#### Ageing and Sustainability in the Irish Welfare State

Confere	nce Paper · November 2011				
CITATIONS 0		READS			
U		129			
2 authors, including:					
	Joe Larragy National University of Ireland, Maynooth 53 PUBLICATIONS SEE PROFILE				
Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:					



 $Ecological\ and\ environmental-friendly\ practices\ by\ the\ public\ and\ student\ community\ View\ project$ 

# Ageing and Sustainability in the Irish Welfare State

Presentation to
Ageing Globally Ageing Locally
International Conference

Croke Park Dublin 2/3 November 2011

Joe Larragy
National University of Ireland Maynooth

Adam Larragy
University of London

#### Introduction

- ☐ Appeals to population "crises" are not new
- ☐ Ageing of societies provides a contemporary context for new expressions of a dystopian discourse
- □ The hyping of the demographic imperative (DI), e.g. the pensions "time bomb", is questionable in its promise to address the needs of ageing populations in an effective, far-sighted and sustainable way.
- ☐ Much of the policy discourse it drives primarily about shifting resources away from the distribution mechanisms of the state (via PAYG) to the market (through privatised funded provision) can be related to relatively narrow and short-sighted thinking
- □ While privatised, funded and "financialised" provision is increasingly touted as the key to a sustainable welfare state, there is good reason to question such claims
- Ireland has a young population which will age gradually over several decades and provides a focus for reflection on drivers of policy change

### Demographic Imperative

- Many writers and some intergovernmental agencies have presented ageing in dramatic terms
- World Bank 1994 Averting the Old Age Crisis
- Peterson 1999 "Grey Dawn The Global Aging Crisis" ranks ageing above nuclear proliferation as risk
- Kotlikoff and Burns (2004) The Coming Generational Storm, views US Medicare and social security as unaffordable

#### Overnight disappearance of Ireland's Demographic Dividend

- DI rhetoric in policy circles in Ireland has been hyped up rather suddenly
- □ In 2007, (e.g. the *Green Paper on Pensions*) reference continued to be made to a demographic **dividend**
- ☐ This discourse changed by 2010, in the Policy document National Pensions Framework
- □ In the interim, the 2009 McCarthy report appealed to a demographic **crisis** in calling for urgent increases in pension age and other pension cuts
- The aim of the cuts is to reduce state liabilities for social insurance pensions & public service pensions
- DI rhetoric increased in the midst of the financial sector meltdown – but was not grounded in significant demographic change

### (Comparatively) Young Ireland

- □ 1951-2006:
  - proportion aged 65+ hovered between 10.7% and 11.4%, (11% in 2006) – very low by internationals standards
- Old Dependency ratio had actually declined marginally
  - between 1961 and 2006 to 16% (6.25:1)
- ☐ Projected old age dependency ratio
  - to rise to 25% in 2021 (4:1)
  - beyond 2021, with caution, to rise to 37% by 2041 (2.7:1)
- □ Overall dependency ratio (young + old) in 2041 60%
  - similar to the average line from 1951 to 2041,
  - much below peak levels (1940s-mid 1990s)
  - Current and projected comparatively young Ireland

### If not ageing then what?

- What underlies Irish pensions policy direction?
- ☐ The seeds lie in the 1970s, not in an ageing crisis, but in a fiscal crisis of the state
  - 1976 Green Paper on **National Income Related Pension Scheme**
  - □ last effort at advancing a supplementary pension policy by statutory means
  - Retreat from this by 1980/81 (unpublished White Paper)
  - □Instead promotion of privately funded pensions propped up by tax expenditure, Pensions Board to regulate occupational pensions, Central Bank others

#### Part of a wider policy direction

- □ Policy in the 1980s and 1990s was driven by an imperative of reducing deficits and the Debt to GDP ratio
- ☐ Fiscal correction, fear of taxation & spending were the new orthodoxy of the 1980s
- Pension policy was one part of this approach affecting older people negatively
- A hallmark of the period was the growth in tax expenditures in many areas of social policy
- ☐ This policy direction continued in the booming 1990s and 2000s
  - E.g. home ownership gained as social housing provision was cut back.
- Order or priority in public policy followed social class lines:
  - Tax breaks and low capital taxes first,
  - followed by tax rate cuts in employment,
  - belatedly followed by a rise in social spending

#### Consequences for pension policy

- ☐ State / Old Age pensions lagged behind earnings until the mid-2000s
- Tax spending on private pensions just about equalled social spending on state pensions for all (2006):
  - €3.1bn on tax expenditure (on pension contributions, investment profits and lump sum payments) favouring the better off
  - €3.3bn on flat rate Social Welfare pensions
- Skewed benefits of supplementary pensions favoured the better off and the super-rich disproportionately
  - Top quintile of older people in 2007 got average of €315 p/w in private pensions
  - Bottom quintile got €6 p/w, in private pensions
  - middle quintile got €27 p/w (Hughes 2007 / DSF 2007)
  - In 2011, over 1,200 people declared pension pots in excess of €2.3m, accumulated with the support of tax relief at the top rate (Relate 2011 October)

## Current concerns about Ireland's pensions policy

- Poor performance
  - about 1% per annum over past 10 years (inflation was 28%)
- Insolvency of a majority of defined benefit schemes
- High / hidden fees and charges to fund managers and brokers
- Massive loss in value of funds since the crisis began in 2007
- Tax relief the only real source of gain for many

#### Questions we raise

- To what end are we financialising Ireland's pensions policy?
  - □ Not to provide in a predictable way for an ageing population as a whole
  - Greater benefits for those with more funds to put by and who may benefit from early retirement, while the majority must retire later
- □ Does financialising policy make for sustainability at individual level?
  - Very questionable—risk of collapse, fees for brokers & fund managers, interest is short term, transparency and control;
  - Risk borne by the individual: while Irish funds as a whole lost in the range of 35% in recent years, some individuals lost 70%
- Why, under the NPF, are the state, employers and employees to pay even more towards financialising pensions via auto-enrolment in 2014, given performance of last 10 years?
- Is the state no longer at risk when provision is devolved?

#### Is the state no longer at risk?

- ☐ The claim of the demographic imperative is
  - that greater funding of pensions and devolution of cost from the state to the market and financial system is essential to sustainability
  - i.e. that the state will be able to carry on, albeit a leaner, more efficient state
- ☐ However, apart from the issues already laid out regarding pensions, the evidence for this claim is hard to find
  - The experience of Ireland's shift to fiscal narrowness in the aftermath of the 1970s, to a reduced tax base, lower social spending and more tax expenditure did not firewall it against the mounting debt of private individuals and the collapse of the banks and housing bubble
- There would seem to be no guarantee that the state will escape the consequences of a possible collapse in the private pensions sector

#### Conclusion

- Ageing is gradual and needs a graded multi-dimensional and integrated strategic policy response
- The risks to the state from devolving welfare to the individual, and market, are possibly greater than the risks associated with a larger direct role for the state in shaping distribution directly through taxation and spending
- We need to consider alternatives to privatising, liberalising and financialising pensions
- ☐ The same applies to other areas of social provision
- We need to re-examine the fundamentals of macroeconomic theory in devising fiscal policy and social provision policies
- We need to look again at the appropriate way for policy to evolve to provide for an ageing population