

SQUARES IN THE CENTRE OF THE GROUP ALGEBRA OF A SYMMETRIC GROUP

J. Murray*

Mathematics Department,
University College Dublin,
Belfield Dublin 4, Ireland.

Let $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ be a finite symmetric group of degree n and let F be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. We use $Z = Z(F\mathfrak{S}(n))$ to denote the centre of the group algebra $F\mathfrak{S}(n)$. If \mathcal{X} is a subset of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ then \mathcal{X}^+ denotes its sum in $F\mathfrak{S}(n)$. As is well known $\{\mathcal{K}^+ \mid \mathcal{K} \text{ a conjugacy class of } \mathfrak{S}(n)\}$ forms an F -basis for Z . We use Z_p to denote the F -subspace of Z spanned by the p -regular class sums. The map $z \rightarrow z^p$ is a semi-linear transformation on Z , with respect to the automorphism $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^p$ of F . Its image Z^p is an F -subalgebra of Z , and its kernel $\{z \in Z \mid z^p = 0\}$ is an ideal of Z . Our main result is:

Theorem 1. *Let $p = 2$. Then $Z^2 = Z_2$. So $z \in Z$ is a square in Z if and only if z is an F -linear combination of 2-regular class sums.*

A p -block of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ is an indecomposable F -algebra, which is a direct summand of $F\mathfrak{S}(n)$. Each p -block B of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ has an associated *weight* w and *p -core* α . So w is an integer between 0 and n/p , while α is a partition of $n - wp$ which has no p -hooks. See [JK81, 2.7 and 6.1] for definitions and proofs. The number $k(B)$ of irreducible characters associated to B equals the F -dimension of the centre $Z(B)$ of B , while the number $l(B)$ of irreducible Brauer characters equals the F -dimension of $Z_2 \cap Z(B)$. Set $Z(B)^2 = \{z^2 \mid z \in Z(B)\}$. The following is a block version of Theorem 1:

Theorem 2. *Let B be a 2-block of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$, of weight w . Then $\dim(Z(B)^2)$ equals the number $P(w)$ of partitions of w .*

Proof. We have $Z(B)^2 = Z^2 \cap Z(B)$, since $Z(B)$ is commutative and unital. So $Z(B)^2 = Z_2 \cap Z(B)$, by Theorem 1. But $\dim(Z_2 \cap Z(B)) = l(B) = P(w)$, by [O80, 3.6]. This proves the result. \square

Date: July 6, 2000.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20C20.

*the author was supported by an Enterprise Ireland research grant.

It seems unlikely that one could find an explicit formula for a square root of a 2-regular class sum (but see the proof of Proposition 9). We can at least show:

Theorem 3. *Each 2-regular class of $\mathcal{S}(n)$ occurs with odd multiplicity in the square of some involution class.*

In fact, for each 2-regular class of $\mathcal{S}(n)$, we can explicitly describe a class of involutions for which this theorem holds. Our methods could be used to compute the square of any involution class sum of $\mathcal{S}(n)$.

For the rest of this paper we fix $g \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ and D a Sylow p -subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{S}(n)}(g)$, and set $C = \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{S}(n)}(D)$. We use g_p ($g_{p'}$) to denote the p -part (p -regular part) of g . So g_p has p -power order, $g_{p'}$ has p' -order and $g = g_p g_{p'} = g_{p'} g_p$.

Our notation for subgroups, centralizers and normalizers is standard.

Proposition 4. $C = \langle g_p \rangle \times N$, for some group N .

We defer the proof of Proposition 4 to the end of the paper, and proceed immediately to the proof of two corollaries. Corollary 6 will be needed in the proof of Theorem 1, while Corollary 5 may be of independent interest.

Let $a \in F\mathcal{S}(n)$ and $x \in \mathcal{S}(n)$. We use (a, x) to denote the coefficient of x in a . Set $\Omega(x) := \{y \in \mathcal{S}(n) \mid y^p = x\}$. If x has p' -order, we use $x^{1/p}$ to denote the unique element of $\Omega(x)$ that has p' -order.

Corollary 5. $Z_{p'}$ is a subalgebra of Z .

Proof. Let \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{L} be p -regular classes of $\mathcal{S}(n)$, and suppose that $g_p \neq 1_{\mathcal{S}(n)}$. It is enough to show that $(\mathcal{K}^+ \mathcal{L}^+, g) = 0$. Note that $g \notin N$, where N is the normal subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{S}(n)}(D)$ given by Proposition 4. Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{K}^+ \mathcal{L}^+, g) &= ((C \cap \mathcal{K})^+ (C \cap \mathcal{L})^+, g), \\ &\quad \text{using the Brauer homomorphism, see [K91, (54)],} \\ &= 0, \quad \text{as } N \text{ contains every 2-regular element of } C. \end{aligned}$$

The corollary follows. □

Let m be a nonnegative integer. The proof of Corollary 5 actually shows that the F -subspace of Z spanned by the class sums of elements of $\mathcal{S}(n)$ whose p -parts have order p^m or less is a subalgebra of Z .

Corollary 6. $Z^p \subseteq Z_{p'}$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{K} be a conjugacy class of $\mathcal{S}(n)$, and suppose that $g_p \neq 1_{\mathcal{S}(n)}$. It is enough to show that $((\mathcal{K}^+)^p, g) = 0$. By [K91, (55)], we have

$$((\mathcal{K}^+)^p, g) = (\mathcal{K}^+ \Omega(g_p)^+, g_p^{1/p}).$$

Now, D acts by conjugation on \mathcal{K} and $\Omega(g_p)$, and centralizes $g_p^{1/p}$. Thus

$$((\mathcal{K}^+)^p, g) = ((C \cap \mathcal{K})^+(C \cap \Omega(g_p))^+, g_p^{1/p}).$$

But Proposition 4 implies that $C \cap \Omega(g_p)$ is empty. The result follows. \square

Corollary 6 implies the following, cf. [K91, (59)]:

Proposition 7. $\{z \in Z \mid z^p = 0\} = \{z \in Z \mid z\Omega(1_{\mathfrak{S}(n)})^+ = 0\}$.

The analogues of Corollaries 5 and 6 hold for the alternating group $\mathcal{A}(n)$ also.

Proposition 8. $Z(F\mathcal{A}(n))_{p'}$ is a subalgebra of $Z(F\mathcal{A}(n))$ and $Z(F\mathcal{A}(n))^p \subseteq Z(F\mathcal{A}(n))_{p'}$.

Proof. Suppose that g is an element of $\mathcal{A}(n)$. If $p \neq 2$, then D is a Sylow p -subgroup of $C \cap \mathcal{A}(n) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{A}(n)}(g)$. In particular,

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{A}(n)}(D) = \langle g_p \rangle \times M, \quad \text{for some group } M,$$

using Proposition 4. Thus $Z(F\mathcal{A}(n))^p \subseteq Z(F\mathcal{A}(n))_{p'}$ and $Z(F\mathcal{A}(n))_{p'}$ is a subalgebra of $Z(F\mathcal{A}(n))$, exactly as in the proofs of Corollaries 5 and 6.

Suppose now that $p = 2$. Let \mathcal{K} be a conjugacy class of $\mathcal{A}(n)$. Then either \mathcal{K} is a conjugacy class of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$, or the elements of \mathcal{K} have cycle type α , where α is a partition of n into unequal odd parts (see [JK81, 1.2.10]). In the former case we have

$$(\mathcal{K}^+)^2 \in Z_{2'} \cap Z(F\mathcal{A}(n)) = Z(F\mathcal{A}(n))_{2'},$$

using Corollary 6. In the latter case \mathcal{K} has 2-defect zero. It is a theorem of Brauer that the class sums of 2-defect zero classes span an ideal Z_0 of $Z(F\mathcal{A}(n))$. Since Z_0 is contained in $Z(F\mathcal{A}(n))_{2'}$, it follows that $(\mathcal{K}^+)^2 \in Z(F\mathcal{A}(n))_{2'}$ in this case also.

The proof that $Z(F\mathcal{A}(n))_{2'}$ is a subalgebra of $Z(F\mathcal{A}(n))$ proceeds in a similar fashion. \square

Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_t)$ be a partition of n . So $\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_t = n$ and $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_t > 0$. We use $|\mu| = t$ to denote the number of parts of μ . The conjugacy classes of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ are parametrized by the partitions of n . The class corresponding to μ contains $(1, \dots, \mu_1)(\mu_1+1, \dots, \mu_1+\mu_2) \dots (n-\mu_t+1, \dots, n)$. Clearly this class is p -regular if and only if μ_i is coprime to p , for $i = 1, \dots, t$.

Let K be an arbitrary integral domain. In [M83], G. E. Murphy defines elements L_u in $K\mathfrak{S}(n)$ by

$$L_u := (1, u) + (2, u) + \dots + (u-1, u),$$

where u is any integer between 2 and n , and each (v, u) is a transposition. For convenience, we set $L_1 := 1_{\mathfrak{S}(n)}$.

Suppose that $1 \leq i < j < u$ or $u < i < j \leq n$. Then trivially $L_u(i, j) = (i, j)L_u$. In particular

$$L_u L_v = L_v L_u,$$

for all $u, v \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Also for $1 \leq u < n$, it can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} L_u L_{u+1}(u, u+1) &= (u, u+1)L_u L_{u+1}, \quad \text{and} \\ (L_u + L_{u+1})(u, u+1) &= (u, u+1)(L_u + L_{u+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now $1, L_u L_{u+1}$ and $L_u + L_{u+1}$ generate, as an algebra, the ring of symmetric polynomials in L_u and L_{u+1} over any commutative ring. It follows that the transposition $(u, u+1)$ commutes with any symmetric polynomial in L_u and L_{u+1} . Since $\{(u, u+1) \mid 1 \leq u < n\}$ generate $\mathfrak{S}(n)$, we conclude that any symmetric polynomial in L_2, \dots, L_n lies in the centre $Z(K\mathfrak{S}(n))$ of $K\mathfrak{S}(n)$.

Let $P(n, p)$ denote the number of partitions of n into parts which are congruent to 1 modulo p .

Proposition 9. $\dim(Z^p) \geq P(n, p)$.

Proof. Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots)$ be a partition of n . Suppose that $\mu_i > 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Set X^μ as the sum, in $K\mathfrak{S}(n)$, of all distinct products of the form

$$(L_{u_1})^{\mu_1-1} (L_{u_2})^{\mu_2-1} \dots (L_{u_r})^{\mu_r-1},$$

where u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r runs over all sets of r elements from $2, 3, \dots, n$. If all parts of μ are 1, then set $X^\mu := 1_{\mathfrak{S}(n)}$.

The main result of [M83, 1.9] is that if g is an element of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ of cycle type μ , then the coefficient of g in X^μ is 1, while if $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ is the cycle type of any element of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ which occurs in X^μ , then either $|\mu| < |\lambda|$ or $\mu \triangleleft \lambda$, where \triangleleft is the dominance relation on partitions. Murphy uses these facts to show that $\{X^\mu \mid \mu \text{ a partition of } n\}$ forms a K -basis for $Z(K\mathfrak{S}(n))$.

Now consider when $K = F$ is a field of characteristic p . Let μ be a partition of n with $\mu_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, for $i = 1, \dots, |\mu|$. Set $\lambda_i = (\mu_i - 1)/p + 1$, for $i = 1, \dots, |\mu|$. Let λ be the partition of n whose first $|\mu|$ parts are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{|\mu|}$, and whose remaining parts equal 1. Using the fact that the L_u commute, and the binomial theorem modulo p , we see that

$$(X^\lambda)^p = X^\mu.$$

The proposition now follows from the linear independence of the X^μ . □

We now give the proof of our main theorem.

proof of Theorem 1. Clearly $P(n, 2)$ equals the number of 2-regular classes of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$. So Proposition 9 implies that $\dim(Z^2) \geq \dim(Z_{2'})$. But $Z^2 \subseteq Z_{2'}$, by Corollary 6. The theorem follows. \square

A partition is called *2-singular* if at least one of its parts is even.

Corollary 10. $\dim\{z \in Z \mid z^2 = 0\}$ equals the number of 2-singular partitions of n .

We need the following result on blocks of symmetric groups:

Proposition 11. *Let B be a p -block of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$, of weight w . Then $Z(B) \cong Z(B_0)$, where B_0 is the principal p -block of $\mathfrak{S}(pw)$.*

Proof. The principal p -block B_0 of $\mathfrak{S}(pw)$ has empty core and weight w . M. Enguehard [E90] has shown that there exists a *perfect isometry* between any two p -blocks of finite symmetric groups that have the same weight. This implies, among other things, that the centres of B and B_0 are isomorphic. \square

Let B be a p -block of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$, let $J(B)$ denote the Jacobson radical of $Z(B)$, and let p^t denote the exponent of a defect group of B . Using Proposition 11, and (59) of [K91], we see that

$$z^{p^t} = 0, \quad \text{for each } z \in J(B).$$

This can be sharpened to:

Theorem 12. *There exists $z \in J(B)$ with $z^{p^{t-1}} \neq 0$.*

First we need two lemmas.

Let G be a finite group. For each positive integer m , define

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_m &:= \{x \in G \mid x^{p^m} = 1_G\} \\ \Lambda_m &:= \{x \in G \mid o(x) = p^m\} = \Omega_m \setminus \Omega_{m-1} \\ \Delta_m &:= \{x \in G \mid x_p \in \Lambda_m\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 13. *Let e be an idempotent in $Z(FG)$. Then*

$$e \Lambda_m^+ = (e, 1_G) \Lambda_m^+ + (\text{terms involving non } p\text{-elements of } \Delta_m).$$

Proof. Let $x \in G$ have order p^m . It follows from a well-known result of Iizuka (see [K91, (61)]) that the support of $e \Lambda_m^+$ is contained in Δ_m . So it is enough

to show that $(e \Lambda_m^+, x) = (e, 1_G)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(e \Lambda_m^+, x) &= (e \Omega_m^+, x) - (e \Omega_{m-1}^+, x) \\
&= (e^{p^t}, x^{p^t})^{p^{-t}} - (e^{p^{t-1}}, x^{p^{t-1}})^{p^{-t+1}}, \quad \text{by (55) of [K91]} \\
&= (e, 1_G)^{p^{-t}} - (e, x^{p^{t-1}})^{p^{-t+1}} \\
&= (e, 1_G), \quad \text{as } (e, 1_G) \in GF(p) \text{ and as } e \text{ is supported} \\
&\quad \text{on the } p\text{-regular elements of } G.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 14. *Let c be an m -cycle, where $m \geq 2$, and let t be a transposition that does not commute with c . Then tc is an $(m-1)$ -cycle, an $(m+1)$ -cycle, or a product of two commuting cycles whose combined length is m .*

Proof. This is a routine calculation. □

Proof of Theorem 12. Let e be the unique idempotent in $Z(B)$, and let ω denote the epimorphism $B \rightarrow F$ which has kernel $J(B)$. Using Proposition 11, we may assume that B is the principal p -block of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$.

Let τ be the class of transpositions in $\mathfrak{S}(n)$, and let m be a positive integer. We may write

$$\tau^+ e = i + j,$$

where $i = \omega(\tau^+)e \in GF(p)e$ and $j \in J(B)$. If m is a positive integer then

$$(\tau^+ e)^{p^m} = i^{p^m} + j^{p^m} = i + j^{p^m}.$$

So the proposition will follow if we show that $(\tau^+ e)^{p^{t-1}} \neq (\tau^+ e)^{p^t}$.

Let u be a (p^{t+1}) -cycle in $\mathfrak{S}(n)$. Then $u^{1/p}$ is also a (p^{t+1}) -cycle. Using [K91, (55)], and the fact that $(\tau^+ e \Omega_m^+, u) \in GF(p)$, we see that

$$((\tau^+ e)^{p^m}, u) = (\tau^+ e \Omega_m^+, u).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(15) \quad ((\tau^+ e)^{p^t}, u) - ((\tau^+ e)^{p^{t-1}}, u) &= (\tau^+ e \Omega_t^+, u) - (\tau^+ e \Omega_{t-1}^+, u) \\
&= (\tau^+ e \Lambda_t^+, u).
\end{aligned}$$

Let λ_t denote the class of p^t -cycles in $\mathfrak{S}(n)$. Suppose that $t \in \tau$ and $x \in \Delta_m$ and $tx = u$. Then $x = tu$ contains a p^t -cycle in its cycle decomposition. So x is a p^t -cycle, using Lemma 14. It then follows from Lemma 13 that

$$(16) \quad (\tau^+ e \Lambda_t^+, u) = (e, 1) (\tau^+ \lambda_t^+, u).$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$(17) \quad |\{(t, l) \in \tau \times \lambda_t \mid tl = u\}| = p^t - 1.$$

We conclude from (15), (16) and (17) that

$$((\tau^+e)^{p^t}, u) - ((\tau^+e)^{p^{t-1}}, u) = -(e, 1).$$

But $(e, 1) \neq 0_F$, by a theorem of Brauer. So $(\tau^+e)^{p^{t-1}} \neq (\tau^+e)^{p^t}$. This completes the proof. \square

Let $J(Z)$ denote the Jacobson radical of Z , and let p^t denote the p -exponent of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$. Suppose that $p = 2$. If $n = 4$ then $z^{p^{t-1}+1} = 0$, for all $z \in J(Z)$, while if $n = 6$, there exists $z \in J(Z)$ with $z^{p^{t-1}} \neq 0$. So Theorem 12 is best possible. On the other hand, the dihedral group D_8 of order 8 has 2-exponent 4, yet $z^2 = 0$ for each $z \in J(Z(FD_8))$. So Theorem 12 does not generalize to all finite groups.

Corollary 18. *Let $J(Z)$ denote the Jacobson radical of Z , and let p^t denote the p -exponent of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$. Then $\dim_F(J(Z)^{p^{t-1}})$ is greater than or equal to the number of p -blocks of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ that have weight greater than or equal to p^{t-1} .*

Proof. Suppose that B is a p -block of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$, of weight $w \geq p^{t-1}$. Now by [JK81, 6.2.39], a defect group D of B is isomorphic to a wreath product of a cyclic group of order p and a Sylow p -subgroup of a Symmetric group of degree w . But $w < p^t$. So the p -adic decomposition of w contains p^{t-1} with non-zero multiplicity. It follows that D has a direct factor isomorphic to a Sylow p -subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}(p^t)$. Hence D has exponent p^t . The corollary now follows from Theorem 12. \square

Theorem 19. *Let p be an odd prime. Then $Z^p \not\leq Z_{p'}$.*

Proof. Let τ be the class of transpositions in $\mathfrak{S}(n)$. So $\tau^+ \in Z_{p'}$. Suppose that there exists $z \in Z$ with $z^p = \tau^+$. Then $z^{p^t} = (\tau^+)^{p^{t-1}}$ lies in the $GF(p)$ -span of the block idempotents of Z , using [K91, (59)]. So $(z^{p^t})^p = z^{p^t}$. However,

$$(z^{p^t})^p = (\tau^+)^{p^t} \neq (\tau^+)^{p^{t-1}} = z^{p^t},$$

by the proof of Theorem 12. This contradiction shows that no such z exists. \square

proof of Theorem 3. Let g be a 2-regular element of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ and let t be an involution which inverts g . If X is a $\langle g \rangle$ -orbit on $\{1, \dots, n\}$, then so too is Xt . So either X is stabilized by $\langle t \rangle$, or t contains the $|X|$ -transpositions $\{(x, xt) \mid x \in X\}$ in its cycle decomposition. Suppose that X is stabilized by $\langle t \rangle$. Then t fixes some point, say x_0 , in X , since $|X|$ is odd and $\langle t \rangle$

is a 2-group. It follows from the fact that t inverts g that t contains the $(|X| - 1)/2$ -transpositions $\{(x_0 g^j, x_0 g^{|X|-j}) \mid j = 1, \dots, (|X| - 1)/2\}$ in its cycle decomposition.

Suppose that g has a_i orbits of size i , and that exactly b_i of these are stabilized by $\langle t \rangle$. Then the number of transpositions in t is

$$(20) \quad \sum b_i \frac{(i-1)}{2} + \frac{(a_i - b_i)}{2} i = \sum \frac{a_i i - b_i}{2}.$$

Moreover,

$$(21) \quad \sum (a_i i - b_i)/2 \leq \sum a_i (i-1)/2,$$

with equality if and only if $b_i = a_i$, for all i .

Given a set \mathcal{X} of representatives for the orbits of $\langle g \rangle$ on $\{1, \dots, n\}$, there is a unique involution s which inverts g and centralizes all members of \mathcal{X} . Let \mathcal{T} be the conjugacy class of $\mathcal{S}(n)$ which contains s , and suppose that $t \in \mathcal{T}$ inverts g . By (20), the cycle decomposition of s , and hence t , consists of $\sum_i a_i (i-1)/2$ transpositions. But then (21) implies that t fixes an element from each $\langle g \rangle$ -orbit. We deduce that

$$|\{t \in \mathcal{T} \mid g^t = g^{-1}\}| := \prod i^{a_i}$$

equals the number of sets of representatives for the orbits of $\langle g \rangle$ on $\{1, \dots, n\}$. A standard argument gives

$$((\mathcal{T}^+)^2, g) = |\{t \in \mathcal{T} \mid g^t = g^{-1}\}| 1_F.$$

The theorem now follows from the fact that $\prod i^{a_i}$ is odd. \square

It remains to prove Proposition 4. First we need some notation for subgroups of $\mathcal{S}(n)$. Much of this is taken from [R93, 1.6].

Let X and Y be finite sets. We use $\mathcal{S}(X)$ to denote the group of all permutations of X . By convention all permutations act on the right. Let H be a subgroup of $\mathcal{S}(X)$. If $h \in H$ and $y_0 \in Y$, we can define a permutation $h(y_0)$ of $X \times Y$ via

$$(x, y)h(y_0) := \begin{cases} (xh, y), & \text{if } y = y_0, \\ (x, y), & \text{if } y \neq y_0, \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in X \times Y.$$

The map $h \rightarrow h(y_0)$ gives an injection $H \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X \times Y)$, whose image we denote by $H(y_0)$. We let H^Y denote the group generated by $\{H(y_0) \mid y_0 \in Y\}$. So H^Y isomorphic to the external direct product of $|Y|$ copies of H .

Suppose that we have a collection of disjoint finite sets $\{X_y \mid y \in Y\}$ and groups $\{H_y \leq \mathcal{S}(X_y) \mid y \in Y\}$, indexed by the elements of Y . Then $\prod_{y \in Y} H_y$

denotes the group generated by $\{H_y(y) \mid y \in Y\}$. So $\prod_{y \in Y} H_y$ is an embedding of the external direct product of the groups H_y in $\mathcal{S}(\cup_y X_y)$.

Let K be a subgroup of $\mathcal{S}(Y)$. For $k \in K$, we can define a permutation k^* of $X \times Y$ via

$$(x, y)k^* := (x, yk), \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in X \times Y.$$

The map $k \rightarrow k^*$ gives an injection $K \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X \times Y)$, whose image we denote by $\Delta(K, X)$ (and $\Delta(K, n)$, if $|X| = n$). In particular, $\Delta(K, X) \cong K$.

The *wreath product* $H \wr K$ of H with K is the subgroup of $\mathcal{S}(X \times Y)$ generated by H^Y and $\Delta(K, X)$. A quick calculation shows that $h(y_0)^{k^*} = h(y_0k)$, for each $y_0 \in Y$, $h \in H$ and $k \in K$. It follows that H^Y is a normal subgroup of $H \wr K$. We call H^Y the *base group* of $H \wr K$. Also $H^Y \cap \Delta(K, X) = \{1\}$. So $H \wr K$ is isomorphic to a semi-direct product of H^Y with K .

If m is a positive integer, we will use Z_m to denote the cyclic subgroup of $\mathcal{S}(m)$ generated by an m -cycle. The following is crucial to be proof of Proposition 4:

Proposition 22. *Let m and n be positive integers with $\text{h.c.f.}(m, n) = 1$. Then $Z_m \wr \mathcal{S}(n) = \Delta(Z_m, n) \times N$, for some group N .*

Proof. Let h be a generator of Z_m . A typical element of $Z_m \wr \mathcal{S}(n)$ is of the form $\prod_{i=1}^n h(i)^{\alpha_i} \sigma^*$, with $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(n)$, and $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq m - 1$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Define $\theta : Z_m \wr \mathcal{S}(n) \rightarrow Z_m$, by

$$\theta\left(\prod_{i=1}^n h(i)^{\alpha_i} \sigma^*\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n h^{\alpha_i}.$$

Then θ is a group homomorphism, since $h(i)^{\sigma^*} = h(i\sigma)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(n)$.

Consider the generator $\delta := \prod_{i=1}^n h(i)$ of $\Delta(Z_m, n)$. Since $\text{h.c.f.}(m, n) = 1$, it follows that $\theta(\delta) = h^n$ is a generator of Z_m . So θ is onto, and $\ker(\theta) \cap \Delta(Z_m, n) = \{1\}$. But $\Delta(Z_m, n)$ is central in $Z_m \wr \mathcal{S}(n)$. We conclude that

$$Z_m \wr \mathcal{S}(n) = \Delta(Z_m, n) \times N, \quad \text{where } N = \ker(\theta).$$

□

Let

$$\text{Fix}(H) := \{x \in X \mid xh = x, \text{ for all } h \in H\},$$

$$\text{Mov}(H) := \{x \in X \mid xh \neq x, \text{ for some } h \in H\}.$$

Lemma 23. $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{S}(X)}(H) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{S}(\text{Mov}(H))}(H) \times \mathcal{S}(\text{Fix}(H))$. If $\text{Fix}(H) = \emptyset$ then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{S}(X \times Y)}(H^Y) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{S}(X)}(H)^Y$.

Proof. Both statements are obvious. \square

Lemma 24. *Suppose that K acts transitively on Y . Then*

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(X \times Y)}(\Delta(K, X)) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(Y)}(K) \wr \mathfrak{S}(X).$$

Proof. It is clear that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(Y)}(K) \wr \mathfrak{S}(X) \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(X \times Y)}(\Delta(K, X))$.

For each $x \in X$, the set $x \times Y := \{(x, y) \mid y \in Y\}$ is a $\Delta(K, X)$ -orbit on $X \times Y$. Moreover, each $\Delta(K, X)$ -orbit equals $x \times Y$, for some $x \in X$.

Let $x \in X$ and $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(X \times Y)}(\Delta(K, X))$. The previous paragraph implies that $(x \times Y)\sigma = x\sigma_1 \times Y$, for some $\sigma_1 \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$. So for $y \in Y$ we have

$$(x, y)\sigma = (x\sigma_1, y\sigma_x),$$

where $\sigma_x \in \mathfrak{S}(Y)$ depends on x . An easy calculation shows that $\sigma_x \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(Y)}(K)$. So $\sigma = \sigma_1^* \prod_{x \in X} \sigma_x$ lies in $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(Y)}(K) \wr \mathfrak{S}(X)$. The lemma follows. \square

Corollary 25. *Suppose that H fixes no element of X and that K acts transitively on Y . Then $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(X \times Y)}(H \wr K) = \Delta(\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(X)}(H), Y)$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(X \times Y)}(H \wr K) &= \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(X \times Y)}(H^Y) \cap \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(X \times Y)}(\Delta(K, X)), \\ &\quad \text{using the definition of wreath product,} \\ &= \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(X)}(H)^Y \cap \mathbf{C}_Y(K) \wr \mathfrak{S}(X), \quad \text{by Lemmas 23 and 24,} \\ &= \langle c(y_0) \mid c \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(X)}(H), y_0 \in Y \rangle \cap \Delta(\mathfrak{S}(X), Y) \\ &= \Delta(\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(X)}(H), Y). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Recall that g is an element of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ and that D is a Sylow p -subgroup of $C = C_{\mathfrak{S}(n)}(g)$. We use the above results to compute $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(n)}(D)$. Suppose that g has a_i cycles of length i in its cycle decomposition, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 26. $C \cong \prod_{i=1}^n Z_i \wr \mathfrak{S}(a_i)$.

Proof. This is 4.1.19 of [JK81]. \square

If n is an integer, write n_p for the p -part of n and $n_{p'}$ for the p -regular part of n . So $n = n_p n_{p'}$, and n_p is a power of p , while $n_{p'}$ is coprime to p . Let $a_i = \sum b_{ij} p^j$ be the base p -expansion of a_i , and let $P(a_i)$ be a Sylow p -subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}(a_i)$. It is known that

$$(27) \quad P(a_i) \cong \prod P(p^j)^{b_{ij}},$$

where $P(p^j)$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}(p^j)$. Here we restrict j to those values for which $b_{ij} \neq 0$. Also $P(p^j)$ is a transitive subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}(p^j)$, and the centre $\mathbf{Z}(P(p^j))$ of $P(p^j)$ coincides with $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(p^j)}(P(p^j))$.

See (9) in [O86] for another version of the following lemma:

Lemma 28. $D \cong \prod_{i_p \neq 1} \prod_j (\Delta(Z_{i_p}, i_{p'}) \wr P(p^j))^{b_{ij}} \times \prod_{i_p=1} \Delta(P(a_i), i)$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 26, (27), and the definition of the wreath product. Note that $\Delta(Z_{i_p}, i_{p'})$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of Z_i . \square

Proposition 29.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(n)}(D) &\cong \prod_{i_p \neq 1} \prod_j \Delta(Z_{i_p} \wr \mathfrak{S}(i_{p'}), p^j)^{b_{ij}} \\ &\times \prod_{i_p=1} \prod_{j>0} (\mathbf{Z}(P(p^j)) \wr \mathfrak{S}(i))^{b_{ij}} \times \mathfrak{S}\left(\sum_{i_p=1} i b_{i0}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose that $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $i_p \neq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(i_{p'})}(\Delta(Z_{i_p}, i_{p'}) \wr P(p^j)) &= \Delta(\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(i)}(\Delta(Z_{i_p}, i_{p'})), p^j), \quad \text{by Corollary 25} \\ &= \Delta(\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(i_p)}(Z_{i_p}) \wr \mathfrak{S}(i_{p'}), p^j), \quad \text{by Lemma 24} \\ &= \Delta(Z_{i_p} \wr \mathfrak{S}(i_{p'}), p^j). \end{aligned}$$

If $i_p = 1$, we have $\Delta(P(a_i), i) = \{1_{\mathfrak{S}(i b_{i0})}\} \times \prod_{j>0} \Delta(P(p^j), i)^{b_{ij}}$, and $\Delta(P(p^j), i)$ has no fixed points for $j > 0$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(i_{p'})}(\Delta(P(p^j), i)) &= \mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(p^j)}(P(p^j)) \wr \mathfrak{S}(i), \quad \text{by Lemma 24} \\ &= \mathbf{Z}(P(p^j)) \wr \mathfrak{S}(i). \end{aligned}$$

The proposition now follows from repeated applications of Lemma 23. \square

proof of Proposition 4. It follows from Propositions 22 and 29 that

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{S}(n)}(D) = \prod_{i_p \neq 1} \prod_j \Delta(Z_{i_p}, i_{p'} p^j)^{b_{ij}} \times M$$

for some subgroup M of $\mathfrak{S}(n)$. Also, the projection of g_p onto each factor $\Delta(Z_{i_p}, i_{p'} p^j)$ generates that factor. The proposition now follows from standard properties of finite abelian groups. \square

1. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am most grateful to Gordan James for pointing out how [M83, 1.9] might be used to prove Proposition 9.

REFERENCES

- [E90] M. Enguehard, *Isométries parfaites entre blocs de groupes symétriques*, S.M.F. Astérisque Vol. 181–182 (1990), p157–171.
- [JK81] G. James, A. Kerber, *The representation theory of the symmetric group*, Encycl. of Math. and Applic. 16, Addison-Wesley Publ. Co., London, 1981.
- [K91] B. Külshammer, *Group-theoretical descriptions of ring-theoretical invariants of group algebras*, Progress in Math. 95 (1991), 425–442.
- [M83] G. E. Murphy, *The Idempotents of the Symmetric Group and Nakayama's Conjecture*, J. Algebra 81 (1983), 258–265.
- [O80] J. B. Olsson, *Lower Defect Groups*, Comm. Alg. 8, No. 3 (1980), 261–288.
- [O86] J. B. Olsson, *Lower Defect Groups in Symmetric Groups*, J. Algebra 104, 37–56 (1986).
- [R93] D. J. S. Robinson, *A course in the theory of groups*, Graduate Texts in Math. 80, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

E-mail address: jcmurray@eircom.net