

Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, **47**, 10721

# An innovative and efficient route to the synthesis of metal-based glycoconjugates: proof-of-concept and potential applications†

Andrea Pettenuzzo,<sup>a</sup> Diego Montagner,<sup>b</sup> Patrick McArdle<sup>a</sup> and Luca Ronconi<sup>\*a</sup>

With a view to developing more efficient strategies to the functionalization of metallodrugs with carbohydrates, we here report on an innovative and efficient synthetic route to generate gold(III) glycoconjugates in high yields and purity. The method is based on the initial synthesis of the zinc(II)-dithiocarbamate intermediate  $[Zn^{II}(SSC-Inp-GlcN)_2]$  (Inp = isonipecotic moiety; GlcN = amino-glucose) followed by the transfer of the glucoseisonipecoticdithiocarbamate ligand to the gold(III) center *via* transmetallation reaction between the zinc(II) intermediate and  $K[Au^{III}Br_4]$  in 1:2 stoichiometric ratio, yielding the corresponding glucose-functionalized gold(III)-dithiocarbamate derivative  $[Au^{III}Br_2(SSC-Inp-GlcN)]$ . No protection/deprotection of the amino-glucose scaffold and no chromatographic purification were needed. The synthetic protocol was optimized for glucose precursors bearing the amino function at either the C<sup>2</sup> or the C<sup>6</sup> position, and works in the case of both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  anomers. The application of the synthetic strategy was also successfully extended to other metal ions of biomedical interest, such as gold(I) and platinum(II), to obtain  $[Au^I(SSC-Inp-GlcN)(PPh_3)]$  and  $[Pt^{II}(SSC-Inp-GlcN)_2]$ , respectively. All compounds were fully characterized by elemental analysis, mid- and far-IR, mono- and multidimensional NMR spectroscopy, and, where possible, X-ray crystallography. Results and potential applications are here discussed.

Received 20th April 2018,  
Accepted 14th June 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8dt01583j

rsc.li/dalton

## Introduction

Research aimed at designing novel synthetic carbohydrate derivatives has been steadily expanding over the last two decades owing to their potential biomedical applications.<sup>1</sup> Glycosylation (that is, the biochemical process by which a carbohydrate is covalently bound to the target biomolecule such as proteins and lipids) is involved in many metabolic events and affects a number of cellular processes, including cell proliferation and differentiation, intercellular communications, and carbohydrate-mediated recognition processes such as the immune response.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, given the crucial biological role played by carbohydrates, it is not surprising that research in glycoscience has strong implications for biotechnology and medicine in order to develop new therapeutic agents for the treatment of various diseases.

Glycoconjugation (that is, the functionalization of drugs with glucose or other carbohydrates) is currently under intense investigation, and applications include: carbohydrate-based drugs and vaccines, adjuvants, metabolic labelling of glycostructures, and glycan arrays for diagnostic purposes.<sup>3</sup> To date, several carbohydrate-derived or -containing drugs are marketed, *e.g.* zanamivir (antiviral), acarbose (antidiabetic), heparin and fondaparinux (anticoagulant), doxorubicin (anti-cancer), epoetin alfa and darbepoetin alfa (anemia treatment), hiberix (haemophilus B conjugate vaccine), menjugate (meningitis C vaccine),<sup>4</sup> and many more are currently under pre-clinical and clinical trials.<sup>5</sup>

Within the field of chemotherapy, conjugation of anti-cancer drugs to biomolecules has been successfully achieved in recent years by developing, for example, antibody-drug<sup>6</sup> and folate-drug<sup>7</sup> conjugates which are currently in clinical use. Intriguingly, compared with peptides, synthetic carbohydrates proved challenging to be obtained, mainly due to the complexity of the traditional synthesis in solution. In particular, difficulties associated with stereoselective glycosidic bond formation and the extensive use of protecting groups (and subsequent selective deprotection),<sup>8</sup> resulted in lengthy multi-step syntheses requiring several purifications of the various intermediate products by column chromatography and, ultimately, affording poor overall yields.<sup>9</sup> The exploitation and optimiz-

<sup>a</sup>National University of Ireland Galway, School of Chemistry, University Road, H91 TK33 Galway, Co., Galway, Ireland. E-mail: luca.ronconi@nuigalway.ie

<sup>b</sup>Maynooth University, Department of Chemistry, Maynooth, Co. Kildare, Ireland

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Materials and methods, characterization of the starting isonipecotic and metal reagents, synthesis of isonipecotamidodithiocarbamate, synthesis of the amino-sugar precursors, synthesis of  $(PPh_4)[Pt^{II}Cl_5(NH_3)]$ . CCDC 1835869–1835871. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c8dt01583j

ation of alternative synthetic approaches, such as chemoenzymatic,<sup>10</sup> automated solid-phase,<sup>11</sup> and microwave-assisted<sup>12</sup> synthesis, have substantially boosted the field in terms of shorter reaction times and higher yields. On the other hand, such techniques are not always exploitable for all glycoconjugates, especially when the carbohydrate functionalization is applied to metal-containing substrates.

Owing to the potentiality of metallodrugs, the latest approaches focus on the functionalization of metal-based scaffolds with biologically-active ligands having tumor targeting properties (*i.e.* carriers), thereby maximizing the therapeutic outcomes on cancer cells and minimizing the occurrence of side-effects.<sup>13</sup> A number of metal glycoconjugates have been reported to date,<sup>14a,b</sup> especially glucose derivatives in which conjugation occurs mainly at the anomeric C<sup>1</sup> position.<sup>14c-f</sup> Nevertheless, in most cases coordination of glucose-like substrates to metal centers proved somewhat demanding and involved several steps. For example, Lippard and co-workers have recently reported on the synthesis of all possible positional isomers (C<sup>1α</sup>, C<sup>1β</sup>, C<sup>2</sup>, C<sup>3</sup>, C<sup>4</sup> and C<sup>6</sup>) of a glucose-oxaliplatin conjugate.<sup>15</sup> Remarkably, each isomer required 4–12 steps with yields of 15–30% (considering only the final step leading to the corresponding platinum(II) glycoconjugate).

On the basis of the aforementioned considerations, given our well-established expertise in the development of gold(III)-dithiocarbamate complexes,<sup>16</sup> we here report on an innovative, facile and efficient synthetic route to the generation of gold(III)-dithiocarbamate glycoconjugates. Our strategy relies on the one-pot synthesis of a bis-dithiocarbamate-zinc(II) intermediate which can be subsequently functionalized with an amino-glucose moiety. The desired glucosedithiocarbamate ligand is then transferred to the gold(III) metal center *via* a zinc(II)-gold(III) transmetallation reaction yielding the corresponding high purity gold(III)-dithiocarbamate glycoconjugate in excellent yields (70–90%) (Scheme 1). Remarkably, neither protection/deprotection of the amino-glucose scaffold nor chromatographic purification were required, and the transmetallation reactions reached completion within a few hours.

In order to assess the potential of this synthetic protocol to other metal ions, we applied the same procedure also to gold(I) and platinum(II), and succeeded in generating the corresponding glycoconjugates.


Experimental details and results are here discussed and compared with the synthetic strategies currently available in the literature.

## Results and discussion

### Rationale

In terms of medicinal applications, glycoconjugation can be exploited to achieve the specific targeting and treatment of cancer.<sup>17</sup> This strategy relies on the evidence that rapidly dividing tumor cells require higher amounts of nutrients and energy to sustain their fast proliferation, including especially glucose. In fact, glucose uptake proved to be 10 to 12-fold higher in tumors compared with healthy tissues, and glucose transporters (GLUTs), devoted to the recognition and cellular internalization of glucose, are largely overexpressed in tumor cells, thus indicating their strong dependence on such transporters for their survival and growth.<sup>18</sup> The fact that anaerobic glycolysis is the major glucose metabolism in tumors is a phenomenon called “the Warburg effect” (named after the German biochemist Otto Warburg who first observed it),<sup>19</sup> and it is acknowledged nowadays as one of the hallmarks of cancer.<sup>20</sup> Consequently, such increased demand of glucose by cancer cells makes it very attractive to selectively target tumor sites. In particular, tailored glucose-like substrates can be conjugated to chemotherapeutics to attain the site-specific delivery of drugs into the affected tissues (*i.e.* targeted anticancer chemotherapy and tumor imaging).<sup>21</sup> This approach was especially inspired by the evidence that the clinically-established positron emission tomography (PET) tracer [<sup>18</sup>F]-fluorodeoxyglucose is preferentially taken up by cancer cells compared with normal tissues,<sup>22</sup> and has been recently extended to the design of carbohydrate-based nanocarriers.<sup>23</sup>

As previously pointed out, the complexity of carbohydrate chemistry may severely hamper glycoconjugation of drugs, in particular when metal-based scaffolds are involved. Therefore more efficient synthetic approaches to glyco-functionalization are required. As shown in Scheme 1, our suggested strategy relies on the pre-generation of a zinc(II)-dithiocarbamate glycoconjugate precursor followed by the transfer of the whole dithiocarbamate ligand to the desired metal center through a



Scheme 1 General synthetic route to gold(III)-dithiocarbamate glycoconjugates.

transmetallation reaction. Specifically, we developed a facile and reproducible protocol in which an amino acidic linker is functionalized with the dithiocarbamate moiety ( $-\text{NCSS}$ ) at the amino terminus, whereas the carboxylate tail is first activated and then conjugated to an amino-sugar. The rationale of this strategy is based on the following considerations.

- Dithiocarbamates are versatile monoanionic ligands capable of stabilizing a wide range of metal ions by coordinating the metal center in either monodentate or chelating bidentate modes.<sup>24</sup> Although referred to as “soft” Lewis bases (and, thus, expected to coordinate mainly “soft” Lewis acids such as low-valent metal ions), they may be also regarded as “hard” ligands in the thioureide resonance form (Scheme 2), which favors the binding of metals in higher oxidation states (*i.e.* “hard” Lewis acids).<sup>25</sup>

Accordingly, dithiocarbamates can be used to coordinate a number of metal ions in different oxidation states affording stable complexes.<sup>26</sup>

- An added value of using dithiocarbamate ligands is that, once coordinated to a bioactive metal center, they may act as intrinsic chemoprotectants against the toxicity of metal-based drugs, thus reducing their side-effects.<sup>27</sup> Consequently, not only metal-dithiocarbamate derivatives have been largely explored in recent years as potential anticancer agents,<sup>25</sup> but the chemoprotective activity of the dithiocarbamate moiety has been confirmed *in vivo*, in particular for gold(III) derivatives.<sup>28</sup>

- Dithiocarbamates of primary and secondary amines are generally synthesized by reaction with carbon disulfide ( $\text{CS}_2$ ) under basic conditions (*e.g.*  $\text{NaOH}$ ,  $\text{KOH}$ ,  $\text{Et}_3\text{N}$ ) at low temperature, yielding the corresponding dithiocarbamate salts.<sup>29</sup> Dithiocarbamates generated from primary amines are often unstable and tend to decompose to give the corresponding isothiocyanates.<sup>30</sup> The secondary amine counterparts are generally more stable and a number of simple dithiocarbamates have been prepared and isolated as sodium or ammonium salts.<sup>31</sup> Nevertheless, isolation and storage of free dithiocarbamates is often challenging since, at room temperature, they may decompose back to the starting amine and  $\text{CS}_2$ , especially under acidic conditions.<sup>32</sup> For this reason, dithiocarbamates are best prepared *in situ*: once generated in solution they are reacted with the metal precursor in the appropriate stoichiometric ratio to obtain the corresponding metal-dithiocarbamate derivative.<sup>25</sup>

In principle, direct functionalization of an amino-sugar could be achieved. To the best of our knowledge, only one of such example is reported in the literature. Zhang and co-workers synthesized and isolated 2-deoxy-2-dithiocarbamate-D-

glucose as sodium salt by reacting D-glucosamine hydrochloride with  $\text{CS}_2$  and  $\text{NaOH}$ , and used it to obtain the corresponding  $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$ -nitrido bis-dithiocarbamate complex.<sup>33</sup> Unfortunately, when following the same experimental procedure, we could not obtain the analogous gold(III) complex. Notwithstanding various attempts, the experimental conditions employed always led to the reduction of gold(III) to gold(I) and the simultaneous degradation of the carbohydrate scaffold resulting from the intramolecular attack of the  $-\text{NCSS}$  group located in the  $\text{C}^2$  position of the glucose to the anomeric site (data not shown).<sup>34</sup>

- The use of an amino acidic linker between the dithiocarbamate function and the conjugated sugar has a two-fold purpose. From a practical synthetic point of view it allows the straightforward conversion of the amino terminus into an  $-\text{NCSS}$  group<sup>35</sup> without worrying about the possible side-reactions involving the carbohydrate scaffold, should the latter be present. On the other hand, the carboxylic function can be easily activated with *N,N,N',N'*-tetramethyl-*O*-(*N*-succinimidyl)uronium (TSTU) and subsequently coupled to an amino-sugar to attain glycoconjugation through the formation of an amide bond.<sup>36</sup>

Moreover, from a biological perspective, if the final goal is to target the GLUTs overexpressed in tumors to achieve selectivity, the anomeric position of the glucose-like unit should be sufficiently accessible to be recognized (and, thus, internalized inside the tumor cell) by such transporters.<sup>21a</sup> Therefore, the inclusion of a rigid linker (like the isonipecotic moiety used in this work) would reduce the steric hindrance around the anomeric site of the sugar, thus allowing, at least in principle, its recognition by GLUTs.

### Model non-glycosylated gold(III)-dithiocarbamate complexes

Taking into account the aforementioned issues, we first evaluated the feasibility of our synthetic approach by generating non-glycosylated gold(III)-dithiocarbamate complexes *via* transmetallation from the corresponding zinc(II) intermediates (Scheme 3). Specifically, we focused on ethyl isonipecotate ( $\text{Inp-OEt}$ ) and isonipecotamide ( $\text{Inp-NH}_2$ ) dithiocarbamates as model ligands since their amino group is a symmetric secondary amine and, as such, can lead to very stable, symmetric compounds.<sup>26</sup>


Amongst our numerous initial attempts to isolate free dithiocarbamates, we succeeded in obtaining only one, that is, isonipecotamidedithiocarbamate as sodium salt ( $\text{Na}(\text{SSC-Inp-NH}_2)$ ), Fig. 1; see the ESI† for details.

Both  $[\text{Zn}^{\text{II}}(\text{SSC-Inp-OEt})_2]$  (**Zn1**) and  $[\text{Zn}^{\text{II}}(\text{SSC-Inp-NH}_2)_2]$  (**Zn2**) were obtained in a one-pot reaction. **Zn2** was obtained also by reacting the free ligand  $\text{Na}(\text{SSC-Inp-NH}_2)$  with  $[\text{Zn}^{\text{II}}(\text{OAc})_2]$  in 2 : 1 ratio.


Zinc(II) is a “borderline” Lewis acid<sup>37</sup> and, although it forms stable covalent adducts with the “soft” dithiocarbamate ligands,<sup>26</sup> it is well-known to serve as a source of such ligands for a softer metal (possibly much more reliable and controllable than the commonly employed ionic sodium, potassium or ammonium dithiocarbamate salts).<sup>38</sup> Therefore, zinc(II) dithio-



Scheme 2 Resonance forms of the dithiocarbamate ligands.



**Scheme 3** Synthesis of the model (*i.e.* non-glycosylated) gold(III)-dithiocarbamate derivatives via transmetalation.



**Fig. 1** X-ray crystal structure with atom numbering scheme for Na(SSC-Inp-NH<sub>2</sub>) (CCDC 1835869<sup>†</sup>) (50% displacement ellipsoids).

carbamates may undergo transmetalation reactions with many “soft” transition metals, including gold(III).<sup>39</sup> In this regard, the zinc(II)–gold(III) transmetalation reaction was optimized in DMF, yielding the gold(III) counterparts [Au<sup>III</sup>Br<sub>2</sub>(SSC-Inp-OEt)] (**Au1**) and [Au<sup>III</sup>Br<sub>2</sub>(SSC-Inp-NH<sub>2</sub>)] (**Au2**) in high yields (>70%) and no need of further purification.

IR spectroscopy proved useful to identify the synthesized compounds. By comparison with the spectra recorded for the starting ethyl isonipecotate and isonipecotamide reagents (see the ESI<sup>†</sup> for details), the appearance of a strong band at 1494 (**Zn1**)/1492 (**Zn2**) cm<sup>−1</sup> in the zinc(II) derivatives (the so-called “thioureide” band,  $\nu(\text{N-CSS})$ ) is consistent with a chelating dithiocarbamate ligand coordinated to a zinc(II) center.<sup>40</sup> When taking into account the gold(III) counterparts, the  $\nu(\text{N-CSS})$  is recorded at higher frequencies (1571 (**Au1**)/1565 (**Au2**) cm<sup>−1</sup>) due to the increased electron-withdrawing effect upon moving from zinc(II) to the more positively charged gold(III) center, suggesting a greater contribution of the “thioureide” resonance form (Scheme 2).<sup>41</sup> Additionally, the detection of a single band at *ca.* 1000 cm<sup>−1</sup> in all complexes (attributed to the  $\nu_a(\text{SCS})$ ) clearly supports a symmetrical bidentate coordination of the –NCSS moiety to the metal centers, in agreement with the Bonati–Ugo criterion.<sup>42</sup>

In the far-IR spectra of a number of metal-dithiocarbamate complexes, bands recorded in the range 420–320 cm<sup>−1</sup> are regarded as highly diagnostic since they are assigned to the metal–sulfur stretching vibrations. **Zn1** and **Zn2** show an intense absorption at *ca.* 395 cm<sup>−1</sup> originating from the  $\nu_a(\text{ZnS}_4)$ ,<sup>43</sup> whereas the corresponding symmetric stretching is absent as it is not IR-active in the tetrahedral bis-dithiocarbamate zinc derivatives.<sup>44</sup> On the contrary, both  $\nu_{a,s}(\text{SAuS})$  are recorded for the square-planar **Au1** and **Au2** complexes (at 413/

398 and 411/397  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , respectively), in agreement with those reported in the literature for similar gold(III)-dithiocarbamates.<sup>45</sup> Finally, other informative bands are observed at lower frequencies for the latter complexes at 249/228 (**Au1**) and 232/227 (**Au2**)  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , attributed to the  $\nu_{\text{a,s}}(\text{BrAuBr})$  for terminal *cis*-bromides.<sup>46</sup>

Mono- and multinuclear NMR spectroscopy provided further insights into the identification of the dithiocarbamate complexes. For example, compared with the starting ethyl isonipecotate reagent, a general downfield shift of the proton signals is observed for the zinc(II) intermediate **Zn1** and the corresponding transmetallation product **Au1** (Fig. 2). This is consistent with the decreased electron density experienced by the hydrogen atoms of the isonipecotate scaffold upon introducing the dithiocarbamate moiety and the subsequent coordination to the metal centers, the gold(III) ion exerting greater deshielding than zinc(II) due to the higher oxidation state.<sup>47</sup> As expected, the larger shift involves the piperidine hydrogens, the only partial exception to this trend being the  $\text{C}^{2,6}\text{H}$  equatorial protons which undergo a 0.7 ppm upfield shift in **Au1** with respect to **Zn1**. On moving away from the dithiocarbamate moiety, the magnitude of the chemical shift change decreases until being negligible for the farther ester group.

The most diagnostic peak recorded in the  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectra is attributed to the dithiocarbamic carbon atom and is generally found in the range 180–220 ppm.<sup>48</sup> It is generally assumed that the  $^{13}\text{C}$  chemical shifts of the  $-\text{NCSS}$  moiety are strongly dependent on both the type of metal-dithiocarbamate bonding and the oxidation state of the metal center. As to the dithiocarbamate complexes of transition metals owning a  $d^{10}$  electron configuration (such as zinc(II)), the  $\delta(\text{N}^{13}\text{CSS})$  peaks are recorded in the range 202–206 ppm,<sup>49</sup> whereas for derivatives of high oxidation state transition metals (such as gold(III)), the dithiocarbamic carbon signals appear upfield.<sup>50</sup> There is a strong empirical correlation between  $\delta(\text{N}^{13}\text{CSS})$  values and the N–CSS stretching vibrations in the infrared

spectra: higher  $\nu(\text{N–CSS})$  values indicate an increased carbon–nitrogen double bond character, which well correlates with lower  $\delta(\text{N}^{13}\text{CSS})$  values because of a greater electron density on the  $-\text{NCSS}$  moiety, and the other way round.<sup>48</sup> All these considerations are fully consistent with the experimental  $\nu(\text{N–CSS})$  frequencies and the  $\text{N}^{13}\text{CSS}$  carbon signals recorded for the object dithiocarbamate complexes (202.6 (**Zn1**), 202.3 (**Zn2**), 187.9 (**Au1**) and 186.9 (**Au2**) ppm).

Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction crystallography were obtained for **Zn1** and **Au1** (Fig. 3). Although crystal structures of monomeric bis-dithiocarbamate-zinc(II) complexes are known, which have highly strained structures with two four-membered rings,<sup>51</sup> a common arrangement for this class of compounds is dinuclear centrosymmetric,<sup>52</sup> and this was observed also for **Zn1**. Dimeric structures, in which one four-membered zinc(II)-dithiocarbamate ring is retained whereas the other has opened to form an eight-membered ring, were first reported for the bis-diethyldithiocarbamate-zinc(II) complex,<sup>53</sup> and have since been reported for several other analogous derivatives.<sup>54</sup> Relief of distortion is the most likely reason for the adoption of the dimeric structure, and the angle at the zinc(II) center in the four-membered ring is  $75.79(4)^\circ$ .

As to the crystal structure of **Au1**, there is distortion from square-planar geometry due to the small bite angle of the dithiocarbamate ligand. However, there the maximum deviation from the least-squares plane defined by the gold(III) center and the ligating atoms of 0.008 Å is shown by S1 and S2. The bond distances are in the range previously reported and are close to those found in other dibromo-dithiocarbamate-gold(III) complexes.<sup>55,56a</sup> Interestingly, the zinc(II)-dithiocarbamate complex **Zn1** has Zn–S distances within the four-membered rings which differ by a near constant 0.1 Å, whereas in the gold(III) counterpart **Au1** the Au–S distances have differences which are ten times smaller. Further details of the structures are provided in the ESI.†

Analogous gold(III)-dithiocarbamate complexes have been previously obtained through one-pot template reactions,<sup>41,56</sup>




Fig. 2 Comparison of the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra in  $\text{DMSO-}d_6$  of ethyl isonipecotate,  $[\text{Zn}^{\text{II}}(\text{SSC-Inp-OEt})_2]$  (**Zn1**) and  $[\text{Au}^{\text{III}}\text{Br}_2(\text{SSC-Inp-OEt})]$  (**Au1**).




Fig. 3 X-ray crystal structure with atom numbering scheme for **Zn1** (CCDC 1835870†) and **Au1** (CCDC 1835871†) (50% displacement ellipsoids).

invariably resulting in a mixture of two forms (whose relative abundance varies depending on the synthetic conditions), that is, the expected gold(III) derivative and a dimeric form in which two bridging dithiocarbamate ligands are bound to both gold(III) centers whose coordination sphere is completed by two *trans*-bromide moieties.<sup>57</sup> Remarkably, our zinc(II)–gold(III) transmetalation strategy led to the generation of the expected monomeric form only.


### Gold(III)-dithiocarbamate glycoconjugates

Following the successful generation of the model non-glycosylated gold(III)-dithiocarbamate complexes, we applied the same synthetic strategy to obtain the corresponding glycoconjugate counterparts. With reference to Scheme 4, we first prepared the zinc(II)-dithiocarbamate derivative of isonipecotic acid,  $\text{Na}_2[\text{Zn}^{\text{II}}(\text{SSC-Inp-O})_2] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (**Zn0a**), by optimizing a literature procedure.<sup>58</sup> In order to conjugate the amino-sugars to the isonipecotic linker, the carboxylic functions of **Zn0a** were subsequently activated by converting them into the corresponding succinimidyl ester,  $[\text{Zn}^{\text{II}}(\text{SSC-Inp-OSu})_2]$  (**Zn0b**). Although this


is a well-known process, common synthetic routes involve the use of acidic media in which dithiocarbamates tend to decompose.<sup>32</sup> Therefore, we exploited the method reported by Suades and co-workers which makes use of the peptide coupling reagent *N,N,N',N'*-tetramethyl-*O*-(*N*-succinimidyl)uronium tetrafluoroborate (TSTU) in presence of *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA).<sup>52</sup> This procedure proved very efficient and straightforward also because **Zn0b** could be easily isolated from the DMF reaction medium by simple precipitation after addition of a 1 : 1 water/ethanol mixture. Both **Zn0a** and **Zn0b** were obtained in high yield (68% and 93%, respectively) and purity, and their spectroscopic characterization was fully consistent with literature data.<sup>52</sup>

As far as the amino-sugar substrates are concerned, we chose three different glucose-like scaffolds (see the ESI† for details on syntheses and characterizations).

• 2-Amino-2-deoxy-( $\alpha,\beta$ )-D-glucose (aka ( $\alpha,\beta$ )-D-glucosamine, **GlcN1**) hydrochloride, bearing the amino function in the C<sup>2</sup> position of the glucose, is commercially available and is sold as a mixture of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  anomers (the former largely predomi-



(a)  $\text{CS}_2$  (1 eq.), NaOH (2 eq.), MeOH, 0 °C, 6 h; (b)  $[\text{Zn}(\text{OAc})_2] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (0.5 eq.), MeOH, r.t.; (c) TSTU (2.2 eq.), DIPEA (0.2 eq.), anhyd. DMF, r.t.,  $\text{N}_2$ , 16 h; (d) (**GlcN1**)·HCl (2.5 eq.), DIPEA (7.5 eq.), anhyd. DMF, r.t.,  $\text{N}_2$ , 16 h; (e) (**GlcN2**)·( $\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$ ) (2.4 eq.), DIPEA (1 eq.), anhyd. DMF, r.t.,  $\text{N}_2$ , 16 h; (f) (**GlcN3**) (2.5 eq.), DIPEA (5.5 eq.), anhyd. DMF, r.t.,  $\text{N}_2$ , 16 h.



**Scheme 4** Synthesis of the zinc(II)-dithiocarbamate glycoconjugate precursors. (a)  $\text{CS}_2$  (1 eq.), NaOH (2 eq.), MeOH, 0 °C, 6 h; (b)  $[\text{Zn}(\text{OAc})_2] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (0.5 eq.), MeOH, r.t.; (c) TSTU (2.2 eq.), DIPEA (0.2 eq.), anhyd. DMF, r.t.,  $\text{N}_2$ , 16 h; (d) (**GlcN2**)·HCl (2.5 eq.), DIPEA (7.5 eq.), anhyd. DMF, r.t.,  $\text{N}_2$ , 16 h; (e) (**GlcN2**)·( $\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$ ) (2.4 eq.), DIPEA (1 eq.), anhyd. DMF, r.t.,  $\text{N}_2$ , 16 h; (f) (**GlcN3**) (2.5 eq.), DIPEA (5.5 eq.), anhyd. DMF, r.t.,  $\text{N}_2$ , 16 h.

nating). Glucosamine-appended ligands have been already used in some cases to obtain metal-glycoconjugates.<sup>21b,c</sup>

- 1-O-Methyl-2-amino-2-deoxy-( $\alpha,\beta$ )-D-glucopyranoside (**GlcN2**) was prepared as ammonium acetate according to a literature procedure.<sup>59</sup> An  $\alpha:\beta$  anomers ratio of approximately 5:1 was obtained. Methylation of the anomeric hydroxyl group ( $C^1$  position) aimed at avoiding side-reactions involving the anomeric carbon in the subsequent steps, as well as at simplifying the NMR characterization of the final products.

- 1-O-Methyl-6-amino-6-deoxy- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranoside (**GlcN3**) was prepared according to a literature procedure<sup>60</sup> with the aim of investigating the feasibility of our synthetic method for amino-sugars bearing the amino function in positions other than the  $C^2$  site of the glucose (*i.e.*  $C^6$  position).


An excess of each amino-sugar (2.4–2.5 eq.) was reacted with the activated zinc(II) precursor **Zn0b** in anhydrous DMF under basic conditions (DIPEA), leading to the coupling of the amino function of the glucose-like unit to the carboxylic group of the isonipepic moiety, thus resulting in the formation of an amide bond and the generation of the corresponding complexes  $[\text{Zn}^{\text{II}}(\text{SSC-Inp-GlcN1})_2]$  (**Zn3**),  $[\text{Zn}^{\text{II}}(\text{SSC-Inp-GlcN2})_2]$  (**Zn4**) and  $[\text{Zn}^{\text{II}}(\text{SSC-Inp-GlcN3})_2]$  (**Zn5**) (Scheme 4).

By comparing the spectroscopic features of the starting reagents with those of the zinc(II)-dithiocarbamate glycoconjugates, the most affected signals were those related to the atoms in close proximity to the newly formed amide bond. In the IR spectra of **Zn3–Zn5** the absorption bands originating from both the succinimidyl fragment of **Zn0b** ( $\nu_{\text{ip}}(\text{C}=\text{O}$  succinimidyl),  $\nu_{\text{oop}}(\text{C}=\text{O}$  succinimidyl) and  $\nu(\text{C}=\text{O}$  ester) at 1817, 1780 and 1737  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ )<sup>52</sup> and from the amino/ammonium group of the sugar substrates **GlcN1–GlcN3** ( $\nu_{\text{a}}(\text{NH}_3^+)$ ,  $\nu_{\text{s}}(\text{NH}_3^+)$ ,  $\delta_{\text{a}}(\text{NH}_3^+)$ ,  $\delta_{\text{s}}(\text{NH}_3^+)$ ,  $\nu(\text{NH}_2)$  and  $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$  at ~3090, 2840, 1620, 1580, 3260 and 1600  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , respectively)<sup>61</sup> disappeared. Instead, new intense bands at ~1640 ( $\nu(\text{C}=\text{O}$ , amide I)) and ~1550 ( $\delta_{\text{ip}}(\text{CNH}$ , amide II))  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  were detected,<sup>62</sup> consistent with the replacement of the succinimidyl ester located in the

same position by an amide group. On the contrary,  $\nu(\text{N-CSS})$ ,  $\nu_{\text{a}}(\text{SCS})$ ,  $\nu_{\text{s}}(\text{SCS})$  and  $\nu_{\text{a}}(\text{ZnS}_4)$  remained substantially unchanged (at ~1490, 1000, 570 and 370  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , respectively) compared with **Zn0b**. Analogously, the  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR signals corresponding to the zinc(II)-dithiocarbamate isonipepic fragment and the glucose moiety recorded for **Zn3–Zn5** were observed at chemical shifts similar to those found for the starting reagents, except the peaks associated with the  $C^4\text{H}$  group of the piperidine ring, and the  $C^2\text{H}$  group (for **Zn3** and **Zn4**) or the  $C^6\text{H}_2$  group (for **Zn5**) of the glucose unit.

Eventually, zinc(II)–gold(III) transmetalation was achieved by reacting the zinc(II) precursors **Zn3–Zn5** with  $\text{K}[\text{Au}^{\text{III}}\text{Br}_4]$  in DMF, affording the final corresponding gold(III)-dithiocarbamate glycoconjugates  $[\text{Au}^{\text{III}}\text{Br}_2(\text{SSC-Inp-GlcN1})]$  (**Au3**),  $[\text{Au}^{\text{III}}\text{Br}_2(\text{SSC-Inp-GlcN2})]$  (**Au4**) and  $[\text{Au}^{\text{III}}\text{Br}_2(\text{SSC-Inp-GlcN3})]$  (**Au5**) (Scheme 5) in high yields (>75%) and no need of further purification. The synthesized gold(III) complexes were characterized by the usual analytical and spectroscopic techniques. In the mid-IR spectra the  $\nu(\text{N-CSS})$  band shifted from ~1490 to ~1560  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , as a result of the increased electron-withdrawing effect in the gold(III) derivatives compared with the zinc(II) counterparts, whereas in the far-IR spectra the absorptions at ~370  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  ( $\nu_{\text{a}}(\text{ZnS}_4)$ ) disappeared and new bands at ~410/390 ( $\nu_{\text{a,s}}(\text{SAuS})$ ) and ~245/225 ( $\nu_{\text{a,s}}(\text{BrAuBr})$ )  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  were recorded instead, consistent with the expected dibromide-gold(III)-dithiocarbamate scaffold.

In line with what is observed for the model non-glycosylated complexes (see above), when moving from the zinc(II) to the gold(III) glycoconjugates, major changes in the NMR spectra were detected for the signals associated with the isonipepic-dithiocarbamate fragments. A comparative example is given in Fig. 4 for **Au5**. Remarkably, as a general trend, the  $^1\text{H}$  signals recorded for the glucose hydroxyl groups are properly resolved only for the zinc(II) intermediates, whereas for both the starting amino-sugars and the corresponding gold(III) derivatives peaks tend to collapse into a single very broad band (Fig. 5A).



**Scheme 5** Synthesis of the gold(III)-dithiocarbamate glycoconjugates via transmetalation.




Fig. 4 Comparison of the  $^1\text{H}$  (A) and  $^{13}\text{C}(^1\text{H})$  (B) NMR spectra in  $\text{DMSO-}D_6$  of 1-O-methyl-6-amino-6-deoxy- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranoside (GlcN3),  $[\text{Zn}^{\text{II}}(\text{SSC-Inp-GlcN3})_2]$  (Zn5) and  $[\text{Au}^{\text{III}}\text{Br}_2(\text{SSC-Inp-GlcN3})]$  (Au5).

In terms of the reactivity of the different anomers, the  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  ratio was substantially retained when using ( $\alpha,\beta$ )-D-glucosamine (GlcN1). On the contrary, the percent of the  $\alpha$  anomer dramatically increased by moving from O-methyl-2-amino-2-deoxy-D-glucopyranoside (GlcN2,  $\alpha:\beta \approx 5:1$ ) to the corresponding zinc(II)-dithiocarbamate glycoconjugate (Zn4,  $\alpha:\beta \approx 25:1$ ), the  $\beta$  anomer being completely absent in the gold(III) analogue (Au4). This was assessed by measuring the  $^3J(\text{C}^1\text{H}, \text{C}^2\text{H})$  coupling constants in the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra which were in the 1–4 Hz and 6–8 Hz for the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  anomers, respectively.<sup>63</sup> Finally, 1-O-methyl-6-amino-6-deoxy-D-glucopyranoside (GlcN3) was obtained in its  $\alpha$  anomeric form only and, as expected, no  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  anomerization processes occurred.

#### Gold(i)- and platinum(II)-dithiocarbamate glycoconjugates

The feasibility of the glycoconjugation strategy here proposed was assessed also towards metal centers other than gold(III). By reacting the zinc(II) precursors Zn4 and Zn5 with 2 eq. of  $[\text{Au}^{\text{I}}\text{Cl}(\text{PPh}_3)]$  in DMF, the corresponding gold(I)-dithiocarbamate derivatives  $[\text{Au}^{\text{I}}(\text{SSC-Inp-GlcN2})(\text{PPh}_3)]$  (Au6) and  $[\text{Au}^{\text{I}}(\text{SSC-Inp-GlcN3})(\text{PPh}_3)]$  (Au7) were obtained (Scheme 6)





Fig. 5 Comparison of the  $^1\text{H}$  (A) and  $^{13}\text{C}(^1\text{H})$  (B) NMR spectra in  $\text{DMSO-}D_6$  of  $[\text{Zn}^{\text{II}}(\text{SSC-Inp-GlcN3})_2]$  (Zn5) and  $[\text{Pt}^{\text{II}}(\text{SSC-Inp-GlcN3})_2]$  (Pt2).

and characterized by elemental analysis, IR and NMR spectroscopy. Consistently with other triphenylphosphino-gold(I)-dithiocarbamate complexes reported in the literature,<sup>64</sup> those gold(I) compounds were expected to exhibit a near-linear geometry around the metal center with the dithiocarbamate scaffold acting as a monodentate ligand. Although attempts to grow crystals of Au6 and Au7 proved unsuccessful, the proposed molecular structure was confirmed by the presence in the mid-IR spectra of two bands at *ca.* 1000 and 914  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  assignable to the stretching vibration of the S=C-S moiety. This is in agreement with the Bonati-Ugo criterion, according to which a split band in the 1050–900  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  spectral region with a splitting larger than 20  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  indicates monodentate bonding of the dithiocarbamate ligand.<sup>65</sup>

Compared with the gold(III) counterparts Au4 and Au5, the  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  peaks of the glucosedithiocarbamate scaffold are recorded at similar chemical shifts with the exception of the atoms closer to the gold(I) core, which are more sensitive to the different metal center and coordination mode of the -NCSS group. In particular, a significant downfield shift is observed for the  $\text{C}^{2,6'}\text{H}_{\text{eq}}$  ( $^1\text{H}$ :  $\sim 4.9$  ppm (+0.7 ppm);  $^{13}\text{C}$ :  $\sim 51$  ppm (+2 ppm)) and the NCSS ( $^{13}\text{C}$ :  $\sim 203$  ppm (+16 ppm))





**Scheme 6** Synthesis of the gold(I)- and platinum(II)-dithiocarbamato glycoconjugates *via* transmetalation.

moieties which, together with the detection of the  $\nu(N-CSS)$  vibration at lower energy ( $\sim 1485\text{ cm}^{-1}$  ( $-70\text{ cm}^{-1}$ )), are consistent with a smaller electron-withdrawing effect upon moving from the gold(III) to the less positively charged gold(I) center.<sup>41</sup>

Glycoconjugation *via* transmetalation was achieved also in presence of platinum(II). Reaction of the zinc(II) precursors **Zn4** and **Zn5** with  $(PPh_4)[Pt^{II}Cl_3(NH_3)]$  led to the formation of the complexes  $[Pt^{II}(SSC-Inp-GlcN2)_2]$  (**Pt1**) and  $[Pt^{II}(SSC-Inp-GlcN3)_2]$  (**Pt2**) (Scheme 6), although the original plan was to synthesize the corresponding mono-dithiocarbamato derivatives  $[Pt^{II}Cl(SSC-Inp-GlcN)(NH_3)]$ . In fact, notwithstanding various attempts and the exploitation of different experimental conditions, the replacement of all the chlorido and amino ligands with two dithiocarbamato ligands occurred, even when a large excess (5 eq.) of the starting platinum(II) reagent was used.

Although no crystals of the platinum(II) glycoconjugates were obtained, elemental analysis and spectroscopic results support the formation of the bis-dithiocarbamato adducts. In this regard, the N-CSS stretching vibrations observed at 1523 and 1554  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (compared with the same absorption recorded at  $\sim 1494\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for the zinc(II) precursors) are in agreement with data reported in the literature for analogous bis-dithiocarbamato-platinum(II) derivatives.<sup>66</sup> Moreover, the absence in the IR spectra of the bands associated with both the  $NH_3$  ligand (at  $\sim 3200$  ( $\nu_{a,s}$ ),  $\sim 1640$  ( $\delta_a$ ),  $\sim 1290$  ( $\delta_s$ ),  $\sim 780$  ( $\rho$ )  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) and the chloride ligand ( $\nu(Pt-Cl)$  at  $\sim 330\text{ cm}^{-1}$ )<sup>67</sup> supports the hypothesis of a complete exchange of ligands in favor of the dithiocarbamato ligands.

As far as the NMR characterization is concerned, an illustrative example is given in Fig. 5. The  $^1H$  and  $^{13}C\{^1H\}$  spectra of **Pt2** are very clean and clearly indicate the presence of only one species in solution. Although the majority of the signals resonate at chemical shifts almost identical to those of their counterparts in the zinc(II) precursor **Zn5**, **Pt2** is undoubtedly a

different compound, as evidenced by the major upfield shift of the  $^1H$  and  $^{13}C$  peaks associated with the  $C^{2',6'}H_{eq}$  group. Moreover, the  $^{13}C$  peak at 207 ppm assigned to the dithiocarbamato carbon atom is fully consistent with those reported in the literature for other bis-dithiocarbamato-platinum(II) derivatives.<sup>66a</sup>

Remarkably, no signals attributable to the  $NH_3$  ligand were observed in the  $^1H$  NMR spectra. In this regard, we synthesized the  $^{15}N$ -labeled analogues of **Pt1** and **Pt2** by reacting **Zn4** and **Zn5** with the platinum(II) precursor  $(PPh_4)[Pt^{II}Cl_3(^{15}NH_3)]$ , and both the IR and NMR spectra turned out to be identical to those of the unlabeled counterparts (data not shown). In particular, no  $^{15}N$  peaks were recorded in the corresponding  $[^1H, ^{15}N]$  HSQC spectra, thus confirming that the  $NH_3$  ligand was replaced.

Notwithstanding the desired mono-dithiocarbamato-platinum(II) glycoconjugates were not obtained, the transmetalation reaction proved successful anyway. In perspective, this issue might be overcome by using organophosphino (instead of amino) platinum(II) precursors as recently reported.<sup>68</sup>

## Conclusions

We have developed a relatively facile and efficient synthetic strategy to the functionalization of metal complexes with carbohydrates based on the initial synthesis of the zinc(II)-dithiocarbamato glycoconjugate intermediates followed by the transfer of the glucosedithiocarbamato ligand to other metal centers *via* transmetalation. Although transmetalation of dithiocarbamates has been known for decades, to the best of our knowledge, its systematic application to glycoconjugation has not been reported to date.

The main features of the proposed synthetic route are the following.

• It proved successful with different amino-glucose substrates, and no protection/deprotection of the glucose units nor chromatographic purification were required. We are now planning to extend this procedure to more complex amino-sugars, including oligosaccharides.

• Compared with the common dithiocarbamate sodium, potassium and ammonium salts, zinc(II)-dithiocarbamate glycoconjugate precursors are reasonably stable over time, can be synthesized in bulk in high yields and purity, and stored safely for subsequent transmetallation reactions.

• Transmetallation was achieved in high yields and purity for different metal ions, such as gold(III), gold(I) and platinum(II). We are now in the process of exploiting the same approach with organometallic-gold(III), carbene-gold(I) and carbonyl-manganese(I) precursors.

Given the potential biological applications of metal glycoconjugates, in particular for the targeted metal-based chemotherapy and diagnosis, the synthetic protocol here optimized and proposed may be applied to a number of different metal scaffolds, thus being of interest for scientists working in the field of medicinal inorganic and bioinorganic chemistry.

## Experimental section

Materials and general methods (including instrumentation used) are available in the ESI.†

### Amino-sugar precursors

2-Amino-2-deoxy-( $\alpha,\beta$ )-D-glucose (aka ( $\alpha,\beta$ )-D-glucosamine, **GlcN1**) hydrochloride was commercially available, whereas 1-O-methyl-2-amino-2-deoxy-( $\alpha,\beta$ )-D-glucopyranoside (**GlcN2**)<sup>59</sup> and 1-O-methyl-6-amino-6-deoxy- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranoside (**GlcN3**),<sup>60</sup> were synthesized according to literature procedures. Detailed syntheses and characterizations are reported in the ESI.†

### Zinc(II)-dithiocarbamate intermediates

**Na<sub>2</sub>[Zn<sup>II</sup>(SSC-Inp-O)<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (Zn0a)**. This zinc(II) intermediate was synthesized according to a modified literature procedure.<sup>58</sup> NaOH (620.0 mg, 15.50 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (7 mL) and added under stirring to a methanol solution (10 mL) of isonipecotic acid (1.00 g, 7.74 mmol). The mixture was cooled down to 0 °C, treated dropwise with CS<sub>2</sub> (480  $\mu$ L, 7.94 mmol), and stirred for 6 h. The resulting solution was then added dropwise under stirring to a methanol solution (7 mL) of [Zn(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (850.0 mg, 3.87 mmol), leading to the sudden precipitation of a white solid. The precipitate was centrifuged and the bulk of supernatant discarded. The residue was subsequently washed with methanol (3  $\times$  20 mL) and then dried under vacuum over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, yielding the title compound as a white solid (1.47 g, 68%). M.p. 295–297 °C (dec.). Anal. (%) calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S<sub>4</sub>Zn (MM = 553.94 g mol<sup>-1</sup>): C, 30.36; H, 4.00; N, 5.06; found: C, 30.48; H, 4.08; N, 5.04. FT-IR (CsI disk; 298 K):  $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$  1555 ( $\nu_a$ , COO<sup>-</sup>), 1487 ( $\nu$ , N-CSS), 1409 ( $\nu_s$ , COO<sup>-</sup>), 1002 ( $\nu_a$ , SCS), 566 ( $\nu_s$ , SCS), 397

( $\nu_a$ , ZnS<sub>4</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; D<sub>2</sub>O; 298 K):  $\delta$  4.93 (4 H, br dt, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 3.31 (4 H, br td, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>), 2.46 (2 H, tt, C<sup>4'</sup>H), 1.95 (4 H, dd, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 1.66 (4 H, qd, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz; D<sub>2</sub>O; 298 K):  $\delta$  201.6 (NCSS), 183.1 (COO<sup>-</sup>), 51.8 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 42.8 (C<sup>4'</sup>H), 28.8 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>) ppm.

**[Zn<sup>II</sup>(SSC-Inp-OSu)<sub>2</sub>] (Zn0b)**. This zinc(II) intermediate was synthesized according to a literature procedure.<sup>52</sup> N,N,N',N'-Tetramethyl-O-(N-succinimidyl)uronium tetrafluoroborate (TSTU, 3.07 g, 10.2 mmol) was added under stirring to an anhydrous DMF suspension (12 mL) of **Zn0a** (2.51 g, 4.53 mmol) and N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 160  $\mu$ L, 0.92 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under inert atmosphere (N<sub>2</sub>) for 16 h and then treated with a 1 : 1 water/ethanol solution (1.2 L), affording a white solid. The precipitate was filtered off, washed with ethanol (3  $\times$  20 mL) and diethyl ether (3  $\times$  20 mL), and then dried under vacuum over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, yielding the title compound as a white solid (2.81 g, 93%). M.p. 220–222 °C (dec.). Anal. (%) calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub>S<sub>4</sub>Zn (MM = 668.09 g mol<sup>-1</sup>): C, 39.55; H, 3.92; N, 8.39; found: C, 39.31; H, 3.98; N, 8.28. FT-IR (CsI disk; 298 K):  $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$  1817 ( $\nu_{\text{ip}}$ , C=O succinimidyl), 1784 ( $\nu_{\text{oop}}$ , C=O succinimidyl), 1737 ( $\nu$ , C=O ester), 1496 ( $\nu$ , N-CSS), 1206 ( $\nu$ , C-OSu), 1001 ( $\nu_a$ , SCS), 562 ( $\nu_s$ , SCS), 397 ( $\nu_a$ , ZnS<sub>4</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  4.72 (4 H, br d, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 3.52 (4 H, br t, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>), 3.26–3.19 (2 H, m, C<sup>4'</sup>H), 2.82 (8 H, s, CH<sub>2</sub> succinimidyl), 2.10 (4 H, dd, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 1.70 (4 H, qd, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  203.3 (NCSS), 170.2 (C=O succinimidyl), 169.9 (C=O ester), 49.9 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 36.3 (C<sup>4'</sup>H), 27.6 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 25.5 (CH<sub>2</sub> succinimidyl) ppm.

**[Zn<sup>II</sup>(SSC-Inp-OEt)<sub>2</sub>] (Zn1)**. CS<sub>2</sub> (400  $\mu$ L, 6.63 mmol) was added dropwise under stirring to a water/ethanol (7 : 3, 10 mL) solution of ethyl isonipecotate (1.03 g, 6.53 mmol) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 3 h (pH turned from 12 to 6), and then added to an aqueous solution (3 mL) of ZnCl<sub>2</sub> (220.0 mg, 1.63 mmol), leading to the sudden precipitation of a white solid. The precipitate was centrifuged and the bulk of supernatant discarded. The residue was subsequently washed with water (2  $\times$  10 mL), acetone (2  $\times$  10 mL) and diethyl ether (2  $\times$  10 mL), and then dried under vacuum over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, yielding the title compound as a white solid (620.1 mg, 72%). Small colorless plate-shaped crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained upon slow evaporation of an acetonitrile/ethanol solution of the compound. M.p. 200–202 °C. Anal. (%) calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S<sub>4</sub>Zn (MM = 530.05 g mol<sup>-1</sup>): C, 40.79; H, 5.32; N, 5.29; found: C, 40.57; H, 5.39; N, 5.10. FT-IR (CsI disk; 298 K):  $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$  1733 ( $\nu$ , C=O), 1494 ( $\nu$ , N-CSS), 1176 ( $\nu$ , C-OEt), 1042 ( $\nu$ , O-Et), 1007 ( $\nu_a$ , SCS), 568 ( $\nu_s$ , SCS), 398 ( $\nu_a$ , ZnS<sub>4</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  4.72 (4 H, dt, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 4.08 (4 H, q, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.39 (4 H, td, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>), 2.72–2.60 (2 H, m, C<sup>4'</sup>H), 1.95 (4 H, dd, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 1.57 (4 H, qd, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>), 1.19 (6 H, t, CH<sub>3</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  202.6 (NCSS), 173.6 (C=O), 60.2 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 50.4 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 38.9 (C<sup>4'</sup>H), 27.8 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 14.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>) ppm.

**[Zn<sup>II</sup>(SSC-Inp-NH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] (Zn2)**. *Method A*: NaOH (310.0 mg, 7.80 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (10 mL) and added

under stirring to a methanol solution (10 mL) of isonipecotamide (1.00 g, 7.80 mmol). The mixture was cooled down to 0 °C, treated dropwise with CS<sub>2</sub> (470 μL, 7.80 mmol), stirred for 3 h (pH turned from 12 to 8), treated with further CS<sub>2</sub> (470 μL, 7.80 mmol), and stored at 4 °C for 16 h. The mixture was then added to an aqueous solution (2 mL) of [Zn(OAc)<sub>2</sub>]-2H<sub>2</sub>O (860.0 mg, 3.90 mmol) at room temperature, leading to the sudden precipitation of a white solid. The precipitate was centrifuged and the bulk of supernatant discarded. The residue was subsequently washed with water (2 × 15 mL) and then dried under vacuum over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, yielding the title compound as a white solid (1.77 g, 96%).

**Method B:** An aqueous solution (18 mL) of Na(SSC-Inp-NH<sub>2</sub>)-CH<sub>3</sub>OH (900.0 mg, 3.48 mmol; see ESI† for details on synthesis and characterization) was added under stirring to an aqueous solution (2 mL) of [Zn(OAc)<sub>2</sub>]-2H<sub>2</sub>O (380.0 mg, 1.73 mmol) at room temperature, leading to the sudden precipitation of a white solid. The precipitate was centrifuged and the bulk of supernatant discarded. The residue was subsequently washed with water (2 × 15 mL) and then dried under vacuum over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, yielding the title compound as a white solid (810.0 mg, 99%).

M.p. 293–294 °C (dec.). Anal. (%) calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>Zn (MM = 471.98 g mol<sup>-1</sup>): C, 35.63; H, 4.70; N, 11.87; found: C, 35.74; H, 4.89; N, 11.76. FT-IR (CsI disk; 298 K):  $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$  3439/3208 ( $\nu_{\text{as}}$ , NH<sub>2</sub>), 1667 ( $\nu$ , C=O (amide I)), 1649 ( $\delta_{\text{ip}}$ , CNH<sub>2</sub> (amide II)), 1492 ( $\nu$ , N-CSS), 1007 ( $\nu_{\text{a}}$ , SCS), 561 ( $\nu_{\text{s}}$ , SCS), 392 ( $\nu_{\text{a}}$ , ZnS<sub>4</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  7.36 (2 H, s, NH<sub>cis</sub>), 6.87 (2 H, s, NH<sub>trans</sub>), 4.82 (4 H, br d, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 3.26 (4 H, td, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>), 2.40 (2 H, tt, C<sup>4'</sup>H), 1.82 (4 H, dd, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 1.54 (4 H, qd, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  202.3 (NCSS), 175.6 (C=O), 50.7 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 40.1 (C<sup>4'</sup>H), 28.4 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>) ppm.

[Zn<sup>II</sup>(SSC-Inp-GlcN1)<sub>2</sub>] (Zn3). A mixture of (α,β)-D-glucosamine (GlcN1) hydrochloride (392.0 mg, 1.82 mmol) and *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 925 μL, 5.31 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (3 mL) was added under stirring to a suspension of Zn0b (473.3 mg, 0.71 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (2 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under inert atmosphere (N<sub>2</sub>) for 16 h and then treated with methanol (60 mL), leading to the sudden precipitation of a white solid. The precipitate was filtered off, washed with methanol (3 × 20 mL), and then dried under vacuum over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, yielding the title compound as a white solid (341.6 mg, 61%). M.p. 200–203 °C (dec.). Anal. (%) calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>42</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>S<sub>4</sub>Zn (MM = 796.26 g mol<sup>-1</sup>): C, 39.22; H, 5.32; N, 7.04; found: C, 39.18; H, 5.19; N, 6.91. FT-IR (CsI disk; 298 K):  $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$  3293<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , OH + NH overlapped), 1638 ( $\nu$ , C=O (amide I)), 1547 ( $\delta_{\text{ip}}$ , CNH (amide II)), 1487 ( $\nu$ , N-CSS), 1071<sub>br</sub>/1060<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , C-OH), 1005 ( $\nu_{\text{a}}$ , SCS), 570 ( $\nu_{\text{s}}$ , SCS), 373 ( $\nu_{\text{a}}$ , ZnS<sub>4</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  7.70 (0.5 H, d, NH β), 7.67 (2 H, d, NH α), 6.49 (0.5 H, d, C<sup>1</sup>OH β), 6.41 (2 H, d, C<sup>1</sup>OH α), 4.94–4.90 (4 H, m, C<sup>3</sup>OH + C<sup>1</sup>H α overlapped), 4.85–4.78 (5 H, br m, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub> α and β overlapped), 4.61 (2 H, d, C<sup>4</sup>OH α), 4.53 (0.5 H, dd, C<sup>6</sup>OH β), 4.45–4.42 (2.5 H, br m, C<sup>6</sup>OH α + C<sup>1</sup>H β overlapped), 3.69–3.39 (11.5 H, m, C<sup>2</sup>H α + C<sup>4</sup>H α and β + C<sup>5</sup>H α + C<sup>6</sup>H<sub>2</sub> α and β over-

lapped), 3.31–3.22 (6 H, m, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub> α and β + C<sup>2</sup>H β + C<sup>3</sup>H β overlapped), 3.18–3.02 (2.5 H, m, C<sup>3</sup>H α + C<sup>5</sup>H β overlapped), 2.57–2.52 (2.5 H, m, C<sup>4</sup>H α and β overlapped), 1.81–1.75 (5 H, m, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub> α and β overlapped), 1.62–1.56 (5 H, br qd, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub> α and β overlapped) ppm. C<sup>3</sup>OH β and C<sup>4</sup>OH β could not be undoubtedly assigned: those peaks are probably overlapped with other peaks in the 4.92–4.42 ppm range. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  202.2 (NCSS), 173.8 (C=O), 95.4 (C<sup>1</sup>H β), 90.5 (C<sup>1</sup>H α), 76.9 (C<sup>5</sup>H β), 74.2 (C<sup>3</sup>H β), 72.1 (C<sup>5</sup>H α), 71.1 (C<sup>3</sup>H α), 70.9 (C<sup>4</sup>H β), 70.4 (C<sup>4</sup>H α), 61.2 (C<sup>6</sup>H<sub>2</sub> β), 61.1 (C<sup>6</sup>H<sub>2</sub> α), 57.1 (C<sup>2</sup>H β), 54.3 (C<sup>2</sup>H α), 50.8 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 40.1 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 28.5 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>) ppm. No differentiation of the <sup>13</sup>C signals of the isonipecotic moiety due to the presence of both α and β anomers could be observed. Solution α : β anomers ratio ≈ 4 : 1 (based on the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum).

[Zn<sup>II</sup>(SSC-Inp-GlcN2)<sub>2</sub>] (Zn4). A mixture of 1-*O*-methyl-2-amino-2-deoxy-(α,β)-D-glucopyranoside (GlcN2) (266.1 mg, 1.05 mmol) and *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 76 μL, 0.44 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (2 mL) was added under stirring to a suspension of Zn0b (292.8 mg, 0.44 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (2 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under inert atmosphere (N<sub>2</sub>) for 16 h and treated with methanol (30 mL), leading to the formation of a white precipitate that was filtered off and discarded. The resulting clear pale yellow solution was allowed to slowly concentrate under the fume hood at room temperature for two days. The resulting white solid was resuspended in methanol (20 mL), filtered off, washed with methanol (3 × 20 mL), and then dried under vacuum over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, yielding the title compound as a white solid (464.6 mg, 35%). M.p. 268–272 °C (dec.). Anal. (%) calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>46</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>S<sub>4</sub>Zn (MM = 824.31 g mol<sup>-1</sup>): C, 40.80; H, 5.63; N, 6.80; found: C, 40.65; H, 5.74; N, 6.81. FT-IR (CsI disk; 298 K):  $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$  3319<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , OH + NH overlapped), 1645 ( $\nu$ , C=O (amide I)), 1557 ( $\delta_{\text{ip}}$ , CNH (amide II)), 1494 ( $\nu$ , N-CSS), 1062<sub>br</sub>/1040<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , C-OH + C<sup>1</sup>-O-CH<sub>3</sub> overlapped), 1007 ( $\nu_{\text{a}}$ , SCS), 576 ( $\nu_{\text{s}}$ , SCS), 366 ( $\nu_{\text{a}}$ , ZnS<sub>4</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  7.80 (2 H, d, NH), 5.00 (2 H, d, C<sup>4</sup>OH), 4.82 (4 H, br d, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 4.73 (2 H, d, C<sup>3</sup>OH), 4.56–4.52 (4 H, m, C<sup>6</sup>OH + C<sup>1</sup>H overlapped), 3.67–3.42 (8 H, m, C<sup>2</sup>H + C<sup>3</sup>H + C<sup>6</sup>H<sub>2</sub> overlapped), 3.33–3.24 (6 H, m, C<sup>5</sup>H + C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub> overlapped), 3.24 (6 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.15–3.09 (2 H, m, C<sup>4</sup>H), 2.59–2.52 (2 H, m, C<sup>4</sup>H), 1.81–1.76 (4 H, br m, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 1.57 (4 H, br qd, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>) ppm. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals refer to the α anomer. Signals related to the β anomer were hardly detectable, and only a very few were observed and could be undoubtedly assigned (such as  $\delta(\text{NH}) = 7.68$ ,  $\delta(\text{C}^3\text{OH}) = 4.91$ ,  $\delta(\text{C}^1\text{H}) = 4.19$  ( $^3J_{1,2} = 8.4$  Hz),  $\delta(\text{OCH}_3) = 3.58$  (ppm)). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  202.2 (NCSS), 173.9 (C=O), 97.9 (C<sup>1</sup>H), 72.8 (C<sup>5</sup>H), 70.8 (C<sup>3</sup>H), 70.7 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 60.9 (C<sup>6</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 54.5 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 53.8 (C<sup>2</sup>H), 50.8 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 40.0 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 28.5 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>) ppm. No <sup>13</sup>C signals assignable to the β anomer were detected. Solution α : β anomers ratio ≈ 25 : 1 (based on the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum).

[Zn<sup>II</sup>(SSC-Inp-GlcN3)<sub>2</sub>] (Zn5). A mixture of 1-*O*-methyl-6-amino-6-deoxy-α-D-glucopyranoside (GlcN3, 760.0 mg, 3.93 mmol) and *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 1.50 mL, 8.64 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (4 mL) was added under stir-

ring to a suspension of **Zn0b** (1.05 g, 1.57 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (4 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under inert atmosphere ( $N_2$ ) for 16 h and then treated with ethanol (80 mL), leading to the sudden precipitation of a white solid. The precipitate was filtered off, washed with methanol ( $3 \times 15$  mL), and then dried under vacuum over  $P_2O_5$ , yielding the title compound as a white solid (980.0 mg, 76%). M.p. 245–248 °C (dec.). Anal. (%) calcd for  $C_{28}H_{46}N_4O_{12}S_4Zn$  (MM = 824.31 g mol<sup>-1</sup>): C, 40.80; H, 5.63; N, 6.80; found: C, 40.91; H, 5.83; N, 6.76. FT-IR (CsI disk; 298 K):  $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$  3392<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , OH + NH overlapped), 1637 ( $\nu$ , C=O (amide I)), 1543 ( $\delta_{ip}$ , CNH (amide II)), 1494 ( $\nu$ , N-CSS), 1050<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , C-OH + C<sup>1</sup>-O-CH<sub>3</sub> overlapped), 1010 ( $\nu_a$ , SCS), 564 ( $\nu_s$ , SCS), 366 ( $\nu_a$ , ZnS<sub>4</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  7.97 (2 H, dd, NH), 4.97 (2 H, d, C<sup>4</sup>OH), 4.83 (4 H, br m, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 4.82 (2 H, d, C<sup>3</sup>OH), 4.75 (2 H, d, C<sup>2</sup>OH), 4.51 (2 H, d, C<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>1,2</sub> = 3.6 Hz), 3.58–3.52 (2 H, m, C<sup>6</sup>H'), 3.40–3.35 (4 H, m, C<sup>3</sup>H + C<sup>5</sup>H overlapped), 3.24 (6 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.28–3.19 (6 H, m, C<sup>2</sup>H + C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub> overlapped), 3.08–2.98 (2 H, m, C<sup>6</sup>H''), 2.92–2.88 (2 H, m, C<sup>4</sup>H), 2.57–2.53 (2 H, m, C<sup>4</sup>H'), 1.78–1.75 (4 H, m, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 1.57 (4 H, br qd, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  202.2 (NCSS), 173.8 (C=O), 99.6 (C<sup>1</sup>H), 73.0 (C<sup>3</sup>H), 72.1 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 72.0 (C<sup>2</sup>H), 70.3 (C<sup>5</sup>H), 54.3 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 50.7 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 39.9 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 39.8 (C<sup>6</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 28.4 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>) ppm.

#### Gold(m)-dithiocarbamate complexes

[Au<sup>III</sup>Br<sub>2</sub>(SSC-Inp-OEt)] (**Au1**). A DMF solution (2 mL) of **Zn1** (76.5 mg, 0.15 mmol) was added dropwise under stirring to a DMF solution (2 mL) of K[AuBr<sub>4</sub>]-2H<sub>2</sub>O (170.5 mg, 0.30 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 3 h, after which the color of the solution turned from dark red to orange. Addition of a diethyl ether/*n*-hexane 2 : 1 solution (60 mL) resulted in the formation of a light orange precipitate. The precipitate was centrifuged and the bulk of supernatant discarded. The residue was subsequently washed with ethanol (15 mL) and a water/ethanol 1 : 1 solution (2  $\times$  15 mL), and then dried under vacuum over  $P_2O_5$ , yielding the title compound as an orange solid (132.2 mg, 78%). Orange shiny plate-shaped crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained upon slow evaporation of an acetone solution of the compound. M.p. 243–246 °C (dec.). Anal. (%) calcd for  $C_9H_{14}AuBr_2NO_2S_2$  (MM = 589.11 g mol<sup>-1</sup>): C, 18.35; H, 2.40; N, 2.38; found: C, 18.27; H, 2.39; N, 2.25. FT-IR (CsI disk; 298 K):  $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$  1729 ( $\nu$ , C=O), 1571 ( $\nu$ , N-CSS), 1183 ( $\nu$ , C-OEt), 1040 ( $\nu$ , O-Et), 1002 ( $\nu_a$ , SCS), 536 ( $\nu_s$ , SCS), 413 ( $\nu_a$ , SAuS), 398 ( $\nu_s$ , SAuS), 249 ( $\nu_a$ , BrAuBr), 228 ( $\nu_s$ , BrAuBr) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  4.09 (2 H, q, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.07–4.05 (2 H, m, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 3.61 (2 H, td, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>), 2.90 (1 H, tt, C<sup>4</sup>H), 2.08 (2 H, dd, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 1.75 (2 H, qd, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>), 1.19 (3 H, t, CH<sub>3</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  187.9 (NCSS), 173.2 (C=O), 60.9 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 48.6 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 39.0 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 27.3 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 14.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>) ppm.

[Au<sup>III</sup>Br<sub>2</sub>(SSC-Inp-NH<sub>2</sub>)] (**Au2**). A suspension of **Zn2** (42.0 mg, 0.09 mmol) in DMF (8 mL) was added dropwise under stirring to a DMF solution (2 mL) of K[AuBr<sub>4</sub>]-2H<sub>2</sub>O (105.4 mg, 0.18 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for

1.5 h, after which the suspension dissolved completely and the color of the solution turned from dark red to orange. Addition of diethyl ether (100 mL) led to the precipitation of an orange residue. The precipitate was centrifuged and the bulk of supernatant discarded. The residue was subsequently washed with methanol ( $3 \times 15$  mL) and then dried under vacuum over  $P_2O_5$ , yielding the title compound as an orange solid (69.3 mg, 70%). M.p. 268–272 °C (dec.). Anal. (%) calcd for  $C_7H_{11}AuBr_2N_2OS_2$  (MM = 560.07 g mol<sup>-1</sup>): C, 15.01; H, 1.98; N, 5.00; found: C, 15.06; H, 2.09; N, 4.96. FT-IR (CsI disk; 298 K):  $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$  3408/3176 ( $\nu_{as}$ , NH<sub>2</sub>), 1660 ( $\nu$ , C=O (amide I)), 1620 ( $\delta_{ip}$ , CNH<sub>2</sub> (amide II)), 1565 ( $\nu$ , N-CSS), 1007 ( $\nu_a$ , SCS), 534 ( $\nu_s$ , SCS), 411 ( $\nu_a$ , SAuS), 397 ( $\nu_s$ , SAuS), 232 ( $\nu_a$ , BrAuBr), 227 ( $\nu_s$ , BrAuBr) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  7.40 (2 H, s, NH<sub>cis</sub>), 6.97 (2 H, s, NH<sub>trans</sub>), 4.11 (2 H, dt, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 3.57 (2 H, td, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>), 2.62 (1 H, tt, C<sup>4</sup>H), 1.97 (2 H, dd, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 1.62 (2 H, qd, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  186.9 (NCSS), 174.5 (C=O), 48.4 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 40.3 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 27.5 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>) ppm.

[Au<sup>III</sup>Br<sub>2</sub>(SSC-Inp-GlcN1)] (**Au3**). A DMF solution (2 mL) of **Zn3** (74.3 mg, 0.09 mmol) was added dropwise under stirring to a DMF solution (2 mL) of K[AuBr<sub>4</sub>]-2H<sub>2</sub>O (110.5 mg, 0.19 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 3 h, after which the color of the solution turned from dark red to orange. Addition of diethyl ether (40 mL) resulted in the formation of an orange precipitate. The precipitate was centrifuged and the bulk of supernatant discarded. The residue was subsequently washed with methanol ( $3 \times 10$  mL) and then dried under vacuum over  $P_2O_5$ , yielding the title compound as an orange solid (100.9 mg, 75%). M.p. 210–211 °C (dec.). Anal. (%) calcd for  $C_{13}H_{21}AuBr_2N_2O_6S_2$  (MM = 722.21 g mol<sup>-1</sup>): C, 21.62; H, 2.93; N, 3.88; found: C, 21.78; H, 3.03; N, 3.78. FT-IR (CsI disk; 298 K):  $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$  3306<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , OH + NH overlapped), 1636 ( $\nu$ , C=O (amide I)), 1561 ( $\nu$ , N-CSS +  $\delta_{ip}$ , CNH (amide II) overlapped), 1060<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , C-OH), 1008 ( $\nu_a$ , SCS), 575 ( $\nu_s$ , SCS), 412 ( $\nu_a$ , SAuS), 392 ( $\nu_s$ , SAuS), 244 ( $\nu_a$ , BrAuBr), 227 ( $\nu_s$ , BrAuBr) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  7.75 (0.4 H, d, NH  $\beta$ ), 7.73 (1 H, d, NH  $\alpha$ ), 6.45 (1.4 H, br s, C<sup>1</sup>OH  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  overlapped), 4.91 (1 H, d, C<sup>1</sup>H  $\alpha$ , <sup>3</sup>J<sub>1,2</sub> = 3.1 Hz), 4.45 (0.4 H, d, C<sup>1</sup>H  $\beta$ , <sup>3</sup>J<sub>1,2</sub> = 7.8 Hz), 4.12 (2.8 H, br dt, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  overlapped), ~4.0 (4.2 H, br s, C<sup>3</sup>OH + C<sup>4</sup>OH + C<sup>6</sup>OH  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  overlapped), 3.69–3.39 (9 H, m, C<sup>2</sup>H  $\alpha$  + C<sup>4</sup>H  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  + C<sup>5</sup>H  $\alpha$  + C<sup>6</sup>H<sub>2</sub>  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  overlapped), 3.37–3.23 (0.8 H, m, C<sup>2</sup>H  $\beta$  + C<sup>3</sup>H  $\beta$  overlapped), 3.11–3.04 (1.4 H, m, C<sup>3</sup>H  $\alpha$  + C<sup>5</sup>H  $\beta$  overlapped), 2.75 (1 H, tt, C<sup>4</sup>H  $\alpha$ ), 2.63 (0.4 H, tt, C<sup>4</sup>H  $\beta$ ), 1.98–1.91 (2.8 H, br m, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  overlapped), 1.75–1.67 (2.8 H, br m, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  overlapped) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  186.9 (NCSS  $\alpha$ ;  $\beta$  not detected), 172.8 (C=O  $\alpha$ ), 172.7 (C=O  $\beta$ ), 95.3 (C<sup>1</sup>H  $\beta$ ), 90.5 (C<sup>1</sup>H  $\alpha$ ), 76.9 (C<sup>5</sup>H  $\beta$ ), 74.2 (C<sup>3</sup>H  $\beta$ ), 72.1 (C<sup>5</sup>H  $\alpha$ ), 71.1 (C<sup>3</sup>H  $\alpha$ ), 70.8 (C<sup>4</sup>H  $\beta$ ), 70.4 (C<sup>4</sup>H  $\alpha$ ), 61.2 (C<sup>6</sup>H<sub>2</sub>  $\beta$ ), 61.1 (C<sup>6</sup>H<sub>2</sub>  $\alpha$ ), 57.2 (C<sup>2</sup>H  $\beta$ ), 54.3 (C<sup>2</sup>H  $\alpha$ ), 48.4 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>  $\alpha$ ), 48.3 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>  $\beta$ ), 40.5 (C<sup>4</sup>H  $\beta$ ), 40.1 (C<sup>4</sup>H  $\alpha$ ), 27.8 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>  $\alpha$ ), 27.3 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>  $\beta$ ) ppm. Solution  $\alpha$  :  $\beta$  anomers ratio  $\approx$  2.5 : 1 (based on the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum).

[Au<sup>III</sup>Br<sub>2</sub>(SSC-Inp-GlcN2)] (**Au4**). A DMF solution (2 mL) of **Zn4** (88.6 mg, 0.11 mmol) was added dropwise under stirring to a DMF solution (3 mL) of K[AuBr<sub>4</sub>]-2H<sub>2</sub>O (128.6 mg,

0.22 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 3 h, after which the color of the solution turned from dark red to orange. Addition of diethyl ether (50 mL) resulted in the formation of an orange precipitate. The precipitate was centrifuged and the bulk of supernatant discarded. The residue was subsequently washed with methanol ( $3 \times 10$  mL) and then dried under vacuum over  $P_2O_5$ , yielding the title compound as an orange solid (129.1 mg, 81%). M.p. 198–202 °C (dec.). Anal. (%) calcd for  $C_{14}H_{23}AuBr_2N_2O_6S_2$  (MM = 736.24 g mol<sup>-1</sup>): C, 22.84; H, 3.15; N, 3.81; found: C, 22.85; H, 2.98; N, 3.75. FT-IR (CsI disk; 298 K):  $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$  3400<sub>br</sub>/3296<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , OH + NH overlapped), 1649 ( $\nu$ , C=O (amide I)), 1561 ( $\nu$ , N-CSS +  $\delta_{ip}$ , CNH (amide II) overlapped), 1059<sub>br</sub>/1040<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , C-OH + C<sup>1</sup>-O-CH<sub>3</sub> overlapped), 1007 ( $\nu_a$ , SCS), 577 ( $\nu_s$ , SCS), 410 ( $\nu_a$ , SAuS), 393 ( $\nu_s$ , SAuS), 246 ( $\nu_a$ , BrAuBr), 220 ( $\nu_s$ , BrAuBr) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  7.86 (1 H, d, NH), 4.97 (3 H, br s, C<sup>3</sup>OH + C<sup>4</sup>OH + C<sup>6</sup>OH overlapped), 4.52 (1 H, d, C<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>1,2</sub> = 3.5 Hz), 4.12 (2 H, br dt, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 3.68–3.27 (7 H, m, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub> + C<sup>2</sup>H + C<sup>3</sup>H + C<sup>5</sup>H + C<sup>6</sup>H<sub>2</sub> overlapped), 3.24 (3 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.12 (1 H, dd, C<sup>4</sup>H), 2.75 (1 H, tt, C<sup>4</sup>H), 1.98–1.91 (2 H, m, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 1.76–1.66 (2 H, m, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  186.9 (NCSS), 172.9 (C=O), 97.8 (C<sup>1</sup>H), 72.8 (C<sup>5</sup>H), 70.8 (C<sup>3</sup>H), 70.7 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 60.8 (C<sup>6</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 54.4 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 53.7 (C<sup>2</sup>H), 48.4 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 40.3 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 27.7 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>) ppm. No NMR signals assignable to the  $\beta$  anomer were detected.

**[Au<sup>III</sup>Br<sub>2</sub>(SSC-Inp-GlcN3)] (Au5).** A DMF solution (3 mL) of **Zn5** (101.7 mg, 0.12 mmol) was added dropwise under stirring to a DMF solution (3 mL) of K[AuBr<sub>4</sub>] $\cdot$ 2H<sub>2</sub>O (148.8 mg, 0.25 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 2 h, after which the color of the solution turned from dark red to orange. Addition of diethyl ether (60 mL) resulted in the formation of an orange precipitate. The precipitate was centrifuged and the bulk of supernatant discarded. The residue was subsequently washed with methanol ( $3 \times 10$  mL) and then dried under vacuum over  $P_2O_5$ , yielding the title compound as an orange solid (161.2 mg, 87%). M.p. 217–220 °C (dec.). Anal. (%) calcd for  $C_{14}H_{23}AuBr_2N_2O_6S_2$  (MM = 736.24 g mol<sup>-1</sup>): C, 22.84; H, 3.15; N, 3.81; found: C, 22.95; H, 3.07; N, 3.78. FT-IR (CsI disk; 298 K):  $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$  3400<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , OH + NH overlapped), 1650 ( $\nu$ , C=O (amide I)), 1555 ( $\nu$ , N-CSS +  $\delta_{ip}$ , CNH (amide II) overlapped), 1050<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , C-OH + C<sup>1</sup>-O-CH<sub>3</sub> overlapped), 1011 ( $\nu_a$ , SCS), 570 ( $\nu_s$ , SCS), 398<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu_{a,s}$ , SAuS), 254 ( $\nu_a$ , BrAuBr), 227 ( $\nu_s$ , BrAuBr) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  7.99 (1 H, dd, NH), 4.51 (1 H, d, C<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>1,2</sub> = 3.6 Hz), 4.13 (2 H, br d, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 3.60 (3 H, br s, C<sup>2</sup>OH + C<sup>3</sup>OH + C<sup>4</sup>OH overlapped), 3.59–3.53 (3 H, m, C<sup>6</sup>H' + C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub> overlapped), 3.37–3.30 (2 H, m, C<sup>3</sup>H + C<sup>5</sup>H overlapped), 3.24 (3 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.21–3.17 (1 H, m, C<sup>2</sup>H), 3.05–2.94 (1 H, m, C<sup>6</sup>H''), 2.90 (1 H, dd, C<sup>4</sup>H), 2.71 (1 H, br tt, C<sup>4</sup>H), 1.93 (2 H, td, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 1.71 (2 H, qd, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  187.0 (NCSS), 172.7 (C=O), 99.6 (C<sup>1</sup>H), 73.0 (C<sup>3</sup>H), 72.1 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 71.9 (C<sup>2</sup>H), 70.3 (C<sup>5</sup>H), 54.4 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 48.4 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 40.5 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 40.1 (C<sup>6</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 27.7 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>) ppm.

### Gold(i)-dithiocarbamate complexes

**[Au<sup>I</sup>(SSC-Inp-GlcN2)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)] (Au6).** A DMF solution (2 mL) of **Zn4** (65.0 mg, 0.08 mmol) was added dropwise under stirring

to a DMF solution (2 mL) of [AuCl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)] (78.0 mg, 0.16 mmol) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. Upon addition of diethyl ether (40 mL), the solution turned cloudy and a white solid residue formed. The precipitate was centrifuged and the bulk of supernatant discarded. The sticky residue was subsequently triturated with a 1:1 diethyl ether/dichloromethane mixture ( $3 \times 10$  mL), and then dried under vacuum over  $P_2O_5$ , yielding the title compound as a light yellow solid (80.2 mg, 60%). M.p. 188–190 °C (dec.). Anal. (%) calcd for  $C_{32}H_{38}AuN_2O_6PS_2$  (MM = 838.72 g mol<sup>-1</sup>): C, 45.83; H, 4.57; N, 3.34; found: C, 45.92; H, 4.63; N, 3.29. FT-IR (CsI disk; 298 K):  $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$  3424<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , OH + NH overlapped), 1651 ( $\nu$ , C=O (amide I)), 1545 ( $\delta_{ip}$ , CNH (amide II)), 1490 ( $\nu$ , N-CSS), 1100 ( $\nu_q$  vib, P-Ph<sub>3</sub>), 1056<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , C-OH + C<sup>1</sup>-O-CH<sub>3</sub> overlapped), 1001/914 ( $\nu$ , S=C-S), 710/694 ( $\nu_r$  vib, P-Ph<sub>3</sub>), 578 ( $\nu$ , C-S), 539/509/500 ( $\delta_y$  vib, P-Ph<sub>3</sub>), 427 ( $\nu_t$  vib, P-Ph<sub>3</sub>), 380 ( $\nu$ , Au-S), 273/240 ( $\delta_x$  vib, P-Ph<sub>3</sub> +  $\nu$ , Au-P) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  7.80 (1 H, d, NH), 7.59–7.50 (15 H, m, CH Ph), 5.01 (1 H, d, C<sup>4</sup>OH), 4.90 (2 H, br dt, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 4.71 (1 H, d, C<sup>3</sup>OH), 4.55 (1 H, d, C<sup>6</sup>OH), 4.53 (1 H, d, C<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>1,2</sub> = 3.1 Hz), 3.68–3.61 (2 H, m, C<sup>2</sup>H + C<sup>6</sup>H' overlapped), 3.49–3.42 (2 H, m, C<sup>3</sup>H + C<sup>6</sup>H'' overlapped), 3.33–3.26 (3 H, m, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub> + C<sup>5</sup>H overlapped), 3.24 (3 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.15–3.09 (1 H, m, C<sup>4</sup>H), 2.59–2.53 (1 H, br tt, C<sup>4</sup>H), 1.83–1.75 (2 H, m, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 1.65–1.55 (2 H, m, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  202.2 (NCSS), 173.9 (C=O), 133.5 (d, *o*-CH, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>C,P</sub> = 14.3 Hz), 131.9 (d, *p*-CH, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>C,P</sub> = 2.0 Hz), 130.1 (d, *CP*, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C,P</sub> = not detectable due to overlapping), 129.5 (d, *m*-CH, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>C,P</sub> = 11.3 Hz), 97.9 (C<sup>1</sup>H), 72.8 (C<sup>5</sup>H), 70.8 (C<sup>3</sup>H), 70.7 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 60.8 (C<sup>6</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 54.5 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 53.8 (C<sup>2</sup>H), 50.8 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 40.3 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 28.4 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>) ppm. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (162 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  36.5 (AuPPh<sub>3</sub>) ppm.

**[Au<sup>I</sup>(SSC-Inp-GlcN3)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)] (Au7).** A DMF solution (2 mL) of **Zn5** (67.0 mg, 0.08 mmol) was added dropwise under stirring to a DMF solution (2 mL) of [AuCl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)] (80.4 mg, 0.16 mmol) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. Upon addition of diethyl ether (40 mL), the solution turned cloudy and a white solid residue formed. The precipitate was centrifuged and the bulk of supernatant discarded. The sticky residue was subsequently triturated with a 1:1 diethyl ether/dichloromethane mixture ( $3 \times 10$  mL), and then dried under vacuum over  $P_2O_5$ , yielding the title compound as a light yellow solid (95.2 mg, 70%). M.p. 162–166 °C (dec.). Anal. (%) calcd for  $C_{32}H_{38}AuN_2O_6PS_2$  (MM = 838.72 g mol<sup>-1</sup>): C, 45.83; H, 4.57; N, 3.34; found: C, 45.96; H, 4.56; N, 3.39. FT-IR (CsI disk; 298 K):  $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$  3429<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , OH + NH overlapped), 1654 ( $\nu$ , C=O (amide I)), 1544 ( $\delta_{ip}$ , CNH (amide II)), 1483 ( $\nu$ , N-CSS), 1101 ( $\nu_q$  vib, P-Ph<sub>3</sub>), 1051<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , C-OH + C<sup>1</sup>-O-CH<sub>3</sub> overlapped), 1000/914 ( $\nu$ , S=C-S), 711/694 ( $\nu_r$  vib, P-Ph<sub>3</sub>), 577 ( $\nu$ , C-S), 539/510/500 ( $\delta_y$  vib, P-Ph<sub>3</sub>), 437 ( $\nu_t$  vib, P-Ph<sub>3</sub>), 397 ( $\nu$ , Au-S), 262/241 ( $\delta_x$  vib, P-Ph<sub>3</sub> +  $\nu$ , Au-P) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K):  $\delta$  7.98 (1 H, dd, NH), 7.60–7.52 (15 H, m, CH Ph), 4.98 (1 H, d, C<sup>4</sup>OH), 4.89 (2 H, br d, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 4.82 (1 H, d, C<sup>3</sup>OH), 4.76 (1 H, d, C<sup>2</sup>OH), 4.51 (1 H, d, C<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>1,2</sub> = 3.7 Hz), 3.55 (1 H, ddd, C<sup>6</sup>H'), 3.38–3.33 (2 H, m, C<sup>3</sup>H + C<sup>5</sup>H overlapped), 3.24 (3 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.24–3.17 (3 H, m, C<sup>2</sup>H + C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>

overlapped), 3.01 (1 H, td, C<sup>6</sup>H<sup>''</sup>), 2.93–2.87 (1 H, m, C<sup>4</sup>H), 2.55 (1 H, br tt, C<sup>4</sup>H), 1.79–1.75 (2 H, m, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 1.65–1.56 (2 H, m, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K): δ 203.6 (NCSS), 173.9 (C=O), 133.7 (d, *o*-CH, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>C,P</sub> = 15.7 Hz), 131.9 (d, *p*-CH, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>C,P</sub> = 2.8 Hz), 129.8 (d, CP, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C,P</sub> = not detectable due to overlapping), 129.5 (d, *m*-CH, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>C,P</sub> = 11.9 Hz), 99.6 (C<sup>1</sup>H), 73.0 (C<sup>3</sup>H), 72.1 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 72.0 (C<sup>2</sup>H), 70.3 (C<sup>5</sup>H), 54.3 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 51.2 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 40.5 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 40.1 (C<sup>6</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 28.5 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>) ppm. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (162 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K): δ 36.5 (AuPPh<sub>3</sub>) ppm.

### Platinum(II)-dithiocarbamate complexes

[Pt<sup>II</sup>(SSC-Inp-GlcN2)]<sub>2</sub> (Pt1). A DMF solution (2 mL) of Zn4 (15.2 mg, 0.02 mmol) was added dropwise under stirring to a DMF solution (2 mL) of (PPh<sub>4</sub>)[PtCl<sub>3</sub>(NH<sub>3</sub>)] (24.4 mg, 0.04 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 16 h and then treated with diethyl ether (40 mL). The resulting cloudy solution was stored at 4 °C for 1 h, leading to the formation of a yellow precipitate. The precipitate was centrifuged and the bulk of supernatant discarded. The residue was subsequently washed with a 1 : 1 methanol/dichloromethane solution (3 × 10 mL) and then dried under vacuum over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, yielding the title compound as a yellow solid (12.2 mg, 35%). M. p. 195–198 °C (dec.). Anal. (%) calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>46</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>PtS<sub>4</sub> (MM = 954.02 g mol<sup>-1</sup>): C, 35.25; H, 4.86; N, 5.87; found: C, 35.14; H, 4.95; N, 5.81. FT-IR (CsI disk; 298 K):  $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$  3401<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , OH + NH overlapped), 1650 ( $\nu$ , C=O (amide I)), 1523 ( $\nu$ , N-CSS +  $\delta_{ip}$ , CNH (amide II) overlapped), 1055<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , C-OH + C<sup>1</sup>-O-CH<sub>3</sub> overlapped), 1005 ( $\nu_a$ , SCS), 577 ( $\nu_s$ , SCS), 365 ( $\nu_a$ , PtS<sub>4</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K): δ 7.84 (2 H, d, NH), 5.01 (2 H, d, C<sup>4</sup>OH), 4.73 (2 H, d, C<sup>3</sup>OH), 4.56–4.52 (4 H, m, C<sup>6</sup>OH + C<sup>1</sup>H overlapped), 4.20–4.16 (4 H, br d, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 3.67–3.62 (4 H, m, C<sup>2</sup>H + C<sup>6</sup>H' overlapped), 3.49–3.40 (4 H, m, C<sup>3</sup>H + C<sup>6</sup>H'' overlapped), 3.33–3.24 (6 H, m, C<sup>5</sup>H + C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub> overlapped), 3.24 (6 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.15–3.09 (2 H, m, C<sup>4</sup>H), 2.64 (2 H, tt, C<sup>4</sup>H), 1.90–1.83 (4 H, br m, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 1.60–1.51 (4 H, br m, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K): δ 207.2 (NCSS), 173.4 (C=O), 97.8 (C<sup>1</sup>H), 72.8 (C<sup>5</sup>H), 70.8 (C<sup>3</sup>H), 70.7 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 60.8 (C<sup>6</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 54.4 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 53.8 (C<sup>2</sup>H), 46.1 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 40.4 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 27.9 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>) ppm. No NMR signals assignable to the β anomer were detected.

[Pt<sup>II</sup>(SSC-Inp-GlcN3)]<sub>2</sub> (Pt2). A DMF solution (2 mL) of Zn5 (34.8 mg, 0.04 mmol) was added dropwise under stirring to a DMF solution (2 mL) of (PPh<sub>4</sub>)[PtCl<sub>3</sub>(NH<sub>3</sub>)] (55.6 mg, 0.08 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 16 h and then treated with diethyl ether (40 mL). The resulting cloudy solution was stored at 4 °C for 1 h, leading to the formation of an oily yellow residue. The residue was centrifuged and the bulk of supernatant discarded. The oily residue was subsequently triturated with dichloromethane (10 mL) to obtain a yellow solid which was filtered off, washed with dichloromethane (3 × 10 mL), and then dried under vacuum over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, yielding the title compound as a yellow solid (40.0 mg, 49%). M.p. 217–220 °C (dec.). Anal. (%) calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>46</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>PtS<sub>4</sub> (MM = 954.02 g mol<sup>-1</sup>): C, 35.25; H, 4.86; N, 5.87; found: C, 35.34; H, 5.06; N, 5.84. FT-IR (CsI disk; 298 K):

$\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$  3393<sub>br</sub>/3324<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , OH + NH overlapped), 1647 ( $\nu$ , C=O (amide I)), 1554 ( $\nu$ , N-CSS +  $\delta_{ip}$ , CNH (amide II) overlapped), 1048<sub>br</sub> ( $\nu$ , C-OH + C<sup>1</sup>-O-CH<sub>3</sub> overlapped), 1007 ( $\nu_a$ , SCS), 566 ( $\nu_s$ , SCS), 350 ( $\nu_a$ , PtS<sub>4</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K): δ 7.99 (2 H, dd, NH), 4.98 (2 H, d, C<sup>4</sup>OH), 4.83 (2 H, d, C<sup>3</sup>OH), 4.76 (2 H, d, C<sup>2</sup>OH), 4.51 (2 H, d, C<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>1,2</sub> = 3.3 Hz), 4.18 (4 H, br d, C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 3.55 (2 H, br dd, C<sup>6</sup>H'), 3.37–3.34 (4 H, m, C<sup>3</sup>H + C<sup>5</sup>H overlapped), 3.24 (6 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.24–3.19 (6 H, m, C<sup>2</sup>H + C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub> overlapped), 3.05–2.95 (2 H, m, C<sup>6</sup>H''), 2.90 (2 H, br td, C<sup>4</sup>H), 2.60 (2 H, br tt, C<sup>4</sup>H), 1.86–1.83 (4 H, m, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>eq</sub>), 1.57–1.52 (4 H, m, C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>ax</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz; DMSO-D<sub>6</sub>; 298 K): δ 207.2 (NCSS), 173.3 (C=O), 99.7 (C<sup>1</sup>H), 73.0 (C<sup>3</sup>H), 72.1 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 72.0 (C<sup>2</sup>H), 70.3 (C<sup>5</sup>H), 54.3 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 46.1 (C<sup>2',6'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 40.7 (C<sup>4</sup>H), 40.1 (C<sup>6</sup>H<sub>2</sub>), 28.0 (C<sup>3',5'</sup>H<sub>2</sub>) ppm.

## Conflicts of interest

To the best of our knowledge, there are no conflicts of interest to declare.

## Acknowledgements

Financial support by NUI Galway (College of Science Scholarship 2014 to AP; Millennium Fund Minor Project 2013 to LR), Irish Research Council (Postgraduate Scholarship GOIPG/2015/2961 to AP), and COST Action CM1105 “Functional metal complexes that bind to biomolecules” is gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- 1 L. Cipolla and F. Peri, *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.*, 2011, **11**, 39.
- 2 L. Kransnova and C.-H. Wong, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 2016, **85**, 599.
- 3 (a) B. Lepenies, J. Yin and P. H. Seeberger, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2010, **14**, 404; (b) S. Nishat and P. R. Andreana, *Vaccines*, 2016, **4**, 19.
- 4 M. Gentile and O. Francesconi, in *Carbohydrate Chemistry: State of the Art and Challenges for Drug Development*, ed. L. Cipolla, Imperial College Press, London, 2016, pp. 481–499.
- 5 T. Ueda, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 2014, **1844**, 2053.
- 6 R. Gebleux and G. Casi, *Pharmacol. Ther.*, 2016, **167**, 48.
- 7 I. R. Vlahov and C. P. Leaman, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2012, **23**, 1357.
- 8 (a) J. Guo and X.-S. Ye, *Molecules*, 2010, **15**, 7235; (b) R. Enugala, L. C. R. Carvalho, M. J. Dias Pires and M. M. B. Marques, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2012, **7**, 2482; (c) S.-C. Hung and C.-C. Wang, in *Glycochemical Synthesis: Strategies and Applications*, ed. S.-C. Hung and M. M. L. Zulueta, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, 2016, pp. 35–68.

- 9 D. B. Werz, in *Carbohydrate Chemistry: State of the Art and Challenges for Drug Development*, ed. L. Cipolla, Imperial College Press, London, 2016, pp. 195–213.
- 10 H. Yu and X. Chen, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 2809.
- 11 M. Weishaupt, S. Ellr and P. H. Seeberger, *Methods Enzymol.*, 2010, **478**, 463.
- 12 A. Corsaro, U. Chiacchio, V. Pistara and G. Romeo, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **8**, 511.
- 13 (a) C. Sawyers, *Nature*, 2004, **432**, 294; (b) T. Storr, K. H. Thompson and C. Orvig, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2006, **35**, 534; (c) M. A. Jakupec, M. Galanski, V. B. Arion, C. G. Hartinger and B. K. Keppler, *Dalton Trans.*, 2008, 183; (d) C. Sanchez-Cano and M. J. Hannon, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 10702.
- 14 (a) Y. Mikata, in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic and Bioinorganic Chemistry*, ed. R. A. Scott, John Wiley & Sons, 2017, DOI: 10.1002/9781119951438.eibc2513; (b) A. Pettenuzzo, R. Pigot and L. Ronconi, *Metallo drugs*, 2015, **1**, 36; (c) J. Petrig, R. Schibli, C. Dumas, R. Alberto and P. A. Schubiger, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2001, **7**, 1868; (d) T. Storr, M. Obata, C. L. Fisher, S. R. Bayly, D. E. Green, I. Brudzińska, Y. Mikata, B. O. Patrick, M. J. Adam, S. Yano and C. Orvig, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2005, **11**, 195; (e) T. Storr, M. Merkel, G. X. Song-Zhao, L. E. Scott, D. E. Green, M. L. Bowen, K. H. Thompson, B. O. Patrick, H. J. Schugar and C. Orvig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 7453; (f) H. Schugar, D. Green, M. Bowen, L. Scott, T. Storr, K. Böhmerle, F. Thomas, D. Allen, P. Lockman, M. Merkel, K. Thompson and C. Orvig, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 1716.
- 15 M. Patra, S. G. Awuah and S. J. Lippard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 12541.
- 16 (a) L. Ronconi and D. Fregona, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 10670; (b) E. M. Nagy, L. Ronconi, C. Nardon and D. Fregona, *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **12**, 1216.
- 17 E. C. Calvaresi and P. J. Hergenrother, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 2319.
- 18 (a) R. A. Medina and G. I. Owen, *Biol. Res.*, 2002, **35**, 9; (b) B. Altenberg and K. O. Greulich, *Genomics*, 2004, **84**, 1014; (c) M. L. Macheda, S. Rogers and J. D. Best, *J. Cell. Physiol.*, 2005, **202**, 654; (d) A. Krzeslak, K. Wojcik-Krowiranda, E. Forma, P. Jozwiak, H. Romanowicz, A. Bienkiewicz and M. Brys, *Pathol. Oncol. Res.*, 2012, **18**, 721.
- 19 W. H. Koppenol, P. L. Bounds and C. V. Dang, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2011, **11**, 325.
- 20 D. Hanahan and R. A. Weinberg, *Cell*, 2011, **144**, 646.
- 21 (a) C. Granchi and F. Minutolo, *ChemMedChem*, 2012, **7**, 1318; (b) T. Storr, C. L. Fisher, Y. Mikata, S. Yano, M. J. Adam and C. Orvig, *Dalton Trans.*, 2005, 654; (c) D. J. Yang, C.-G. Kim, N. R. Schechter, A. Azhdarinia, D.-F. Yu, C.-S. Oh, J. L. Bryant, J.-J. Won, E. E. Kim and D. A. Podoloff, *Radiology*, 2003, **226**, 465.
- 22 (a) S. S. Gambhir, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2002, **2**, 683; (b) S. Ben-Haim and P. Ell, *J. Nucl. Med.*, 2009, **50**, 88; (c) K. Herrmann, M. R. Benz, B. J. Krause, K. L. Pomykala, A. K. Buck and J. Czernin, *Q. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging*, 2011, **55**, 620.
- 23 B. Kang, T. Opatz, K. Landfester and F. R. Wurm, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 8301.
- 24 F. P. Andrew and P. A. Ajibade, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2018, **1155**, 843.
- 25 G. Hogarth, *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **12**, 1202.
- 26 G. Hogarth, in *Progress in Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. K. D. Karlin, John Wiley & Sons Inc., Hoboken, 2005, vol. 53, ch. 2, pp. 71–561.
- 27 L. Ronconi, D. Aldinucci, Q. P. Dou and D. Fregona, *Anti-Cancer Agents Med. Chem.*, 2010, **10**, 283.
- 28 C. Marzano, L. Ronconi, F. Chiara, M. C. Giron, I. Faustini, P. Cristofori, A. Trevisan and D. Fregona, *Int. J. Cancer*, 2011, **129**, 487.
- 29 (a) N. Azizi, M. Khajeh, M. Hasani and S. Dezfooli, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2013, 5407; (b) D. C. Onwudiwe, T. Arfin and C. A. Strydoma, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **127**, 283.
- 30 G. Li, H. Tajima and T. Ohtani, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1997, **62**, 4539.
- 31 J. D. E. T. Wilton-Ely, D. Solanki and G. Hogarth, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, 4027.
- 32 P. A. Antunesa, S. T. Breviglieria, G. O. Chiericea and E. T. G. Cavalheiro, *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **12**, 473.
- 33 J. Zhang, J. Ren, X. Lin and X. Wang, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2009, **19**, 2752.
- 34 J. C. Jochims, *Chem. Ber.*, 1975, **108**, 2320.
- 35 W.-D. Rudolf, *J. Sulfur Chem.*, 2007, **28**, 295.
- 36 E. Valeur and M. Bradley, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 606.
- 37 R. G. Pearson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1963, **85**, 3533.
- 38 M. Sokolov, H. Imoto and T. Saito, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 1999, **2**, 422.
- 39 (a) O. D. Fox, J. Cookson, E. J. S. Wilkinson, M. G. B. Drew, E. J. MacLean, S. J. Teat and P. D. Beer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 6990; (b) I. A. Lutsenko, A. V. Ivanov, M. A. Kiskin and G. V. Ogil'ko, *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **60**, 92; (c) W. W. H. Wong, J. Cookson, E. A. L. Evans, E. J. L. McInnes, J. Wolowska, J. P. Maher, P. Bishop and P. D. Beer, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 2214.
- 40 (a) G. Aravamundan, D. H. Brown and D. J. Venkappayya, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, 1971, 2744; (b) B. A. Prakasam, K. Ramalingam, G. Bocelli and A. Cantoni, *Polyhedron*, 2007, **26**, 4489.
- 41 L. Ronconi, L. Giovagnini, C. Marzano, F. Bettio, R. Graziani, G. Pilloni and D. Fregona, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 1867.
- 42 F. Bonati and R. Ugo, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1967, **10**, 257.
- 43 R. Kellner and G. St Nikolov, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 1981, **45**, 1183.
- 44 K. Nakamoto, *Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds 6<sup>th</sup> Ed. - Part A: Theory and Applications in Inorganic Chemistry*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., Hoboken, 2009, pp. 192–204.
- 45 G. Boscutti, L. Feltrin, D. Lorenzon, S. Sitran, D. Aldinucci, L. Ronconi and D. Fregona, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2012, **393**, 304.

- 46 F. Forghieri, C. Preti, L. Tassi and G. Tosi, *Polyhedron*, 1988, **7**, 1231.
- 47 J. J. Criado, I. Fernandez, B. Macias, J. M. Salas and M. Medarde, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1990, **174**, 67.
- 48 H. L. M. van Gaal, J. W. Diesveld, F. W. Pijpers and J. G. M. van der Linden, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1979, **18**, 3251.
- 49 F. W. Pijpers, A. H. Dix and J. M. G. van der Linden, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1974, **11**, 41.
- 50 (a) J. Willemsse, J. A. Cras, J. J. Steggerda and C. P. Keyzers, *Struct. Bonding (Berlin)*, 1976, **28**, 83; (b) L. Ronconi, C. Maccato, D. Barreca, R. Saini, M. Zancato and D. Fregona, *Polyhedron*, 2005, **24**, 521.
- 51 M. Bonamico, G. Dessy, V. Fares and L. Scaramuzza, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1972, 2515.
- 52 J. Lecina, A. Carrer, A. Álvarez-Larena, U. Mazzi, L. Melendez-Alafort and J. Suades, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 5884.
- 53 M. Bonamico, G. Mazzone, A. Vaciego and L. Zambonelli, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 1965, **19**, 898.
- 54 E. M. Nagy, S. Sitran, M. Montopoli, M. Favaro, L. Marchiò, L. Caparrotta and D. Fregona, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2012, **117**, 131.
- 55 P. T. Beurskens, J. A. Cras and J. J. Steggerda, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1968, **7**, 810.
- 56 (a) M. Negom Kouodom, L. Ronconi, M. Celegato, C. Nardon, L. Marchiò, Q. P. Dou, D. Aldinucci, F. Formaggio and D. Fregona, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **55**, 2212; (b) M. Negom Kouodom, G. Boscutti, M. Celegato, M. Crisma, S. Sitran, D. Aldinucci, F. Formaggio, L. Ronconi and D. Fregona, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2012, **117**, 248.
- 57 G. Boscutti, L. Marchiò, L. Ronconi and D. Fregona, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 13428.
- 58 M. M. Jones, P. K. Singh, S. G. Jones, C. R. Mukundan and J. A. Banton, *Chem. Res. Toxicol.*, 1991, **4**, 27.
- 59 (a) F. Gao, X. Yan, T. Shakya, O. M. Baettig, S. Ait-Mohand-Brunet, A. M. Berghuis, G. D. Wright and K. Auclair, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2006, **49**, 5273; (b) X. Hu, W. Zhang, I. Carmichael and A. S. Serianni, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 4641.
- 60 (a) L. Wiebe and J. Diakur, *US Pat*, 20070021380A1, 2007; (b) P. Wang, G.-J. Shen, Y.-F. Wang, Y. Ichikawa and C.-H. Wong, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1993, **58**, 3985; (c) T. Muhizi, S. Grelier and V. Coma, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2009, **57**, 8770.
- 61 E. Wiercigroch, E. Szafraniec, K. Czamara, M. Z. Pacia, K. Majzner, K. Kochan, A. Kaczor, M. Baranska and K. Malek, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2017, **185**, 317.
- 62 M. Picquart, Z. Abedinzadeh, L. Grajcar and M. H. Baron, *Chem. Phys.*, 1998, **228**, 279.
- 63 A. Blaskó, C. A. Bunton, S. Bunel, C. Ibarra and E. Moraga, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1997, **298**, 163.
- 64 F. K. Keter, I. A. Guzei, M. Nell, W. E. van Zyl and J. Darkwa, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 2058.
- 65 R. Kellner, G. St Nikolov and N. Trendafilova, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1984, **84**, 233.
- 66 (a) D. C. Onwudiwe, J. N. Mugo, M. Hrubarub and E. Hosten, *J. Sulfur Chem.*, 2015, **36**, 36; (b) G. A. Heath, D. C. R. Hoxless and P. D. Prenzler, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun.*, 1996, **52**, 537.
- 67 (a) M. J. Abrams, C. M. Giandomenico, J. F. Vollano and D. A. Schwartz, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1987, **131**, 3; (b) L. Zhang, H. Wei, Y. Zhang, Z. Guo and L. Zhu, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2002, **58**, 217; (c) G. Raudaschl, B. Lippert, J. D. Hoeschele, H. E. Howard-Lock, C. J. L. Lock and P. Pilon, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1985, **106**, 141.
- 68 M. K. Amir, Z. ur Rehman, F. Hayat, S. Z. Khan, G. Hogarth, T. Kondratyuk, J. M. Pezzuto and M. N. Tahir, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 110517.