

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

How neurons migrate: a dynamic in-silico model of neuronal migration in the developing cortex

Yaki Setty^{1,2*}, Chih-Chun Chen³, Maria Secier⁴, Nikita Skoblov⁵, Dimitrios Kalamatianos⁶ and Stephen Emmott¹

Abstract

Background: Neuronal migration, the process by which neurons migrate from their place of origin to their final position in the brain, is a central process for normal brain development and function. Advances in experimental techniques have revealed much about many of the molecular components involved in this process. Notwithstanding these advances, how the molecular machinery works together to govern the migration process has yet to be fully understood. Here we present a computational model of neuronal migration, in which four key molecular entities, Lis1, DCX, Reelin and GABA, form a molecular program that mediates the migration process.

Results: The model simulated the dynamic migration process, consistent with in-vivo observations of morphological, cellular and population-level phenomena. Specifically, the model reproduced migration phases, cellular dynamics and population distributions that concur with experimental observations in normal neuronal development. We tested the model under reduced activity of Lis1 and DCX and found an aberrant development similar to observations in Lis1 and DCX silencing expression experiments. Analysis of the model gave rise to unforeseen insights that could guide future experimental study. Specifically: (1) the model revealed the possibility that under conditions of Lis1 reduced expression, neurons experience an oscillatory neuron-glia association prior to the multipolar stage; and (2) we hypothesized that observed morphology variations in rats and mice may be explained by a single difference in the way that Lis1 and DCX stimulate bipolar motility. From this we make the following predictions: (1) under reduced Lis1 and enhanced DCX expression, we predict a reduced bipolar migration in rats, and (2) under enhanced DCX expression in mice we predict a normal or a higher bipolar migration.

Conclusions: We present here a system-wide computational model of neuronal migration that integrates theory and data within a precise, testable framework. Our model accounts for a range of observable behaviors and affords a computational framework to study aspects of neuronal migration as a complex process that is driven by a relatively simple molecular program. Analysis of the model generated new hypotheses and yet unobserved phenomena that may guide future experimental studies. This paper thus reports a first step toward a comprehensive in-silico model of neuronal migration.

Background

Neuronal migration is a highly dynamic process that is essential for the normal development and function of the mammalian brain. The migration process is regulated by cell-extrinsic signaling pathways and cell-intrinsic regulation and implicates numerous molecules that synergistically guide neuron motility. The experimental data have revealed that the process initiates with proliferation of neuroblasts from the progenitor glial cells at the ventricular

zone (VZ). The neuroblasts migrate radially toward the subventricular zone (SVZ) along the glial fiber, which serves as a their scaffold [1]. On exit from the VZ, neuroblasts adopt a multipolar migration stage in which they dissociate from the glial fiber and migrate independently through the intermediate zone (IZ) [1,2]. As the multipolar neurons approach the cortical plate (CP), they enter a bipolar migration stage and re-associate with the glial fibers. On entry into the CP zone, the migrating neurons dissociate from the fiber and accumulate in a layer above that formed by their predecessors, such that layers of progressively younger neurons are found from interior to surface [3,4].

* Correspondence: yaki.setty@gmail.com

¹Computational Science Laboratory, Microsoft Research, Cambridge, CB3 0FB, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Advances in experimental techniques and genetic studies have identified key molecular components that are implicated in the migration process. Yet, how these components act in concert to mediate neuronal migration at the system-level is not fully understood. Key outstanding questions that remain unsolved include how neurons interpret guidance cues, what are the specific molecular mechanisms that guide migration, and how neurons coordinate interactions between different signaling pathways. Theoretical study may afford a platform to explore how the different components orchestrate the migration process as a whole. Because of the high degree of molecular complexity governing neuronal migration simplification is required. This has been constructively demonstrated in previous studies that have categorized the molecular entities into functional classes [5] or mechanical instructions [6]. We have adopted similar simplifications in our modeling study.

The computational model we present here integrates isolated subcomponents of the migration process into a dynamic system-level simulation of the process. We focused on the interplay between four molecular entities that have been found to be crucial for normal migration: two extracellular signaling cues, GABA neurotransmitter and Reelin protein, and two intracellular regulators, the Lissencephaly1 (LIS1) and Doublecortin (DCX) proteins. We defined the following functionalities for GABA, Reelin, Lis1 and DCX: (1) GABA neurotransmitter has a chemotactic role and a chemokinetic effect on migrating neurons. Experimental observations have found that GABA influences migrating cortical neurons [1,7]. We, therefore, defined GABA to act as a chemoattractant that provides, together with the glial fiber, guidance cues for migrating neurons. (2) Reelin is implicated in the maintenance of the radial scaffold [8] and neuron polarization as well as in stabilizing the leading process and promoting the final soma translocation [9]. Reelin is secreted by Cajal-Retzius cells located at the pial surface and is predominantly expressed in the CP [10]. In addition, Reelin was found to induce dissociation of neurons from the glial fiber [11]. Therefore, in our model Reelin serves as a local stop signal for migrating neurons that enter the CP [3,5]. (3) The intracellular regulators, Lis1 and DCX, mediate neuronal polarization by stabilizing microtubules [12] and are implicated in the regulation of leading process branching and dynamics [13]. In addition, these regulators play a role in the proliferation of neural progenitors [14] and have also been attributed with unknown cell-nonautonomous functions [15]. Silencing expression of Lis1 and DCX by RNA inhibition has been shown to arrest normal migration and to result in aberrant migration with a distinct pattern [1,16-18]. Thus, in the model, we specified Lis1 and DCX as regulators that stimulate and guide neuron motility and timing. (4) For modeling purposes we assumed that the

association with the glial fiber is controlled via the (direct or indirect) interaction of the motility regulators, Lis1 and DCX, with Astrotactin, which was found to mediate neuron movement along the glial fiber [19]. We are not, however, aware of any experimental findings that support or refute this assumption.


Our model incorporates only a subset of the rich genetic knowledge. The molecular entities, even in this compact subset, have a variety of complex functions and are implicated in various signaling pathways and mechanisms. Nevertheless, we found that the model simulated aspects of morphology, distribution and cellular dynamics, consistent with experimental observations. Furthermore, the simulations under reduced Lis1 and DCX activity concurred with observations from Lis1 and DCX silencing experiments using RNA interference.

The modeling process and the model analysis were informative and served as a platform to study the neuronal migration at the system-level rather than isolated components. The dynamic nature of the simulation generated behaviors that were not explicitly programmed into the model. These emergent behaviors are properties of the system as a whole and can only be explored as such. The simulations give rise to insights that may guide future experimental trial. Specifically, the model pointed to the possibility that under Lis1 reduced expression conditions, neurons experience an oscillatory neuron-glia association prior to the multipolar stage. Additionally, using the model we studied bipolar migration under altered expression levels of the key regulators Lis1 and DCX in rats and mice. The analysis led us to hypothesize that the observed morphology variations in these organisms may be explained by a single difference in the way the Lis1 and DCX stimulate bipolar motility. This hypothesis helped us to predict the bipolar morphology under yet untested expression levels of these regulators. We predict that cortical migration in rats under reduced expression of Lis1 and overexpression of DCX will reduce the bipolar migration, in contrast with the normal morphology observed in mice. Additionally, we suggest that bipolar morphology in mice under DCX overexpression will give rise to normal or higher bipolar morphology similar to the bipolar morphology observed in rats under similar conditions.

Results and Discussion

Model construction and execution

To construct a model that reproduces the distinct stages of the migration process (as illustrated in Figure 1A), we specified the interaction scheme shown in Figure 1B (see Methods for details of model formulation, construction and simulation). The model makes a number of simplifying assumptions: First, interactions occur between five extracellular mediators (GABA_A, GABA_B, ApoER2, VLDLR and Astrotactin) that regulate two intracellular




regulators (Lis1, DCX). A decreasing GABA concentration gradient exists from the CP toward the VZ [1,7] reflected by a trend in Reelin activity over the CP [3,20]. Our second assumption is that once the GABA_A receptor of a neuroblast detects GABA, it promotes Lis1 activity to maintain the glial fiber association [21]. This assumption is based on previously published possible relationships between GABA and Lis1 in *C. elegans* [22], and in-vitro experiments that suggested that GABA induces dissociated neurons to migrate from the VZ to the IZ [2] via GABA_A receptor, which possibly plays role in neuron movement [7]. Third, we specify that GABA_A activity is reduced to a level below that required to promote Lis1. Consequently, neurons enter the multipolar stage and disassociate from the glial fiber to migrate randomly in both X and Y directions [1,23]. Fourth, when GABA_B detects higher concentrations of GABA, it activates Lis1 and DCX to re-associate with the fiber and to enter the bipolar stage. This is based on observations that GABA_B receptors promote migration from the IZ to the CP [1,7], and on a suggested role for DCX in stabilizing neurons association with the glial fiber [2]. Finally, bipolar neurons migrate radially until the Reelin receptors (i.e., ApoER2 and VLDLR) detect and bind to Reelin (i.e., the stop signal) and inhibit neuron's adherence ability to the glial fiber. In turn, neurons dissociate from the glial fiber and accumulate on the next layer in the CP [4,20]. To simplify the complex mechanism by which Lis1, DCX

and Reelin regulate the association with the fiber, we defined for modeling purposes that these molecular components mediate the adherence ability of neurons to the fiber through interaction with the Astroactin protein that mediates the neuron-glia adhesion ligand (although no experimental evidence supports this assumption) [19].

The model is seeded by 23 radial progenitor glial cells in the simulated cortical cross-section. The progenitor glial cells proliferate and give rise to neuroblasts. Each of the neurons executes the same molecular program to determine its migration behavior over time. For example, a neuroblast at the SVZ activates GABA_A receptors in response to GABA concentrations in its vicinity (see detailed description in Methods).

The model recapitulates complex system-level neuronal migration

Model executions provided a dynamic representation of neuronal migration in the cortex (Figure 2A and the movie in Additional File 1). Neuroblasts (red) proliferated from the glial mother cells (pink) and migrated along the glial fiber in the VZ/SVZ radially toward the IZ. On entry to the IZ, neurons adopt the multipolar stage and migrate randomly both horizontally and vertically independent from the glial fiber (green). Finally, neurons adopted a bipolar state (yellow) and re-associated to a glial fiber. The neurons maintained glial-guided radial migration when locating from the IZ to the CP. Having entered the



CP, they continued vertical bipolar migration before dissociating from the fiber in response to Reelin and subsequently accumulating in layers at the CP.


Simulation results and experimental observations of neuronal population distribution were in general agreement. The model, consistent with histology (Figure 2B), generated a narrow band of progenitor glial cells at the VZ/SVZ boundary, a sparse occupancy of neurons in the IZ and neuron accumulation at the cortical surface (CP). The multipolar population dominated the earlier stages of migration (blue) and gradually adopted a bipolar fate (red) (Figure 3A). Similarly, the occupancy of neurons in the different zones of the simulated and experimental cortex cross-section showed a gradual migration over time. At the early developmental stages the majority of neurons positioned at the VZ/SVZ (blue). As the simulation advances the neurons rapidly move to the IZ (green) and then accumulate at the CP (red) (Figure 3B). At the end of the simulation 77% of the neuronal population was bipolar, 14% multipolar and 9% radial glial cells. The cortex occupancy in the simulated cross-section showed 9% of cells occupying the VZ/SVZ zones, 11% the IZ and 80% the CP. Experimental observations report a similar population distribution in the mouse cortex [24]: 75% bipolar neurons, 10% multipolar and 10% glial cell (5% unclassified), with zone occupancies of 7%, 15% and 78% at the ZV/SVZ, IZ and CP zones respectively.

Neuron behavior differs between different migration stages. Multipolar neurons actively explore their environment for directional cues and migrate independently from the glial fiber, moving randomly in the X and Y directions [2,25]. In contrast, bipolar migration is glial-guided and is characterized by a radial movement biased in the Y direction. Previous studies [17,25] suggested that it is possible to distinguish between multipolar neurons

and bipolar neurons based on the path they follow and their respective velocities. To test the relationship between migration stage and path formation, we applied a previously employed mathematical technique that distinguishes between multipolar and bipolar neurons by path formation in-vitro [25]. The analysis revealed a mean square error of less than 10% between the classifications of neurons in the model using in-vivo and in-silico parameters (Figure 3C). Additionally, we analyzed relationships between the velocity of the neurons and their migration stage by plotting migration stage as a function of the horizontal and vertical components of the migration velocity (Figure 3D). Neurons in the multipolar stage were found to have a low velocity in both directions (blue), while neurons in the bipolar stage had typically a higher, predominantly Y-directional, velocity (red). This analysis concurs with experimental findings that multipolar neurons remain closer to their origin, whereas bipolar neurons are found at a significant distance from their origin [17,25].

Abnormal GABA-Lis1 interaction anticipates aberrant migration as observed in Lis1 RNAi studies

Lis1 regulator has a complex function in the migration and plays a role in various signaling pathways and mechanisms. One function that is attributed to Lis1 is stabilizing neuron association with the glial fiber [2]. Normal migration in Lis1 RNAi mice is arrested in its early stages [1,2,21] and displays accumulation of multipolar neurons at the SVZ and lower IZ [21]. In our model, Lis1 acts as a motogen that stimulates and guides the motility of neurons along the glial fiber in response to GABA concentrations. Thus, in Lis1 reduced activity simulations, neuroblasts that detect GABA concentrations did not express Lis1 normally. Under these conditions, neuroblasts




expressed *Lis1* only at the random basal level embedded in the molecular program.

In simulations under reduced *Lis1* activity, neuroblasts, once proliferated from the mother progenitor glial cell, entered the multipolar stage shortly after proliferation and dissociated from the glial fiber, rather than progressing normally along the fiber. Thus, reduced *Lis1* activity shortens the duration over which neuroblasts remain associated with the glial fiber, and hastens multipolar migration. Consequently, the neuron population accumulated at the SVZ/VZ and the lower IZ and rarely migrated to the CP (Figure 4A and the movie in Additional File 2). This migration pattern that emerged in *Lis1* reduced activity simulations concurs with the morphology observed in *Lis1* RNAi in mice [21] in which neurons accumulate at the lower parts of the brain section closer to the VZ/SVZ (Figure 4B).

The population distribution in the *Lis1* reduced activity simulations showed predominant multipolar cells that rarely adopt a bipolar state or enter the CP (Figure 4C, D). At the final stage of the simulation, the population consisted of 2% bipolar, 89% multipolar and 9% glial cells, of which 55% occupy the VZ/SVZ area, 43% the IZ, and 2% the CP. These results concur with experimentally measured distribution of the neuron population as 4% bipolar, 70% multipolar, 10% glial cell, (16% unclassified), and zone occupancies of 70%, 20% and 10% in VZ/SVZ, IZ and CP, respectively [24].

Under simulated conditions of reduced *Lis1* activity, neurons lacking normal *Lis1*-activity responses to GABA showed altered duration and timing of association with the glial fiber, with subsequent cell accumulation at the SVZ and lower IZ areas. The emergent pattern in these



simulations points to a possible direct role of Lis1-GABA interaction in the temporal progression of neuroblasts in the early stages of neuronal migration. This hypothesis could be tested experimentally by increasing GABA concentration in the cortex of animals with suppressed Lis1 activity. The higher GABA concentrations may boost Lis1 expression and restore normal association, possibly repairing the migration pattern in the reduced-expression strains.

Lis1 reduced activity simulations predict an oscillatory neuron-glia association prior to the multipolar stage

Analysis of the neuron-glia association in simulations of reduced Lis1 activity revealed an intriguing unforeseen phenomenon. We found that neuroblasts repeatedly attempt to associate with the glial fiber, displaying an oscillatory association and dissociation with the fiber, especially during the early stages of the neuron lifecycle. The oscillatory behavior began immediately following neuronal proliferation and lasted until completion of the multipolar migration stage. Thus the model, although not explicitly programmed to do so, produced a delay in the neuronal multipolar migration stage process (Figure 5 and the movie in Additional File 3).

The frequency and the duration of the oscillatory delay varied between individual neurons: 31% of the neurons began multipolar migration immediately after proliferation (Figure 5A) 58% had a delay of one embryonic day or less (Figure 5B) and 11% maintained this migratory


behavior for longer than one embryonic day (Figure 5C), with 4% exhibiting this behavior over the entire simulated period (Figure 5D). Neither the oscillatory frequency nor the duration, were explicitly defined in the model. Rather, they emerged from the specified role of Lis1 and are promoted by the basal activity of the regulator.

The periodic association-disassociation phenomenon and the delay it forced in the multipolar migration could arise from contradicting instructions under reduced Lis1 activity. The neuroblasts received a weak signal to 'associate' from the basal level of Lis1 activity, while being instructed to 'migrate randomly' by the modified Lis1 activity.

We propose that the abnormal motility and defective neuronal division observed in Lis1 RNAi [21] emerge from a delay in the multipolar stage. This finding supports a hypothesis by which Lis1 plays a significant role in neuroblast development and cell motility [26]. These results point to a future experimental study to test the effect of the combination of timing and consequent spatial position in individual neuroblasts under reduced Lis1 activity conditions.

Simulations under reduced DCX activity anticipate the aberrant migration observed in DCX RNAi rats and not the normal migration observed in DCX RNAi mice

DCX function is highly complex and implicates various mechanisms. One of its possible functions is that it acts




as a motility regulator that increases glial guided migration [2,27-30]. *DCX* RNAi rats show disruptions in radial migration, forming a subcortical band consisting of multipolar neurons in the IZ [31,32]. In our model, *DCX* acts as a motility regulator that stimulates re-association with the glial fiber in multipolar neurons. When neuronal ability to activate *DCX* is reduced to the basal level, re-association of multipolar neurons with the glial fiber is less likely to occur. Consequently, in simulations, the bipolar migration stage was largely halted, neurons did not advance from the IZ to the CP and reduced accumulation in the CP occurred. Simulations under reduced *DCX* activity displayed accumulation of multipolar neurons at the upper end of the IZ closer to the CP. A minority of the neurons reacted to the random basal activity level and adopted the bipolar state, allowing them to enter the CP (Figure 6A and the movie in Additional File 4). The emergent pattern in reduced *DCX* activity simulations is consistent with observations

that *DCX* RNAi rats develop a subcortical band of multipolar neurons [1,31,32] (Figure 6B).

Over the simulated period, multipolar neurons concentrated predominantly in the IZ, with only a small proportion (7%) adopting the bipolar state and entering the CP (Figure 6C-D). By the end of the simulation, the neuron occupancy in the different zones was in agreement with measurements from *DCX* RNAi rats [27] (numerical occupancies of 9%, 55% and 36% vs. experimentally observed occupancies of 10%, 60%, 30%, in the VZ/SVZ, IZ, and CP zones respectively). The final simulated neuron population comprised 9% glial cells, 82% multipolar stage cells and 9% bipolar stage cells. Though direct experimental measurements are not available for comparison, multipolar neuron population was increased and bipolar population was decreased in *DCX* RNAi rats, [30,33,34] supporting the simulation's results.

These results might explain the occurrence of *DCX* re-expression in *DCX* RNAi rats, with the resumption



of normal bipolar migration [35]. When DCX is re-expressed, neurons are able to re-associate to the glial fiber, resulting in a normal bipolar morphology. Similarly, a highly bipolar morphology in DCX over-expression rats [27,31] could be explained by an intensive re-association of neurons that elevates the bipolar state.

A single change in the way Lis1 and DCX guide motility may explain phenotypic variations between rats and mice
 Studies investigating neuronal migration in Lis1 and DCX RNAi have typically focused on two model organisms, the rat and the mouse. Lis1 RNAi induced abnormal neuronal migration in both organisms [1]. In contrast, neuronal migration in DCX-RNAi animals showed a distinct phenotypic pattern: DCX RNAi in rats disrupted radial migration in the cortex [27,31], whereas DCX RNAi mice developed normally [31]. One possible hypothesis is that at the bipolar stage the mutual activity of Lis1 and DCX is different in the rat and the mouse. This hypothesis is supported by observations in Lis1 RNAi mice in which migration was largely restored under DCX over-expression [35], and concurs with previously suggested reciprocal functions and possible interaction between Lis1 and DCX [16,32,36].

In our model, reduced DCX activity generated an abnormal bipolar morphology consistent with that observed in DCX RNAi rats, rather than the normal

morphology observed in the DCX RNAi mice [31]. DCX activity in the model is independent of Lis1 since both regulators are triggered autonomously in multipolar neurons. Thus Lis1 functioned normally in DCX reduced activity conditions, suggesting that Lis1 activity alone is not sufficient to promote normal bipolar migration.

These experimental observations combined with the model behavior led us to hypothesize that the rat cortex requires expression of both DCX and Lis1 for neurons to enter the bipolar migration stage. In contrast, bipolar morphology in the mouse cortex requires that neurons either normally express Lis1 or over-express DCX (Table 1). From this we predict that since the rat cortex requires expression of both Lis1 and DCX, higher expression of DCX in Lis1 RNAi rats will not restore normal migration as observed for Lis1-defective mice [36]. In addition, since Lis1 activity is sufficient to promote bipolar migration in mice, over-expression of DCX in this organism will have no effect on bipolar migration. This prediction allows for the possibility that DCX over-expression in mice enhances bipolar morphology as has been observed in rats [30,33,34] (Table 1).

Conclusions

Our computational model of neuronal migration during brain development integrates a set of molecular sub-components that have been studied in isolation. In the

Table 1 Bipolar migration under varying expression levels of Lis1 and DCX regulators

Lis1	DCX	Bipolar Migration (mouse cortex)	Bipolar Migration (rat cortex)
Reduced	Reduced	Reduced	Abnormal
Reduced	Normal	Reduced	Abnormal
Reduced	Over	Normal	Abnormal (*)
Normal	Reduced	Normal	Abnormal
Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Normal	Over	Normal/Enhanced (*)	Enhanced

Bipolar morphology under different expression levels of DCX and Lis1 in the mouse and rat cortex. The asterisk symbol indicates predicted morphologies.

model, cells are represented as executable objects whose migration is determined by explicit interactions between molecular entities defined and specified as a molecular 'program'. Overall simulated migration is thus an outcome of the collective molecular-level interactions in each object. In-silico experiments were conducted by changing parameters, enabling hypotheses and predictions about the process to be tested. This model is a first step in utilizing in-silico models and computational approaches for neuronal migration research.

The model described here focuses on the interplay between two major intracellular regulators, Lis1 and DCX, the glial fiber, and two extracellular guidance and motility cues, GABA and Reelin. While these are a subset of key molecular components, they nevertheless represent functional classes of mechanisms implicated in neuronal migration: guidance cues (GABA and the glial fiber), motility regulators (Lis1 and DCX), and stop signals (Reelin).

The model generated complex dynamics and behaviors of the migration process that are in qualitative agreement with the migratory process of cortical neurons in normal and abnormal conditions. Specifically, our model reproduced the distinct migration stages in the known cortex zones [37], bipolar and multipolar cell states over time [1,2], cell population dynamics [17,38], and specific disruptions of the migration induced by manipulations of the key regulators, Lis1 and DCX [24,27]. Furthermore, simulated condition of reduced Lis1 activity revealed that neuroblasts repeatedly associate and dissociate with the glial fiber before initiating a glial-independent multipolar migration. In addition, we hypothesized that a single change in the way neurons interpret the guidance cues can explain phenotypic variation between different organisms. These hypotheses could guide future experimental study.

We simplified the model for this study, deliberately omitting several aspects of migration. Obvious next steps would be to undertake more comprehensive modeling of the role of Lis1, DCX, GABA and Reelin, for example by a gradual activity. Similarly, the model could be extended to include (i) cell density within the different regions, (ii) neuron-neuron interaction, (iii) neuron-glia interaction, (iv) retarding forces encountered by migrating neurons,

and (v) geometry of migrating neurons. Further elaborations might incorporate the role of migration factors we have excluded from the current study; for example, intracellular effectors known to influence neuronal migration such as CDK5 [39], signaling factors (e.g., Semaphorin-3A) [40] and calcium signaling [41]. Similarly, for the receptors implicated in migration, a possible refinement could incorporate in the model the effects of neuropilin-1 [40] and connexins [42].

More broadly, the neuronal migration model presented here highlights the potential for integrating theory, computational approaches and experimental data in neuroscience and in biology more generally [43-45]. Indeed, increasing focus is given to in-silico approaches for system-level analysis of the dynamics of complex biological systems (In-silico study of pancreatic morphogenesis and differentiation. Submitted; and [46-51]). We envision that in the long run, computational models will become important tools for understanding biological systems, for the identification of precise causes of abnormal development, and possibly disclose ways to restore normal development and function.

Methods

Setting up a computational platform

To compile the specifications of the model into an executable program (the Java source code of the model can be found in Additional File 5), we used MASON (Multi-Agent Simulator of Neighborhoods, <http://cs.gmu.edu/~eclab/projects/mason/>) coupled with Dynamic Data Display, a visualization tool developed by Microsoft Research (<http://dynamicdatadisplay.codeplex.com/>). MASON is an extendable, discrete event multi-agent simulation toolkit designed for multi-agent simulation tasks. This enables the model to simultaneously simulate operations and interactions of multiple agents, each operating a program using states and events that cause transitions between states, allowing the system to simultaneously drive several orthogonal states (designed in statecharts [52], using principles previously applied in [46]). Dynamic Data Display provides visualization of both current state and dynamic change from the previous state. The application uses linear interpolation algorithms to build intermediate values for

the discrete states to visualize changes of the system over time. We implemented the platform in C# and used WPF library for User Interface purposes and data binding between the datasets and the animated objects. Visualization was coded using Microsoft DirectX 9 technology (SlimDX DirectX 9 managed wrapper; slimdx.org).

Specifications of the neuronal migration model

A cortex cross-section is defined in the model as a 2D grid that overlays the agents and represents a cross section of the cortex. Glial fibers over the different cortical zones are represented by twenty-five vertically spanning routes (see illustration in Figure 7). The ventricular/sub-ventricular, the intermediate zone and the cortical plate, each span a third of the cortical cross-section. The grid specifies concentrations for GABA and Reelin in a way that matches their concentrations in real cortex; that is, Reelin concentration is specified in the CP, and GABA concentration is specified as a gradient from the CP (top area of the grid) to the SVZ (bottom area of the grid). As an approximation, we assumed a linear gradient for GABA and Reelin degradation. A neuron is represented by an agent whose activity is governed by the specifications described diagrammatically in Figure 8. The neuron agent consists of three different objects, Cell, Membrane and Effectors. The Cell object comprises two components, Migration Stage and Proliferation. The Migration

Stage component specifies possible migration stages for the agent. Two cell types, glial cells and migrating neurons, are distinguished by their specific roles in the simulation. Glial cells remain in the Glia state and proliferate, whereas neuron agents change their migration mode and evolve through the different developmental stages - Neuroblasts, Multipolar Stage and Bipolar Stage. The Proliferation component specifies five cell cycle phases G0, G1, S, G2 and Mitosis. Once a glial agent enters the Mitosis stage, a new agent is created and enters the Neuroblast state. The Effectors object serves as a cell- intrinsic regulation unit that specifies behaviors of two effectors: Lis1, DCX, each of which can be in an Active or Inactive state. The Membrane object serves as a cell-extrinsic signaling unit for the agent and consists of receptors that sense the cell's vicinity in the grid, and a motility unit that controls cell motion. The model has three components for the GABA_A, GABA_B and Reelin Receptors (which account for both VLDLR and ApoER2). Each receptor specifies activity in a binary fashion defined by an Unbound or Bound state. Similarly, the membrane specifies two states for the Astrotactin protein, Associated and Not Associated (with the glial fiber). The Motility component senses the close vicinity of the cells, continuously seeks possible moves and places the agent in a new position if applicable. The motion differs between agents in the states. Glial cells move vertically in four positions between the VZ and the SVZ during a cell cycle. Neuroblasts with glial-cell-associated Astrotactin migrate along the fiber. Multipolar neurons migrate randomly, whereas bipolar agents migrate vertically, provided that Reelin is not present. When Reelin is detected, the agent sets Astrotactin to Not Associated and calculates the nearest position at minimal distance from the IZ. To incorporate basal activity of regulators and receptors resulting from spontaneous intrinsic expression, we added noise to the transitions (i.e., a random element for transitions between the states). A cell may move or make a transition (e.g., from inactive to active) with a probability of 0.01. These transitions occur spontaneously in the absence of designated triggering events. We verified that the random element itself could not stimulate proper migration. Lis1- and DCX-defective simulations were implemented by erasing the Promote transition in the corresponding component; no additional constraints were forced upon the model. See the supplementary information in Additional File 6 for the result of a control experiment and the designs of the reduced activity in-silico experiments.





Figure 7 Illustration of the simulated cortical cross-section. Glial cells, whose nucleus positions lie at the bottom of the cross-section and their fiber is stretched to the surface of the cortex (of the cross-section). Reelin and GABA factors are present at densities that lie in a gradient from top to bottom of the cross-section.

Dynamic interactions in the simulation at run-time

Once the simulation executes, the cortex cross-section with 23 glial cells is initiated with 23 agents whose Migration Stage component is set to Glia and which are



positioned at the bottom of the grid (VZ). The Proliferation component of these cells continuously moves over the cell cycle stages and concurrently, the motion unit moves the agent vertically over the four bottom fiber indexes in the grid. When the agent enters the Mitosis stage, a new agent, whose state is set to Neuroblast is created. As the proliferation terminates, the Motility Unit places the glial cell in the initial position for G0 phase. Initially, the Effectors of the new agent are in an Inactive state. GABA_A, GABA_B and Reelin Receptors are set to Unbound and the Astrotactin is in the Associated state. As the simulation advances, the Motion Unit of the agent directs its migration radially over the glial fiber indexes in the grid. As the neuron moves along the glial fiber, it detects GABA concentration and sets the state of the GABA_A receptor to Bound. In turn, the cell promotes the Lis1 effector, which enters the Active state to maintain normal association with the fiber. On entry into the intermediate zone, Lis1 activity is reduced as the receptor loses its activity and moves its state to Unbound. In turn, the cell sets its state to Multipolar, the Astrotactin state to Not Associated and the motility unit directs random movement over the 2D space. When the GABA_B receptor detects the higher GABA concentrations (i.e., the values in the grid beyond a

predefined threshold) in the middle grid area (IZ zone), it sets its state to Bound and consequently sets the state of DCX and Lis1 to Active. The neuron then enters the Bipolar state, its Astrotactin becomes Associated and the Motility Unit directs a radial motion. Finally, when the Reelin receptors component detects Reelin in the cortex cross-section, its state is set to Bound, the Astrotactin moves into the Not Associated state and the Motility Unit searches for an optimal location to accumulate.

Mathematical analysis of the simulation outputs

To determine multipolar and bipolar stage of agents from the path formed at a specific time point, we employ the motion directionality analysis in [25] as follows:

$$J = \frac{d}{l}, \quad (1)$$

where $d = \sqrt{(x(t_{k+1}) - x(t_k))^2 + (y(t_{k+1}) - y(t_k))^2}$ is the net displacement during a time subdivision (t_k , t_{k+1}) \subseteq (0, t_n) for which the neuron preserves the same migration stage, where t_n is the final time point of the simulation, $0 < k < n$, and $l = T(< u_{\bar{x}} >^2 + < u_{\bar{y}} >^2)$ (for a time

interval T) is the length of the neuron path. The index J scores values in the range between 0 and 1 to specify directionality of an agent motion (0 for complete randomness and 1 for movement along a straight line). This parameter quantifies the amount of entropy in the movement of a neuron by comparing the length of the total path of the cell between two different time points and the straight distance between them. It assesses whether the movement of the cell lean toward deterministic or random. This parameter can therefore be used to distinguish between the more directed bipolar movement and the more random multipolar migration. Therefore, the higher the J -index score, the more likely the neuron is bipolar, and vice versa; the lower the score, the more likely the neuron is multipolar. The J -index threshold that distinguishes multipolar from bipolar was determined by an optimization algorithm aimed at maximizing agreement with the multipolar population data at three time points: 1/3, 2/3 and end of simulation. The optimization approach uses a simulated annealing algorithm composed of successive trials, each of which sets new thresholds as the arithmetic mean of the two optimal previously computed thresholds, with noise insertion to avoid local maxima. The optimization yielded a range of threshold values 0.2 to 0.4 inclusive, from which we set the threshold to be 0.25 as in [25]. The velocities of neurons are computed for each time interval $(t_k, t_{k+1}) \subseteq (0, t_n)$, as $\frac{dx}{dt}$ i.e., the ratio of the path length for the defined time interval to the length of the respective time interval:

$$n_x(t_{k+1}) = \frac{x_{t_{k+1}} - x_{t_k}}{t_{k+1} - t_k} \text{ and } n_y(t_{k+1}) = \frac{y_{t_{k+1}} - y_{t_k}}{t_{k+1} - t_k}$$

With n_x and n_y being the horizontal and vertical velocity components and x_{t_i} , y_{t_i} being the positions of the neuron at time t_i , $0 < i < n$; t_n is the final time point of the simulation and $0 < k < n$.

Additional material

Additional file 1: Recorded simulation of neuronal migration under normal conditions.

Additional file 2: Recorded simulation of neuronal migration under reduced *Lis1* activity.

Additional file 3: Oscillatory association-dissociation behavior of four representative neurons. Neurons are labeled green when they are disassociated from the glial fiber and change their color to red upon association.

Additional file 4: Recorded simulation of neuronal migration under reduced *DCX* activity.

Additional file 5: A compressed file of the source code of the model. Written in Java and compiled using Mason (see Material and Methods).

Additional file 6: Supplementary information: a control experiments and the design of the reduced activity in-silico experiments.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Orly Reiner and David Harel from the Weizmann Institute of Science for suggesting neuronal migration as a modeling subject and Hillel Kugler, Matthew Smith and Neil Dalchau of the Computational Science Laboratory at Microsoft Research for helpful discussions and comments. We would also like to thank Ken Duffy and Jeannette Valency for proofreading and correcting the manuscript thoroughly. This research was supported in part by an Advanced Research Grant from the European Research Council (ERC), under the EU's 7th Framework and through the National Biophotonics and Imaging Platform Ireland, funded by the Irish Government's Programme for Research in Third Level Institutions, Ireland's EU Structural Funds Programmes 2007 - 2013.

Author details

¹Computational Science Laboratory, Microsoft Research, Cambridge, CB3 0FB, UK. ²Department of Computer Science and Applied Mathematics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel. ³Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, France. ⁴European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany. ⁵The Faculty of Computational Mathematics and Cybernetics, Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia. ⁶The Hamilton Institute, National University of Ireland, Maynooth, Ireland.

Authors' contributions

YS conceived and coordinated the study, designed the computational model and the in-silico experiments, analyzed the data and produced the figures. CCC carried out the in-silico simulations. NS built the visualization platform. MS and DK carried out the mathematical analysis in Figure 3C and Figure 3D. YS, DK and SE wrote the paper. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 18 February 2011 Accepted: 30 September 2011

Published: 30 September 2011

References

- LoTurco JJ, Bai J: **The multipolar stage and disruptions in neuronal migration.** *Trends Neurosci* 2006, **29**(7):407-413.
- Ayala R, Shu T, Tsai LH: **Trekking across the brain: the journey of neuronal migration.** *Cell* 2007, **128**(1):29-43.
- Rice DS, Curran T: **Role of the reelin signaling pathway in central nervous system development.** *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2001, **24**:1005-1039.
- Trommsdorff M, Gotthardt M, Hiesberger T, Shelton J, Stockinger W, Nimpf J, Hammer RE, Richardson JA, Herz J: **Reeler/Disabled-like disruption of neuronal migration in knockout mice lacking the VLDL receptor and ApoE receptor 2.** *Cell* 1999, **97**(6):689-701.
- Zheng W, Yuan X: **Guidance of cortical radial migration by gradient of diffusible factors.** *Cell Adh Migr* 2008, **2**(1):48-50.
- Zubler F, Douglas R: **A framework for modeling the growth and development of neurons and networks.** *Front Comput Neurosci* 2009, **3**:25.
- Behar TN, Schaffner AE, Scott CA, Greene CL, Barker JL: **GABA receptor antagonists modulate postmitotic cell migration in slice cultures of embryonic rat cortex.** *Cereb Cortex* 2000, **10**(9):899-909.
- Forster E, Tielsch A, Saum B, Weiss KH, Johanssen C, Graus-Porta D, Muller U, Frotscher M: **Reelin, Disabled 1, and beta 1 integrins are required for the formation of the radial glial scaffold in the hippocampus.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002, **99**(20):13178-13183.
- Franco SJ, Martinez-Garay I, Gil-Sanz C, Harkins-Perry SR, Muller U: **Reelin regulates cadherin function via Dab1/Rap1 to control neuronal migration and lamination in the neocortex.** *Neuron* 2011, **69**(3):482-497.
- Meyer G, Goffinet AM, Fairen A: **What is a Cajal-Retzius cell? A reassessment of a classical cell type based on recent observations in the developing neocortex.** *Cereb Cortex* 1999, **9**(8):765-775.
- Hack I, Bancila M, Loulier K, Carroll P, Cremer H: **Reelin is a detachment signal in tangential chain-migration during postnatal neurogenesis.** *Nat Neurosci* 2002, **5**(10):939-945.
- Horesh D, Sapir T, Francis F, Wolf SG, Caspi M, Elbaum M, Chelly J, Reiner O: **Doublecortin, a stabilizer of microtubules.** *Hum Mol Genet* 1999, **8**(9):1599-1610.

13. Kappeler C, Saillour Y, Baudoin JP, Tuy FP, Alvarez C, Houbron C, Gaspar P, Hamard G, Chelly J, Metin C, et al: **Branching and nucleokinesis defects in migrating interneurons derived from doublecortin knockout mice.** *Hum Mol Genet* 2006, **15**(9):1387-1400.
14. Pramparo T, Youn YH, Yingling J, Hirotsune S, Wynshaw-Boris A: **Novel embryonic neuronal migration and proliferation defects in Dcx mutant mice are exacerbated by Lis1 reduction.** *J Neurosci* 2010, **30**(8):3002-3012.
15. Hippenmeyer S, Youn YH, Moon HM, Miyamichi K, Zong H, Wynshaw-Boris A, Luo L: **Genetic mosaic dissection of Lis1 and Ndel1 in neuronal migration.** *Neuron* 2010, **68**(4):695-709.
16. Caspi M, Atlas R, Kantor A, Sapir T, Reiner O: **Interaction between LIS1 and doublecortin, two lissencephaly gene products.** *Hum Mol Genet* 2000, **9**(15):2205-2213.
17. Kriegstein AR, Noctor SC: **Patterns of neuronal migration in the embryonic cortex.** *Trends Neurosci* 2004, **27**(7):392-399.
18. Gleeson JG: **Classical lissencephaly and double cortex (subcortical band heterotopia): LIS1 and doublecortin.** *Curr Opin Neurol* 2000, **13**(2):121-125.
19. Fishell G, Hatten ME: **Astroctactin provides a receptor system for CNS neuronal migration.** *Development* 1991, **113**(3):755-765.
20. Meyer G, Goffinet AM: **Prenatal development of reelin-immunoreactive neurons in the human neocortex.** *J Comp Neurol* 1998, **397**(1):29-40.
21. Tsai JW, Bremner KH, Vallee RB: **Dual subcellular roles for LIS1 and dynein in radial neuronal migration in live brain tissue.** *Nat Neurosci* 2007, **10**(8):970-979.
22. Williams SN, Locke CJ, Braden AL, Caldwell KA, Caldwell GA: **Epileptic-like convulsions associated with LIS-1 in the cytoskeletal control of neurotransmitter signaling in *Caenorhabditis elegans*.** *Hum Mol Genet* 2004, **13**(18):2043-2059.
23. Tabata H, Nakajima K: **Multipolar migration: the third mode of radial neuronal migration in the developing cerebral cortex.** *J Neurosci* 2003, **23**(31):9996-10001.
24. Tsai JW, Chen Y, Kriegstein AR, Vallee RB: **LIS1 RNA interference blocks neural stem cell division, morphogenesis, and motility at multiple stages.** *J Cell Biol* 2005, **170**(6):935-945.
25. Distasi C, Ariano P, Zamburlin P, Ferraro M: **In vitro analysis of neuron-glia cell interactions during cellular migration.** *Eur Biophys J* 2002, **31**(2):81-88.
26. Vallee RB, Tsai JW: **The cellular roles of the lissencephaly gene LIS1, and what they tell us about brain development.** *Genes Dev* 2006, **20**(11):1384-1393.
27. Bai J, Ramos RL, Ackman JB, Thomas AM, Lee RV, LoTurco JJ: **RNAi reveals doublecortin is required for radial migration in rat neocortex.** *Nat Neurosci* 2003, **6**(12):1277-1283.
28. Reiner O, Gdalyahu A, Ghosh I, Levy T, Sapoznik S, Nir R, Sapir T: **DCX's phosphorylation by not just another kinase (JNK).** *Cell Cycle* 2004, **3**(6):747-751.
29. Koizumi H, Tanaka T, Gleeson JG: **Doublecortin-like kinase functions with doublecortin to mediate fiber tract decussation and neuronal migration.** *Neuron* 2006, **49**(1):55-66.
30. LoTurco J: **Doublecortin and a tale of two serines.** *Neuron* 2004, **41**(2):175-177.
31. Ramos RL, Bai J, LoTurco JJ: **Heterotopia formation in rat but not mouse neocortex after RNA interference knockdown of DCX.** *Cereb Cortex* 2006, **16**(9):1323-1331.
32. Bai J, Ramos RL, Paramasivam M, Siddiqi F, Ackman JB, LoTurco JJ: **The role of DCX and LIS1 in migration through the lateral cortical stream of developing forebrain.** *Dev Neurosci* 2008, **30**(1-3):144-156.
33. Bielas SL, Gleeson JG: **Cytoskeletal-associated proteins in the migration of cortical neurons.** *J Neurobiol* 2004, **58**(1):149-159.
34. Schaar BT, Kinoshita K, McConnell SK: **Doublecortin microtubule affinity is regulated by a balance of kinase and phosphatase activity at the leading edge of migrating neurons.** *Neuron* 2004, **41**(2):203-213.
35. Manent JB, Wang Y, Chang Y, Paramasivam M, LoTurco JJ: **Dcx reexpression reduces subcortical band heterotopia and seizure threshold in an animal model of neuronal migration disorder.** *Nat Med* 2009, **15**(1):84-90.
36. Tanaka T, Serneo FF, Higgins C, Gambello MJ, Wynshaw-Boris A, Gleeson JG: **Lis1 and doublecortin function with dynein to mediate coupling of the nucleus to the centrosome in neuronal migration.** *J Cell Biol* 2004, **165**(5):709-721.
37. Noctor SC, Martinez-Cerdeno V, Ivic L, Kriegstein AR: **Cortical neurons arise in symmetric and asymmetric division zones and migrate through specific phases.** *Nat Neurosci* 2004, **7**(2):136-144.
38. Edmondson JC, Liem RK, Kuster JE, Hatten ME: **Astroctactin: a novel neuronal cell surface antigen that mediates neuron-astroglial interactions in cerebellar microcultures.** *J Cell Biol* 1988, **106**(2):505-517.
39. Zukerberg LR, Patrick GN, Nikolic M, Humbert S, Wu CL, Lanier LM, Gertler FB, Vidal M, Van Etten RA, Tsai LH: **Cables links Cdk5 and c-Abl and facilitates Cdk5 tyrosine phosphorylation, kinase upregulation, and neurite outgrowth.** *Neuron* 2000, **26**(3):633-646.
40. Chen G, Sima J, Jin M, Wang KY, Xue XJ, Zheng W, Ding YQ, Yuan XB: **Semaphorin-3A guides radial migration of cortical neurons during development.** *Nat Neurosci* 2008, **11**(1):36-44.
41. Weissman TA, Riquelme PA, Ivic L, Flint AC, Kriegstein AR: **Calcium waves propagate through radial glial cells and modulate proliferation in the developing neocortex.** *Neuron* 2004, **43**(5):647-661.
42. Elias LA, Wang DD, Kriegstein AR: **Gap junction adhesion is necessary for radial migration in the neocortex.** *Nature* 2007, **448**(7156):901-907.
43. Edelman LB, Chandrasekaran S, Price ND: **Systems biology of embryogenesis.** *Reprod Fertil Dev* 2010, **22**(1):98-105.
44. Palsson B: **The challenges of in silico biology.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2000, **18**(11):1147-1150.
45. van Ooyen A: **Using theoretical models to analyse neural development.** *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2011, **12**(6):311-326.
46. Setty Y, Cohen IR, Dor Y, Harel D: **Four-dimensional realistic modeling of pancreatic organogenesis.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008, **105**(51):20374-20379.
47. Kugler H, Larjo A, Harel D: **Biocharts: a visual formalism for complex biological systems.** *J R Soc Interface* 2010, **7**(48):1015-1024.
48. Kam N, Kugler H, Marely R, Appleby L, Fisher J, Phueli A, Harel D, Stern MJ, Hubbard EJ: **A scenario-based approach to modeling development: a prototype model of *C. elegans* vulval fate specification.** *Dev Biol* 2008, **323**(1):1-5.
49. Wang DY, Cardelli L, Phillips A, Piterman N, Fisher J: **Computational modeling of the EGFR network elucidates control mechanisms regulating signal dynamics.** *BMC Syst Biol* 2009, **3**:118.
50. Fisher J, Henzinger TA: **Executable cell biology.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2007, **25**(11):1239-1249.
51. Setty Y, Dalfó D, Korta DZ, Hubbard EJA, Kugler H: **A model of stem cell population dynamics: in-silico analysis and in-vivo validation.** *Development* 2011.
52. Harel D: **Statecharts: A visual formalism for complex systems.** *Sci Comput Program* 1987, **8**(3):231-274.
53. Yokota Y, Gashghaei HT, Han C, Watson H, Campbell KJ, Anton ES: **Radial glial dependent and independent dynamics of interneuronal migration in the developing cerebral cortex.** *PLoS One* 2007, **2**(8):e794.

doi:10.1186/1752-0509-5-154

Cite this article as: Setty et al: How neurons migrate: a dynamic in-silico model of neuronal migration in the developing cortex. *BMC Systems Biology* 2011 **5**:154.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

