

Int. Studies of Mgt. & Org., vol. 37, no. 3, Fall 2007, pp. 44–64.
© 2007 M.E. Sharpe, Inc. All rights reserved.
ISSN 0020–8825 / 2007 \$9.50 + 0.00.
DOI 10.2753/IMO0020-8825370302

MARIAN CROWLEY-HENRY

The Protean Career

Exemplified by First World Foreign Residents in Western Europe?

Abstract: *This paper presents findings from a qualitative study exploring the career-related motivations and experiences of a sample of 20 expatriates living and working on a permanent basis in the south of France (Sophia Antipolis) and in Germany (Munich). By virtue of their having established local links in the host country, either in having local working contracts or being installed in the area on a permanent basis (home owners; children born/being schooled in the host country), these expatriates could also be termed foreign residents in the host country. The study's sample of highly educated workers originating from the United States or Western Europe (First World or "high-income economies" as they are called by Wikipedia contributors, 2006) who have chosen to remain in the host country on an indefinite basis differs from contemporary research samples in international careers. Argued in this paper is that this particular sample of the locally hired expatriates also warrants attention in the literature and in career research. The findings highlight the relevance of the subjective career and the lifestyle anchor to the career direction chosen by this sample within the context of both the traditional and boundaryless career. A marked inclination toward the protean career concept is underlined in the empirical research.*

Marian Crowley-Henry is a Ph.D. candidate at the Management School, Lancaster University, Bailrigg, Lancaster, UK, LA1 4YW (e-mail: m.crowley@lancaster.ac.uk).

International career literature has generally focused on traditional or orthodox career theory, where an organization expatriates an employee to another country for a limited duration and then repatriates that person to the home country (e.g., Dowling and Welch 2004), still as an employee of the organization. Even though such an intraorganizational international assignment is expected to warrant vertical career advancement for the expatriate, contemporary research portrays the expatriate assignment as often unplanned (Harris and Brewster 1999) and with inadequate long-term career plans for the repatriate within the organization (Scullion 1992; Solomon 1995). This has encouraged contemporary empirical studies to investigate the relevance of the boundaryless career concept (Arthur and Rousseau 1996) for traditional expatriates (e.g., Stahl, Miller, and Tung 2002; Tung 1998). Contrary to the traditional intra-organization vertical career path, the boundaryless career espouses following extraorganization career opportunities with traditional expatriates perceiving improvements in their external employability options after an international assignment (Stahl et al. 2002; Tung 1998). The boundaryless career concept can be seen as having particular relevance in today's insecure job climate where the traditional trust in an organization to look after the individual's career can no longer be taken for granted (Larsen and Ellehave 2000<<AU: Should this be 2004 as listed in References?>>).

This paper investigates the careers of locally hired expatriates, where the term "expatriate" is broadly defined as "the process of an individual moving to live in a different country" (Brewster 2002, 84). The sample explored in this study can be differentiated from the traditional expatriate as follows (see also Inkson et al. 1997):

1. The traditional expatriate embarks on an international assignment as an employee of the current organization—that is, following an orthodox intraorganizational career. This is not necessarily the case for the sample of expatriates presented here, who may move to take up a new job with another organization in the host country. That is, he or she may be motivated to move initially to follow a boundaryless career.

It should be noted here that the sample under investigation does not include migrant workers in the sense that they have not embarked on an international move for purely economic or asylum reasons (Robinson 2002). Rather, they all stem from first world economies ("First World" 2006) and embarked on the international move in furtherance of their personal development or careers.

2. The traditional expatriate remains an employee of the parent country organization throughout the assignment. The expatriate career explored in this study covers individuals who are hired as locals in the host country (under a local country contract).

3. The traditional expatriate receives support (mainly financial) from the parent organization for the duration of the assignment (such as rent allowance, cars, free annual return flights, etc.). The expatriate sample in this paper does not receive (financial) support to this degree.

4. The traditional expatriate is repatriated to the parent country and organization on completion of the assignment. For the locally hired expatriate, there is no organization–individual agreed predetermined repatriation stage. It is a personal choice whether or not to potentially or eventually return to the home country.

The terms *locally hired expatriates*, *locally hired international assignees*, and *foreign residents* are used interchangeably when describing the sample explored in this particular research study, who are also well educated, Western (originating from first world countries, namely from the United States or Western European countries), fluent English speakers.

Although contemporary researchers have begun to examine different categories of international assignees other than the traditional expatriate (e.g., Banai and Harry 2004; Harry and Banai 2004; Harvey et al. 1999; Inkson et al. 1997; Mayerhofer, Hartmann, and Herbert 2004; Suutari 2003; Suutari and Brewster 2000), the expatriate living and working in a host country on a local country contract has not received the same attention. Thus, the meta-goal of this study is to describe and analyze the careers of this subcategory of international assignees within the context of contemporary literature and research on international careers, in particular looking at traditional, boundaryless, and protean career concepts. Here the findings from qualitative interviews with a sample of 20 international workers based in two locations (Munich, Germany, and Sophia Antipolis, France) are presented. Because this was an inductive, qualitative study, there were initially no hypotheses to test or prove (Trochim 2000). Rather this study uses data from in-depth interviews relating to locally hired expatriates' careers.

Two broad research questions were as follows:

1. What were the pre-move motivations (was it following a traditional organizational career path, the boundaryless career, or the protean career)?
2. What are the experiences to date with respect to career development in the host country (perceptions regarding organizational support, relevance of family situation, which career anchor is prioritized)?

Career theory and international career research

Concepts

Orthodox career theory relates to the “organizational careers” followed by employees—that is, “careers conceived to unfold in a single employment setting” (Arthur and Rousseau 1996, 5) as employees move vertically up the hierarchy of the organization. For the traditional expatriate, international experience, financial gain, and enhanced career opportunities within the organization are the main motivators in embarking on an international assignment (Dowling and Welch 2004). The organizational career is stressed here.

In contrast, the boundaryless career (Arthur and Rousseau 1996) refers to “the objective moves that a person makes as he or she moves across organizational boundaries (e.g., functions within an organization, entry and exit from organizations, movement across industries and sectors)” (Hall and Harrington 2004). The boundaryless career concept developed from contemporary business realities in which careers are unfolding, where job insecurity and the feeling that “no job is for life” is paramount. That coupled with increased individualism and decreased loyalty to the organization, often because of a violation in the psychological contract (Yan, Zhu, and Hall 2002), has encouraged individuals to move interorganizationally in furtherance of their career. Recent research on international careers suggests a move toward the boundaryless career (Eby 2001; Stahl et al. 2002; Tung 1998). However, given the current economic climate of downsizing and restructuring, the risks of embarking on a boundaryless career are high.

A further concept in career theory, the protean career (Hall and Moss 1998), emerged as most relevant to this study on international careers. Hall and Harrington (2004) and Gratton, Zaleska, and de Menezes (2002) situate the protean career within the context of the boundaryless career, where “[t]he protean career . . . represents the *subjective perspective* of the individual careerist who faces the external career realities of the boundaryless career” (Hall and Harrington 2004). The protean career suggests a more holistic approach to careers: looking at “work in the context of a person’s life as a whole” (Hall and Harrington 2004). Friedman, Christensen, and DeGroot agree that “[i]t is important to align the issue of balancing work and life with the central vision of a company. Those that do so successfully will reap the benefits of a more committed and productive work force” (1997, 282). The protean career “consists of all of the person’s varied experiences in education, training, work in several organizations, changes in occupational field, etc. The protean person’s own personal career choices and search for self-fulfillment are the unifying or integrative elements in his or her life. The criterion of success is internal

(psychological success), not external” (Hall 1976, 201). Baruch (2004) differentiates between the internal and external career as follows: “An internal career is subjective, and thus the definition of internal career success depends on the inner feelings and values of the person, and is relative to the career aims set by the self for the self” *ibid*(**<<AU: Does ibid refer to Baruch 2004 or Hall 1976?>>**, 43), and “[t]he external career concerns how other people and organizations perceive a person’s career” (*ibid*(**<<AU: Does ibid refer to Baruch 2004 or Hall 1976?>>**, 44). Schein’s (1978; 1990) life-style career anchor where people prioritize life-style and take their whole living pattern into consideration also fits with the protean career concept. The traditional, boundaryless, and protean career concepts relevant to the sample under investigation here are discussed further in the “Findings” section of this paper.

Research in international careers

In comparison to the traditional expatriate, the self-initiated international assignees portrayed in this paper are hired under local, host country contracts and do not receive the ongoing support and benefits that traditional expatriates enjoy. In addition to organizational support, traditional expatriate literature stresses the influence of family status and whether there is a trailing spouse (De Cieri, Dowling, and Taylor 1991; Stone 1991) on the success of an international assignment. The inability of the trailing spouse to adjust to the host country has been cited as a major reason for expatriate failure (Black and Gregersen 1991; Boyacigiller 1995, 153; De Cieri et al. 1991; Dowling and Welch 2004; Stone 1991; Tung 1987). This is also relevant for locally hired expatriates, where their personal life and social life in the host country play a big part in their adaptation to that country.

With careers becoming more horizontal, more lateral in nature (Larsen and Ellehave 2004), career management is essential for international organizations that wish to retain their international workforce. Schneider and Barsoux suggested that “cultural biases may be responsible for the “glass ceilings” experienced by foreigners in many international companies” (1997, 142). Other research has suggested that many companies are still reluctant to promote nonnationals to the top of the corporate ladder (“The Elusive Euro-Manager” 1992). Given such biases to career progression in a foreign country, why do locally hired expatriates persist in following an international career? This question is addressed in the “Findings” section.

Research methodology

This study takes a qualitative approach in uncovering the international ex-

periences of highly educated workers who embark on an international move of a potentially permanent duration. Qualitative research methods have been termed rich, deep, and holistic in their content (Marshall and Rossman 1989, 19), with the aim of the qualitative researcher to understand and interpret phenomena (Gummesson 1991, 153).

There is a gap in the literature with regard to qualitative data about international assignees who choose to live and work abroad. This research adds to the limited extant Europe-based qualitative research on international assignees. For the purpose of this paper, a sample of 20 locally hired international assignees was interviewed in depth in order to elicit descriptions and patterns in their international experiences. The use of small samples in qualitative research has been defended (Mason 1996; McCracken 1988). The theoretical sampling approach suggests that the actual number of interviews conducted or cases investigated is somewhat unimportant (Glaser and Strauss 1967, 69), with the most important objective of research being the potential that each interview/case brings to developing a broader and deeper perspective of the research area.

The research tool used for the empirical study was the semistructured, long interview (Easterby-Smith, Thorp, and Lowe 1991; McCracken 1988). The interview candidates were asked to tell the researcher about the lead-up to their international move and their experiences since that move. It was an exploratory interview, the researcher using an interview guide, but generally allowing the interview to flow as the interviewee chose. Twenty interviews were conducted with nonnationals from first world Western countries (Europe or the United States) currently living and working outside their home country (as foreign residents) in either Germany or France. This study addresses educated workers (all interviewees in the sample had completed some form of third-level education). In the sample the respondents could be categorized as “cadre,” meaning they are at the managerial or engineering level within an organization but not necessarily having people management responsibilities (in the sample for this paper, four interviewees had people management responsibility). The sample includes representations from private industry and education sectors—from professors to administrators to technicians.

The aim of the study is to share international career patterns across an unrestricted sample of well-educated locally hired expatriates, with the common linkages among the respondents being their origination from the United States or West European countries, their fluency in English, their level of education, and primarily their self-initiated decision to be resident in a foreign country. No attempt is made in this paper to delineate or differentiate experiences based purely on profession (academic or technical or administrative). Rather, the aim is to further break down the broad category of international assignees, with this study representing the work-related experiences of self-initiated international

assignees who could be termed “locally hired foreigners” in their respective host countries. A prime concern and finding of the study is the relevance of context to the protean career—that is, how the protean career is affected by the context in which one is situated, in this study an international, foreign geographical/cultural context.

All interviewees were fluent English speakers, the necessity being that their experiences could be shared in their own words and not require translation or manipulation. Thus, there is a bias toward native English speakers in the study. The author believes that this bias can be overcome in subsequent studies across languages. A breakdown of the interviewees is presented in Table 1. Five interviews were conducted with international assignees currently residing in Germany, and 15 interviews were conducted with international assignees residing in France. Half of those included in this study were on their second (or more) international assignment, with 50 percent of those (five) having made (one or all of) the international move(s) with the same organization (that is, following an organizational career path). The international assignments covered here do not include temporary stays in other countries (such as au pair work or seasonal work or teaching in another country for a semester or two on a temporary basis).

Findings

Motivations to embark on an international career

The motivations to move and settle internationally varied from individual to individual, depending on personal circumstances. However, external career-related motivations (such as hierarchical level, financial success) were a major factor influencing the self-initiated international assignee’s decision to undertake an international move and work under a local host country contract for a permanent, undetermined period of time. From the sample of 20 such international assignees explored in this study, one-quarter ($n = 5$) of them embarked on their initial international move following an organizational career path and moving internationally with the same organization.¹ Half the sample ($n = 10$) made the initial international move following a boundaryless career path by joining an organization in the host country, which had made them a better job offer than was available in the home country at the time. As one respondent, Rick (British, 43, engaged, nine years abroad) commented: “I didn’t see how I could go further in the job I had . . . I had got to as far as I could within England, within Britain, within the company.” This concurs with existing research advocating the boundaryless career concept as pertinent to international assignees.

Nonetheless, it is significant that those 15 respondents who decided to embark on an international career for external career reasons also gave internal career-related motivations for embarking on the move. Every international assignee interviewed for this study ($N = 20$) outlined the satisfaction of his or her subjective, internal, or protean career (such as personal development, learning a new language, adapting to a new culture, better work/life balance) as an influencing motivation to move internationally. Of the remaining five international assignees in the sample, four undertook the initial international move as the “trailing spouse” (De Cieri et al. 1991; Stone 1991), but moved with the intention of taking up their career in the host country. Of these four, two followed a fellow national abroad and two followed a national of the host country “back” to his host country (France in both instances here). The remaining interviewee embarked on the international move purely for personal, subjective, or protean reasons—initially to learn the language but remaining for life-style reasons (Schein’s life-style anchor). This highlights the importance of the protean career for proponents of international careers and is evident from the following comments that describe the protean career motivations to undertake an international move:

Francis (Irish, 32, engaged, eleven years abroad): I think it was partly because I liked the idea of being able to speak a foreign language.

Kate (British, 38, married, two children ages 6 and 2, nine years abroad): I mean it was . . . a love of France. . . . [But] it was also such searching . . . for a bit of adventure, something different.

Motivations to become foreign residents

The international assignees sampled in this study are all hired under local, host country contracts. Nonetheless, the majority of them received initial organizational support—at their employer’s discretion—such as financial support for relocation, temporary accommodation paid by the organization for a limited duration, and a budget toward language classes for the international assignee and his or her spouse/partner. In addition, the organization generally took care of the paperwork in registering that individual in the host country and setting up bank accounts, medical cards, and so forth. Tax support was also generally offered for the initial year to support the nonnational in adjustment. However, after the initial period, the international assignee explored here does not proactively receive any further support from the organization. This is in contrast to the traditional expatriate who continues to receive financial and social support from the organization for the duration of the assignment.

Table 1
Study's sample of international assignees

Pseudonym	Nationality	Gender	Age group	Marital status	Children	Position	Current		Industry sector
							Prior work location	work location	
Angie	American	F	40–49	M	2	Lead program manager	France	France	Travel/IT
Milly	American	F	30–39	M	2	Project manager	France	France	IT/telecommunications
John	Belgian	M	30–39	S	0	IT consultant	Ireland	France	IT
Gina	English	F	30–39	S	0	Marketing manager		Germany	IT/telecommunications
Kate	English	F	30–39	M	2	Site manager		France	Consulting/IT
Rick	English	M	40–49	M	0	Industry manager	Switzerland	France	IT
Barry	English	M	30–39	M	2	Professor		France	Education
Pat	English	M	30–39	LTP	0	IT consultant		France	IT
Susan	English	F	30–39	S	0	Customer service representative		France	Travel/IT
Peter	English	M	30–39	M	2	Sales manager		Germany	IT

Nathan	English	M	30–39	LTP	0	Professor	Hungary	France	Education
Katharina	German	F	30–39	M	2	Professor	England	France	Education
Hillary	Irish	F	30–39	LTP	0	Marketing manager		Germany	IT/telecommunications
Fiona	Irish	F	25–29	LTP	0	Marketing manager		France	Pharmaceutical
Louise	Irish	F	30–39	M	1	Channel manager	Germany	France	IT/telecommunications
Brian	Irish	M	30–39	LTP	0	Company subsidiary manager		Germany	IT/telecommunications
Francis	Irish	M	30–39	LTP	0	Software development	England	France	IT
Robbie	Irish	M	30–39	M	1	IT consultant	Germany	France	IT
Andrew	Irish	M	40–49	M	3	Product manager	England, the Netherlands	Germany	IT
Ingrid	Swedish	F	30–39	S	0	Customer manager	Germany	France	IT

Notes: All names were altered to ensure privacy for the respondents. F: female, M: male, S: single, LTP: long-term partner, M: married, IT: information technology.

However, those in the sample of this study were satisfied with being treated as host country employees and not receiving additional organizational support beyond the induction period. They did not want to be treated differently than other employees in that respect.

As demonstrated by the following comments, the decision to remain indefinitely, of one's own accord in the host country location is mainly due to internal career motivations (such as personal development, self-learning) and work/life balance considerations (such as vacation time, the climate)—Schein's life-style anchor is prioritized here:

Milly (American, 34, married, two children ages 2 and 6 months, four years abroad): Sundays, taking vacations . . . They sound like little things but it's a completely different culture outlook for me, from being American. . . . I mean even . . . on vacation . . . you'd still be expected to carry your mobile and take your [laptop], you know—'you're not checking your e-mails everyday?'—kind of thing. And here [France], I mean you're on vacation you know. And that's a big difference.

Kate (British, 38, married, two children ages 6 and 2, nine years abroad): [T]he fact that you have this sort of weather and you can spend so much more time outdoors. I mean it kind of . . . doubles your life . . . because you're outside so much of the time. And psychologically I think it makes you happier when . . . the sunshine comes out this time of year [April], it's just a wonderful feeling.

Barry (British, 39, married, two children ages 6 and 3, nine years abroad): [T]he jobs aren't around in Sophia like they used to be. So I think people are now choosing to come down here for lifestyle reasons . . . rather than [external] career reasons.

The retention of locally hired international assignees in host country organizations is potentially less problematic: If they have chosen to remain in the host country, their allegiance to the organization of employment in that area is strong. Nonetheless, should better career opportunities present themselves elsewhere, they would be considered, but in the context of the full life package (living standards/quality of life) and for all personal stakeholders (partner, children, etc.). These international assignees are motivated to remain overseas or in the host country for the indefinite future, for as long as it has something more to offer to the individual than the home country. Ties or responsibilities are primarily to the self or immediate family and their overall well-being rather than to the organization. In this regard, given a buoyant job market, this sample would give priority to remaining in the host country (for family, life-style, quality-of-life reasons) rather than maintaining the same position with the same organization but having to relocate elsewhere.

The reduction in vertical career opportunities renders career management essential for organizations wishing to keep their international workforce. From the research conducted here, meeting a glass ceiling in the home country was given as one reason for embarking on an international career. Nonetheless, many in the sample feel they would have progressed further in their external career had they remained in their home country:

Ingrid (Swedish, 30, single, eleven years abroad): For me right now I can't say that it helped my career. I would say I would have even done better in Sweden.

Angie (American, 41, married, two children ages 10 and 8, 14 years abroad): Because, yeah, I think in [company name] and in France . . . they pay us with the sunshine and the beautiful Cote D'Azur and the six weeks of vacation, but there's still just [laugh] a money limit on salaries. That can be very annoying. I think financially [I] would have progressed [had I remained in the States].

Rick (British, 43, engaged, nine years abroad): [U]nless I was completely stupid, I'd be far better off financially and up [had I remained in England]. I think here you're trading location for career advancement and money. If you're not in Paris in France, then you're not in the right place.

With regard to this sample's career development aspirations within the same organization (that is, following an organizational career path), the findings from the qualitative research vary considerably. Some of the interviewees in this study perceived their nonnational identity as an obstacle in their career advancement within the same organization. Particularly for those employed in international organizations in France, working in an international office (where English is the spoken business language), but where the top management team is predominately (if not completely) of the host country nationality, it was perceived by some interviewees that their own non-French nationality was an obstacle to their career advancement. These interviewees were of the opinion that the education ethos that embodies the French managerial hierarchy within all organizational forms in France continues to prevail, whereby nonattendance of the French *grandes écoles* prevents automatic promotion to higher up the hierarchy, even in international organizations. This is described by Francis (Irish, 32, engaged, eleven years abroad):

The problem with working here is that France is very much geared around their *grande école* system. And it's very hard to have respect here when you come from outside that system. . . . Unlike the UK, or what I perceive from the UK, which is that they are results driven, and it's based on your experience, and the results and what/how you're performing, . . . [i]n

France, you'll still hear of a 60-year old being described as coming from "X" or *Poly* or *Centrale* or whatever. And it's nearly ridiculous . . . to talk about what school somebody went to when he's already got 30 years of a career behind him.

It must be noted that although such barriers are perceived by some international assignees in this study, the degree of their actual existence within the organization has not been researched. Other international assignees in the sample were certain that their nonnational identity actually aided their advancement in the organization because it increased their visibility. One respondent, Brian (Irish, 39, engaged, seventeen years abroad), said he was explicitly chosen above his German colleagues to give training to other Germans because he was seen as a novelty, as something different, and his difference was actually promoted and enjoyed throughout the organization:

I actually find that doing business in Germany . . . a lot of the Germans wanted to do business with me instead of German colleagues. I don't know why. I think it's just that if . . . you bring this Irish charm into the business meetings, . . . the Germans seem to like this . . . a bit of humor, always goes down very well. . . . So quite often . . . my German colleagues . . . like me to . . . focus on the German markets, because they saw that I was able to deal with German customers better than they were.

Despite perceptions regarding organizational career progression and development varying among respondents depending on their host country and their host organization's culture, those in the sample feel their international status renders them valuable assets for their organizations. For instance, Rick (British, 43, engaged, nine years abroad) believes that

Overall my ability to do what I do today has benefited from having worked with different nationalities or being based in different countries. If I was . . . a U.S. citizen and had been only based in the U.S., my understanding of how the world in which I currently do my job works would be very limited. I think if you've worked in Europe, unless you're like in a closet, you're much more exposed to different nationalities. But my U.S. colleagues don't understand that everybody doesn't work in English. They don't understand that you can't just have a single 1-800 number for everybody from Boston to California to call into.

All respondents highlighted the learning experience of living and working in a different country. Meeting new people, fellow nonnationals and locals alike; experiencing another culture(s); and working through a different country's language, customs, and laws are just some of the items mentioned. Flexibility, openness, and perseverance in seeing it through, to making it work, were

noted as invaluable personal traits that one needs to possess. Each respondent felt that having experience in working outside their home country was very valuable for their internal career and that it would be regarded positively by other external organizations, as the following quote illustrates:

Kate (British, 38, married, two children ages 6 and 2, nine years abroad): Well, anyone that's worked in an international basis I think is valued because they've got different . . . experience of different nationalities. And not everybody has that. I mean that's the one thing I was told on several occasions that I should emphasize when I'm selling myself; that I've got that international experience.

In sum, the retention of locally hired international assignees in the host countries is dependent on more than just the organization or job. In keeping with the boundaryless career notion, all those in the sample admitted they liked the idea of potentially moving and adapting to life in another country again in the future, not necessarily with the same organization. However, the reality is that other factors play a role. Given the economic climate in both Munich and Sophia Antipolis at the time of the research, and primarily due to the shortage of alternatives in the external labor job market, it transpired that the international assignees in the sample were currently happier to maintain the status quo and remain within their current organizations rather than risk unemployment in an unstable job market. This is an interesting finding and emphasizes the role of external market factors on the individual's career choices.

Although both boundary and traditional career paths feature then in the careers of international assignees, the underlying finding in this study was that the protean career concept is most pertinent for the sample under investigation. In following a protean career, international assignees "morph" their careers over time and geographies depending on the circumstances and opportunities open to them at that particular point in time. It is an ever-changing, unfixed perspective of the international career where a number of factors play a role. At different stages in the individual's career life, elements from the objective career dimension (job type, financial rewards), subjective career dimension (personal development, self fulfillment, love of the job), and externalities (labor market conditions, family situation, age of individual) play a greater or lesser part in formulating the career decision making. For locally hired international assignees, this is most apparent given their need to adapt to a new culture and work environment, which requires the use of personal skills in their professional careers (as well as technical skills) and in their private lives in order to adapt and survive in the host environment for an indefinite period of time. Those in the sample who were more settled in their respective host

countries (with children in schooling, spouses in local employment) were less motivated to be geographically mobile during that phase of their lives, unless circumstances rendered no alternative option open to them. They choose the career path that offers the best overall alternative at that particular point in time, taking life as well as technical career factors into consideration.

Implications and recommendations

This study shows that locally hired expatriates have both subjective and objective motivations for embarking on an international move. Similarly, despite some respondents feeling that their objective career advancement may be suffering in the host country, those in the sample were still unwilling to return to the home country (at least at that particular point in time). This would imply that factors beyond the objective career are facilitating the retention of locally hired international assignees in the host country. In addition, the protean career, which includes the “outside work” aspects of relevance in shaping an individual’s career, is underlined here, emphasizing the requirement of a more holistic approach to career management. This has implications for career development theorists and practitioners in stressing the importance of individual career planning (see Swart and Kinnie 2004). The one-for-all approach that more money or a promotion is what is most important for employees needs to be seriously questioned, and a more paternalistic approach in identifying what is important for the individual’s career is needed. In today’s climate where employer and employee relationships appear to be more transactional, there is a shortcoming in adequately catering for the individual’s career needs. This is an issue that human resource practitioners (international and domestic) need to address.

Given the protean career concept, there are implications in practice for organizations wishing to retain the cultural diversity in their organization by extending interest in the individual’s career to encompass a more holistic approach, including the family situation. Similar to traditional expatriation literature, the role of the spouse and family in the self-initiated international assignee’s career decision cannot be overestimated. It could be assumed that the more organizations take an active interest in their workers’ social and personal lives and aid in their (and their families) settling-in process and in their social well-being on a consistent and ongoing basis by providing a social outlet, where international assignees can meet other international assignees or local coworkers, the more difficult it is to be able to leave that company, and that country. Hence, it would be advisable for organizations wishing to tap and retain this culturally wise resource pool to include extra-work-related support programs for all employees in order to facilitate the cross-/intercultural mix

of workers, socially and professionally. It is this area of extra-work-related organizational support that is most lacking in organizations.

International (and domestic) organizations are potentially ignoring the untapped value of locally hired international assignees. The cross-cultural know-how and “charm” that nonnational international assignees can bring both internally and externally (to the organization and its customers) appears generally underrecognized by the employing organizations. However, the individual self-initiated international assignee acknowledges the importance of his or her international acumen and experience in his or her professional role and believes this would be highly valued in the external labor market. For the locally hired expatriate, loyalty to a particular organization lasts only as long as that particular organization offers the individual the best work option at that particular point in time.

Conclusions

This study contributes to the existing research on international careers in including qualitative data pertaining to an underexamined category of international assignee, taking a closer look at the protean, organizational, and boundaryless career theories. It shows that the orthodox career theory (vertical organizational career paths) is far from redundant but coexists for international assignees along with external boundaryless career opportunities. The individual chooses which career path to follow at whichever particular life stage and circumstance, taking ever-evolving protean career considerations into account (such as current market conditions; personal details such as age, marital/family status, and gender; and work/life prioritization).

Most research to date on international careers has focused on the traditional expatriate assignment within the context of the orthodox career (e.g., developing global managers through international assignments; Boyacigiller 1995). Although contemporary studies have researched the role of the boundaryless career for repatriates unhappy at their organizational career opportunities (Stahl et al. 2002; Tung 1998), other categories of international assignees have only recently emerged in international career research and have not been rigorously investigated in the context of orthodox and boundaryless career theory.

This article has described a further category of international assignee, which has not received detailed attention in career research—namely, the locally hired international assignee. Findings from the empirical study showed that whether individuals in the sample followed an organizational career or a more boundaryless career (depending on the respective psychological contract with the organization and opportunities in the external job market),

there was a marked move toward a focus on the whole pattern of living, taking a holistic approach to careers. In other words, the protean career concept underlined the career choices of the international assignees in this study—the locally hired expatriates. Factors such as quality of life, work/life balance, and family stability all play a major role in the decision regarding embarking on an international career, remaining in the host country, and future career direction. This underlines the necessity to personalize career planning within organizations, to account for different life stages and priorities for different individuals (Swart and Kinnie 2004). This need to personalize career planning is recommended for all categories of international assignees as well as for domestic employees.

Although traditional expatriates retain their ties to the parent country organization, and it is assumed they will eventually return to the organization's headquarters, the locally hired expatriate must function within the host country organization's culture and career advancement systems. In this regard, both the country and the organization culture (Hofstede 1980; 1985; 1991; 1993) play a major role in the career advancement opportunities open to nonnationals. The sample researched and presented in this paper must play the host country career game that is within their respective host country organizations—some of which may be more country culture focused (ethnocentric) and appear biased against nonnationals, and others that may have a more transnational or global perspective (Bartlett and Ghoshal 1995) and be more approving of such locally based international assignees.

It is acknowledged that the findings from this sample may not be generalized to locally hired international assignees based at other locations, given the specific life-style advantages of the areas investigated. Nonetheless, the findings from this empirical study highlight the relevance of Schein's (1990) life-style anchor for the sample under investigation. Quality of life and integrating a balance between work and life were prioritized.

Suggestions for further research

This study focuses on qualitative research in order to give a detailed description of the particular sample of locally hired international assignees investigated in this research undertaking. Suggestions for further research in this area are outlined below.

The research here has focused on the perspective of the individual and the protean career. To balance this perspective with that from the HR practitioner or line manager would be valuable in managing and analyzing possible career interests seen largely outside organizational control and interests. An examination of the role and value that locally hired international assignees could

play and bring to international organizations from their experience would be interesting in determining how organizations could tap into this knowledge, which until now is not being controlled. An organizational survey pertaining to the usage rates of locally hired foreigners (relevance) in international organizations and regarding their perceived value from the organization's (at corporate and local level) position would yield further insight into international organizational careers for locally hired international assignees.

Positivistic research would be interesting in this field in uncovering trends toward permanent expatriation across age, gender, nationality, and host country preference. This would be particularly interesting in a European context, given the free movement of labor between EU member states. Although patterns of labor mobility (see also Sparrow and Hiltrop 1994) are tracked by the European Union, a detailed analysis of the biographical details of those geographically mobile would be useful, for instance, in building a profile of locally hired international assignees who could be used by organizations wishing to recruit nonnationals for employment in a host country (that is, potential locally hired international assignees).

Further analyses relating to gender differences, relationship differences (married/single/family; host country spouse, national country partner), and significant life occurrences in the international assignee category of locally hired international assignees would also help uncover patterns relating to the protean career concept in international assignments. This is currently part of the author's research agenda where she is investigating locally hired international assignees in France using the concept of the protean career and exploring the impact of their experiences on their career and identity.

Note

1. Four of the complete sample ($n = 20$) made one (or more) subsequent international move (or moves) following a traditional organizational career (i.e., were relocated by the same organization to another country after their initial non-organization-based international move). One respondent moved twice internationally with the same organization after having worked initially for the organization in his home country. In each of these five cases, the international assignees in question accepted a local (host country) employment contract with their respective organizations, relinquishing any organization ties to the parent country or initial host (or home) country (or countries).

References

- Arthur, M.B., and D.M. Rousseau, eds. 1996. *The Boundaryless Career: A New Employment Principle for a New Organizational Era*. New York: Oxford University Press.

- Banai, M., and W. Harry. 2004. "Boundaryless Global Careers." *International Studies of Management and Organization* 34 (3): 96–120.
- Bartlett, C.A., and S. Ghoshal. 1995. *Transnational Management. Text, Cases, and Readings in Cross-Border Management*, 2d ed. London: Irwin.
- Baruch, Y. 2004. *Managing Careers Theory and Practice*. Essex, UK: Pearson Education.
- Black, J.S., and H.B. Gregersen. 1991. "The Other Half of the Picture: Antecedents of Spouse Cross-Cultural Adjustment." *Journal of International Business Studies* 22 (3): 461–477.
- Boyacigiller, N. 1995. "The International Assignment Reconsidered." In *Readings and Cases in International Human Resource Management*, 2d ed., ed. M. Mendenhall and G. Oddou, 149–156. Cincinnati, OH: South-Western College Publishing.
- Brewster, C. 2002. "Expatriation." In *The Informed Student Guide to Human Resource Management*, ed. T. Redman and A. Wilkinson<<AU: Provide page numbers>>. London: Thomson Learning.
- Briscoe, J.P., and D.T. Hall. 2002. "The Protean Orientation: Creating the Adaptable Workforce Necessary for Flexibility and Speed." *Annual Meeting of the Academy of Management*, Denver, CO, August 13.<<AU: Is this a paper presented at the meeting or published in a proceedings? Please provide more information>>
- De Cieri, H., P.J. Dowling, and K.F. Taylor. 1991. "The Psychological Impact of Expatriate Relocation on Partners." *International Journal of Human Resource Management* 2 (3): 377–414.
- Dowling, P.J., and D.E. Welch. 2004. *International Human Resource Management. Managing People in a Multinational Context*, 4th ed. London: Thomson Learning.
- Easterby-Smith, M., R. Thorp, and A. Lowe. 1991. *Management Research: An Introduction*. London: Sage.
- Eby, L. 2001. "The Boundaryless Career Experiences of Mobile Spouses in Dual-Earner Marriages." *Group and Organization Management* 26 (3): 343–368.
- "First World." 2006. *Wikipedia*. Available at http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=First_World&oldid=43008582/, March 9, 2006, accessed March 11, 2006.
- Friedman, S.D., P. Christensen, and J. DeGroot. 1997. "Developing a Work/Life Balance." In *Mastering Management*, ed. T. Dickson and G. Bickerstaffe, 278–282. London: Prentice Hall/Pearson Education.
- Glaser, B.G., and A.L. Strauss. 1967. *The Discovery of Grounded Theory: Strategies for Qualitative Research*. London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson.
- Gratton, L., K.J. Zaleska, and L.M. de Menezes. 2002. "The Rhetoric and Reality of the 'New Careers'." Paper presented at the Harvard Business School Conference "Career Evolution," London, June 13–15, .
- Gummesson, E. 1991. *Qualitative Methods in Management Research*. London: Sage.
- Hall, D.T. 1976. *Careers in Organizations*. Glenview, IL: Scott, Foresman.
- Hall, D.T., and B. Harrington. 2004. "The Protean Career. A Sloan Work and Family Encyclopedia Entry." <what publication?> Available at www.bc.edu/bc_org/avp/wfnetwork/rft/wfpedia/wfpPCARent.html.
- Hall, D.T., and J.E. Moss. 1998. "The New Protean Career Contract: Helping Orga-

- nizations and Employees Adapt." *Organizational Dynamics* 26 (3): 22–37.
- Harris, H., and C. Brewster. 1999. "The Coffee-Machine System: How International Selection Really Works." *International Journal of Human Resource Management* 10 (3): 488–500.
- Harry, W., and M. Banai. 2004. "Transnational Managers—Developments in Research." Paper presented at the meeting of the Irish Academy of Management, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland, September 2–3.
- Harvey, M.G., M.F. Price, C. Speier, and M.M. Novicevic. 1999. "The Role of Inpatriates in a Globalization Strategy and Challenges Associated with the Inpatriation Process." *Human Resource Planning* 22 (1): 38–60.
- Hofstede, G. 1980. *Culture's Consequences: International Differences in Work-Related Values*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- . 1985. "The Interaction Between National and Organizational Value Systems." *Journal of Management Studies* 22 (4): 347–357.
- . 1991. *Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind*. London: McGraw-Hill.
- . 1993. "Cultural Constraints in Management Theories." *Academy of Management Executive* 7 (1): 81–93.
- Inkson, K., M.B. Arthur, J. Pringle, and S. Barry. 1997. "Expatriate Assignment Versus Overseas Experience: Contrasting Models of International Human Resource Development." *Journal of World Business* 32 (4): 351–368.
- Larsen, H.H., and C.F. Ellehave. 2004. "Careers in Organizations. Theoretical Developments and Empirical Findings." In *Human Resource Management in Northern Europe. Trends, Dilemmas and Strategy*, ed. C. Brewster and H.H. Larsen, 89–124. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Marshall, C., and G. Rossman. 1989. *Designing Qualitative Research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Mason, J. 1996. *Qualitative Researching*. London: Sage.
- Mayerhofer, H., L.C. Hartmann, and A. Herbert. 2004. "Career Management Issues for Flexpatriate International Staff." *Thunderbird International Business Review* 46 (6): 647–666.
- McCracken, G. 1988. *The Long Interview*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Robinson, V. 2002. "Migrant Workers in the UK." *Labour Market Trends National Statistics Feature* October: 467–476. Available at www.statistics.gov.uk/articles/labour_market_trends/Migrant_workers_sept2002.pdf, accessed February 23, 2006.
- Schein, E. H. 1978. *Career Dynamics: Matching Individual and Organizational Needs*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- . 1990. *Career Anchors (Discovering Your Real Values)*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Pfeiffer.
- Schneider, S.C., and J.L. Barsoux. 1997. *Managing Across Cultures*. London: Prentice Hall.
- Scullion, H. 1992. "Strategic Recruitment and Development of the 'International Manager': Some European Considerations." *Human Resource Management Journal* 3 (1): 57–69.
- Solomon, C. 1995. "Repatriation, Up, Down, or Out?" *Personnel Journal* 74 (10): 28–30.
- Sparrow, P., and J.M. Hiltrop. 1994. *European Human Resource Management in*

- Transition*. Hemel Hempstead, UK: Prentice Hall.
- Stahl, G.K., E.L. Miller, and R.L. Tung. 2002. "Toward the Boundaryless Career: A Closer Look at the Expatriate Career Concept and the Perceived Implications of an International Assignment." *Journal of World Business* 37 (3): 216–227.
- Stone, R.J. 1991. "Expatriate Selection and Failure." *Human Resource Planning* 14 (1): 9–18.
- Suutari, V. 2003. "Global Managers: Career Orientation, Career Tracks, Life-Style Implications and Career Commitment." *Journal of Managerial Psychology* 18 (3): 185–207.
- Suutari, V., and C. Brewster. 2000. "Making Their Own Way: International Experience Through Self-Initiated Foreign Assignments." *Journal of World Business* 35 (4): 346–365.
- Swart, J., and J. Kinnie. 2004. *Managing the Careers of Professional Knowledge Workers*. London: CIPD.
- "The Elusive Euro-Manager." 1992. *Economist*, November 7: 83.
- Trochim, W.M. 2000. *The Research Methods Knowledge Base*, 2d ed. Available at <http://trochim.human.cornell.edu/kb/index.htm>.
- Tung, R.L. 1987. "Expatriate Assignments: Enhancing Success and Minimizing Failure." *Academy of Management Executive* 1 (2): 117–125.
- . 1998. "American Expatriates Abroad: From Neophytes to Cosmopolitans." *Journal of World Business* 33 (2): 125–144.
- Yan, A., G. Zhu, and D.T. Hall. 2002. "International Assignments for Career Building: A Model of Agency Relationships and Psychological Contracts." *Academy of Management Review* 27 (3): 373–391.