure equation, on CP^2 . The space has the topology of an $(S^2 \times S^1)$ bundle over CP^2 with structure group U(2).

The situation is similar to that of the BPST instanton over $S^4[7]$. There the holonomy group is SO(4) and the zero torsion connection on S^4 lies in the full SO(4) Lie algebra. By isolating one of the two SU(2) sub-algebras (self-dual and anti-self dual parts), one can construct an SU(2) $\approx S^3$ principal bundle over S^4 , which is topologically S^7 . Using an ansatz similar to (2) one obtains a squashed 7-sphere metric [2]. There are differences, however, in the CP^2 construction above, in that the seven-dimensional manifold is not a principal bundle. A more exact parallel with S^4 (at least for the six-dimensional S^2 bundle over CP^2 obtained by using A^j alone, without A) is the scheme of Barr [8] for SU(2) instantons in six-dimensional Kaluza-Klein theories.

I would like to thank E Sezgin for helpful discussions in constructing the above solution.

References

- [1] Cremmer E, Julia B and Scherk J 1978 Phys. Lett. 76B 409
- [2] Castellani L, Romans L J and Warner N P 1983 A Classification of Compactifying Solutions for D = 11Supergravity, Caltech Preprint CALT-68-1055
- [3] Campbell I C G and West P C 1984 Nucl. Phys. B 243 112
- [4] Nilsson B E W and Pope C N 1984 Class. Quantum Grav. 1 499
- [5] Freund P G O and Rubin M A 1980 Phys. Lett. 97B 233
- [6] Eguchi T, Gilkey P B and Hanson A J 1980 Phys. Rep. 66 213
- [7] Belavin A A, Polyakov A M, Schwartz A S and Tyupkin Yu A 1975 Phys. Lett. 59B 85
- [8] Barr S M 1983 Phys. Lett. 129B 303