

A note on operator tuples which are (m, p) -isometric as well as (μ, ∞) -isometric

Philipp H. W. Hoffmann

Abstract

We show that if a tuple of commuting, bounded linear operators $(T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$ is both an (m, p) -isometry and a (μ, ∞) -isometry, then the tuple (T_1^m, \dots, T_d^m) is a $(1, p)$ -isometry. We further prove some additional properties of the operators T_1, \dots, T_d and show a stronger result in the case of a commuting pair (T_1, T_2) .

Keywords: operator tuple, normed space, Banach space, m -isometry, (m, p) -isometry, (m, ∞) -isometry

AMS Subject Classification: 47B99, 05A10

1 Introduction

Let in the following X be a normed vector space over $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ and let the symbol \mathbb{N} denote the natural numbers including 0.

A tuple of commuting linear operators $T := (T_1, \dots, T_d)$ with $T_j : X \rightarrow X$ is called an (m, p) -isometry (or an (m, p) -isometric tuple) if, and only if, for given $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x\|^p = 0, \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (1.1)$$

Here, $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ is a multi-index, $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d$ the sum of its entries, $\frac{k!}{\alpha!} := \frac{k!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_d!}$ a multinomial coefficient and $T^\alpha := T_1^{\alpha_1} \dots T_d^{\alpha_d}$, where $T_j^0 := I$ is the identity operator.

Tuples of this kind have been introduced by Gleason and Richter [10] on Hilbert spaces (for $p = 2$) and have been further studied on general normed spaces in [8]. The tuple case generalises the single operator case, originating in the works of Richter [11] and Agler [2] in the 1980s and being comprehensively studied in the Hilbert space case by Agler and Stankes [3]; the single operator case on Banach spaces has been introduced by Bayart in [4] in its general form and also has also been studied in [7] and [12]. We remark that boundedness, although usually assumed, is not essential for the definition of (m, p) -isometries, as shown by Bermúdez, Martínón and Müller in [5]. Boundedness does, however, play an important role in the theory of objects of the following kind:

Let $B(X)$ denote the algebra of bounded (i.e. continuous) linear operators on X . Equating sums over even and odd k and then considering $p \rightarrow \infty$ in

(1.1), leads to the definition of (m, ∞) -isometries (or (m, ∞) -isometric tuples). That is, a tuple of commuting, bounded linear operators $T \in B(X)^d$ is referred to as an (m, ∞) -isometry if, and only if, for given $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 1$,

$$\max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0, \dots, m \\ |\alpha| \text{ even}}} \|T^\alpha x\| = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0, \dots, m \\ |\alpha| \text{ odd}}} \|T^\alpha x\|, \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (1.2)$$

These tuples have been introduced in [8], with the definition of the single operator case appearing in [9]. Although, it may be possible that tuples of unbounded operators satisfying (1.2) exist, several important statements on (m, ∞) -isometries require boundedness. Therefore, from now on, we will always assume the operators T_1, \dots, T_d to be bounded.

In [8], the question is asked what necessary properties a commuting tuple $T \in B(X)^d$ has to satisfy if it is both an (m, p) -isometry and a (μ, ∞) -isometry, where possibly $m \neq \mu$. In the single operator case this question is trivial and answered in [9]: If $T = T_1$ is a single operator, then the condition that T_1 is an (m, p) -isometry is equivalent to the mapping $n \mapsto \|T_1^n x\|^p$ being a polynomial of degree $\leq m-1$ for all $x \in X$. This has been already been observed for operators on Hilbert spaces in [10] and shown in the Banach space/normed space case in [9]; the necessity of the mapping $n \mapsto \|T_1^n x\|^p$ being a polynomial has already been proven in [4] and [6]. On the other hand, in [9] it is shown that if a bounded operator $T = T_1 \in B(X)$ is a (μ, ∞) -isometry, then the mapping $n \mapsto \|T_1^n x\|$ is bounded for all $x \in X$. The conclusion is obvious: if $T = T_1 \in B(X)$ is both (m, p) - and (μ, ∞) -isometric, then $n \mapsto \|T_1^n x\|^p$ is always constant and T_1 has to be an isometry (and, since every isometry is (m, p) - and (μ, ∞) -isometric, we have equivalence).

The situation is, however, far more difficult in the multivariate, that is, in the operator tuple case. Again, we have equivalence between $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$ being an (m, p) -isometry and the mapping $n \mapsto \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x\|^p$ being polynomial of degree $\leq m-1$ for all $x \in X$. The necessity part of this statement has been proven in the Hilbert space case in [10] and equivalence in the general case has been shown in [8]. On the other hand, one can show that if $T \in B(X)^d$ is a (μ, ∞) -isometry, then the family $(\|T^\alpha x\|)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ is bounded for all $x \in X$, which has been proven in [8]. But this fact only implies that the polynomial growth of $n \mapsto \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x\|^p$ has to be caused by the factors $\frac{n!}{\alpha!}$ and does not immediately give us any further information about the tuple T .

There are several results in special cases proved in [8]. For instance, if a commuting tuple $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$ is an (m, p) -isometry as well as a (μ, ∞) -isometry and we have $m = 1$ or $\mu = 1$ or $m = \mu = d = 2$, then there exists one operator $T_{j_0} \in \{T_1, \dots, T_d\}$ which is an isometry and the remaining operators T_k for $k \neq j_0$ are in particular nilpotent of order m . Although, we are not able to obtain such a results for general $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu, d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, yet, we can prove a weaker property: In all proofs of the cases discussed in [8], the fact that the tuple (T_1^m, \dots, T_d^m) is a $(1, p)$ -isometry is of critical importance (see the proofs of [8, Theorem 7.1 and Proposition 7.3]). We will show in this paper that this fact holds in general for any tuple which is both (m, p) -isometric and (μ, ∞) -isometric, for general m, μ and d .

The notation we will be using is basically standard, with one possible exception: We will denote the tuple of $d-1$ operators obtained by removing one operator T_{j_0} from (T_1, \dots, T_d) by T'_{j_0} , that is $T'_{j_0} := (T_1, \dots, T_{j_0-1}, T_{j_0+1}, \dots, T_d) \in$

$B(X)^{d-1}$ (not to be confused with the dual of the operator T_{j_0} , which will not appear in this paper). Analogously, we denote by α'_{j_0} the multi-index obtained by removing α_{j_0} from $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$.

We will further use the notations $R(T_j)$ for the range and $N(T_j)$ for the kernel (or nullspace) of an operator T_j .

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce two needed definitions/notations and compile a number of propositions and theorems, predominantly taken from [8], which are necessary for our considerations.

In the following, for $T \in B(X)^d$ and given $p \in (0, \infty)$, define for all $x \in X$ the sequences $(Q^{n,p}(T, x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by

$$Q^{n,p}(T, x) := \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x\|^p.$$

Define further for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x \in X$, the mappings $P_\ell^{(p)}(T, \cdot) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by

$$\begin{aligned} P_\ell^{(p)}(T, x) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{\ell-k} \binom{\ell}{k} Q^{k,p}(T, x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{\ell-k} \binom{\ell}{k} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $T \in B(X)^d$ is an (m, p) -isometry if, and only if, $P_m^{(p)}(T, \cdot) \equiv 0$.

If the context is clear, we will simply write $P_\ell(x)$ and $Q^n(x)$ instead of $P_\ell^{(p)}(T, x)$ and $Q^{n,p}(T, x)$.

Further, for $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, define the (descending) Pochhammer symbol $n^{(k)}$ as follows:

$$n^{(k)} := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k > n, \\ \binom{n}{k} k!, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then $n^{(0)} = 0^{(0)} = 1$ and, if $n, k > 0$ and $k \leq n$, we have

$$n^{(k)} = n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1).$$

As mentioned above, a fundamental property of (m, p) -isometries is that their defining property can be expressed in terms of polynomial sequences.

Theorem 2.1 ([8, Theorem 3.1]). *$T \in B(X)^d$ is an (m, p) -isometry if, and only if, there exists a family of polynomials $f_x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x \in X$, of degree $\leq m-1$ with $f_x|_{\mathbb{N}} = (Q^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.*¹

This actually follows by the (not immediate²) application of a well-known theorem about functions defined on the natural numbers, which itself will be needed for our considerations as well. We give it here in a simplified form which is sufficient for our needs.

¹Set $\deg 0 := -\infty$ to account for the case $m = 0$.

²The application of Theorem 2.2 to (m, p) -isometries by setting $a = (Q_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not immediate, since the requirement $P_m(T, x) = 0$ is only the case $n = 0$ in (2.1).

Theorem 2.2 (see, for instance, [1, Satz 3.1]). *Let $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} a_{n+k} = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2.1)$$

if, and only if, there exists a polynomial function f of degree $\deg f \leq m-1$ with $f|_{\mathbb{N}} = a$.¹

Two important consequences of Theorem 2.1 are contained in the following corollary. The first part describes the Newton-form of the Lagrange-polynomial f_x interpolating $(Q^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. The second part trivially describes the leading coefficient of f_x .

Corollary 2.3 ([8, Proposition 3.2]). *Let $m \geq 1$ and $T \in B(X)^d$ be an (m, p) -isometry. Then we have*

(i) *for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$Q^n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} n^{(k)} \left(\frac{1}{k!} P_k(x) \right), \quad \forall x \in X;$$

(ii)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q^n(x)}{n^{m-1}} = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} P_{m-1}(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Regarding (m, ∞) -isometries, we will need the following two statements. Theorem 2.5 is a combination of several fundamental properties of (m, ∞) -isometric tuples.

Proposition 2.4 ([8, Corollary 5.1]). *Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be an (m, ∞) -isometry. Then $(\|T^\alpha x\|)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ is bounded, for all $x \in X$, and*

$$\max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \|T^\alpha x\| = \max_{|\alpha|=0, \dots, m-1} \|T^\alpha x\|,$$

for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 2.5 ([8, Proposition 5.5, Theorem 5.1 and Remark 5.2]). *Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be an (m, ∞) -isometric tuple. Define the norm $|\cdot|_\infty : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ via $|x|_\infty := \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \|T^\alpha x\|$, for all $x \in X$, and denote*

$$X_{j, |\cdot|_\infty} := \{x \in X \mid |x|_\infty = |T_j^n x|_\infty \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then

$$X = \bigcup_{j=1, \dots, d} X_{j, |\cdot|_\infty}.$$

(Note that, by Proposition 2.4, $|\cdot|_\infty = \|\cdot\|$ if $m = 1$.)

We will also require a fundamental fact on tuples which are both (m, p) - and (μ, ∞) -isometric and an (almost) immediate corollary.

Lemma 2.6 ([8, Lemma 7.2]). *Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be an (m, p) -isometry as well as a (μ, ∞) -isometry. Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ be a multi-index with the property that $|\gamma'_j| \geq m$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Then $T^\gamma = 0$.*

Conversely, this implies that if an operator T^α is not the zero-operator, the multi-index α has to be of a specific form. The proof in [8] of the following corollary appears to be overly complicated, the statement is just the negation of the previous lemma.

Corollary 2.7 ([8, Corollary 7.1]). *Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be an (m, p) -isometry for some $m \geq 1$ as well as a (μ, ∞) -isometry. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ is a multi-index with $T^\alpha \neq 0$ and $|\alpha| = n$, then there exists some $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ with $T^\alpha = T_{j_0}^{n-|\alpha'_{j_0}|} (T'_{j_0})^{\alpha'_{j_0}}$ and $|\alpha'_{j_0}| \leq m - 1$.*

This fact has consequences for the appearance of elements of the sequences $(Q^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, since several summands become zero for large enough n . That is, we have trivially by definition of $(Q^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$:

Corollary 2.8 ([8, proof of Theorem 7.1]). *Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be an (m, p) -isometry for some $m \geq 1$ as well as a (μ, ∞) -isometry. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2m - 1$, we have*

$$Q^n(x) = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=0, \dots, m-1}} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{n!}{(n-|\beta|)! \beta!} \|T_j^{n-|\beta|} (T'_j)^\beta x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

where $\frac{n!}{(n-|\beta|)! \beta!} = \frac{n^{(|\beta|)}}{\beta!}$. (We set $n \geq 2m - 1$ to ensure that every multi-index only appears once.)

3 The main result

We first present the main result of this article, which is a generalisation of [8, Proposition 7.3], before stating a preliminary lemma needed for its proof.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be an (m, p) -isometric as well a (μ, ∞) -isometric tuple. Then*

(i) *the sequences $n \mapsto \|T_j^n x\|$ become constant for $n \geq m$, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, for all $x \in X$.*

(ii) *the tuple (T_1^m, \dots, T_d^m) is a $(1, p)$ -isometry, that is*

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^m x\|^p = \|x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

(iii) *for any $(n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $n_j \geq m$ for all j , the operators $\sum_{j=1}^d T_j^{n_j}$ are isometries, that is*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^d T_j^{n_j} x \right\| = \|x\|, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Of course, (i) and (ii) imply that, for any $(n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $n_j \geq m$ for all j ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^{n_j} x\|^p = \|x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

Theorem 3.1 is a consequence of the following lemma, which is a weaker version of 3.1.(i).

Lemma 3.2. *Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be an (m, p) -isometric as well as a (μ, ∞) -isometric tuple. Let further $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ be a multi-index with $|\kappa| \geq 1$. Then the mappings*

$$n \mapsto \|T_j^n (T'_j)^\kappa x\|$$

become constant for $n \geq m$, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, for all $x \in X$.

Proof. If $m = 0$, then $X = \{0\}$ and if $m = 1$, the statement holds trivially, since $T_j T_i = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ by Lemma 2.6. So assume $m \geq 2$. Further, it clearly suffices to consider $|\kappa| = 1$, since the statement then holds for all $x \in X$. The proof, however, works by proving the theorem for $|\kappa| \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ in descending order. (Note that the case $|\kappa| \geq m$ is also trivial, again by Lemma 2.6.)

Since for $n \geq 2m-1$, by Corollary 2.8,

$$Q^n(x) = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=0, \dots, m-1}} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{n^{(|\beta|)}}{\beta!} \|T_j^{n-|\beta|} (T'_j)^\beta x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

and $P_{m-1}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q^n(x)}{n^{m-1}}$, for all $x \in X$, by Corollary 2.3.(ii), we have that

$$P_{m-1}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=m-1}} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_j^n (T'_j)^\beta x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Now fix an arbitrary $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ with $|\kappa| \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$. Again, by Lemma 2.6, we have, for any $\nu \geq 1$,

$$P_{m-1} (T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (3.1)$$

Now let $\nu \geq m$ and set $\ell := m - |\kappa|$. Then $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ and $|\kappa| = m - \ell$.

We again apply Lemma 2.6, this time to $Q^k(T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x)$. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q^k(T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x) &= \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha (T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x)\|^p \\
 &= \|T_{j_0}^k (T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x)\|^p + \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=j}} \frac{k!}{(k-j)!\beta!} \|T_{j_0}^{k-j} (T'_{j_0})^\beta (T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x)\|^p \\
 &\stackrel{2.6}{=} \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k} (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x\|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\min\{k, \ell-1\}} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=j}} \frac{k!}{(k-j)!\beta!} \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k-j} (T'_{j_0})^{\kappa+\beta} x\|^p \\
 &= \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k} (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x\|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} k^{(j)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=j}} \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k-j} (T'_{j_0})^{\kappa+\beta} x\|^p,
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $x \in X$. Here, in the third line, the fact that $\nu \geq m$ is used, where in the last line, we utilise the fact that $k^{(j)} = 0$ if $j > k$.

We now prove our statement by (finite) induction on ℓ .

$\ell = 1$:

For $\ell = 1$ and $|\kappa| = m - 1$, we have

$$Q^k (T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x) = \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k} (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x\|^p, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in X.$$

Hence, since $P_{m-1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} Q^k(x)$ by definition, we have, by (3.1),

$$P_{m-1} (T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k} (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x\|^p = 0, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

However, by definition, that means, that the operator $T_{j_0}|_{R(T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa)}$ (that is, T_{j_0} restricted to the range of $T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa$) is an $(m-1, p)$ -isometric operator.

By Theorem 2.1 (or, as mentioned in the introduction, by statements proven by earlier authors), this implies that the sequences $n \mapsto \|T_{j_0}^{n+\nu} (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x\|^p$ is polynomial of degree $\leq m-2$, for all $x \in X$. Thus, $n \mapsto \|T_{j_0}^n (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x\|^p$, become polynomial of degree $\leq m-2$, for $n \geq \nu \geq m$, for all $x \in X$.

However, since T is a (μ, ∞) -isometric tuple, by Proposition 2.4 the sequences $n \mapsto \|T_j^n x\|$ are bounded for all $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, for all $x \in X$. Therefore, we must have that the mappings

$$n \mapsto \|T_{j_0}^n (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x\|$$

become constant for $n \geq m$, for all $x \in X$.

Since $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$, if we had $m = 2$, we are already done. So assume in the following that $m \geq 3$.

$\ell \rightarrow \ell + 1$:

Assume that the statement holds for some $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m-2\}$. That is, for all $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ with $|\kappa| = m - \ell$ the sequences

$$n \mapsto \|T_{j_0}^n (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x\|$$

become constant for $n \geq m$, for all $x \in X$.

Now take a multi-index $\tilde{\kappa} \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ with $|\tilde{\kappa}| = m - (\ell + 1)$ and consider

$$Q^k(T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}} x) = \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k} (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}} x\|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} k^{(j)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=j}} \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k-j} (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}+\beta} x\|^p.$$

Note that we have $|\tilde{\kappa} + \beta| \geq m - \ell$, since $|\beta| \geq 1$. Hence, if $k \geq j$, by our induction assumption,

$$\|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k-j} (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}+\beta} x\|^p = \|T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}+\beta} x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

since $n \mapsto \|T_{j_0}^n (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}+\beta} x\|$ become constant for $n \geq \nu \geq m$.

Hence, we have

$$Q^k(T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}} x) = \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k} (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}} x\|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} k^{(j)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=j}} \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}+\beta} x\|^p.$$

Then, by definition and 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= P_{m-1} \left(T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}} x \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} Q^k(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k} (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}} x\|^p \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} k^{(j)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=j}} \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}+\beta} x\|^p \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. But now, for all $x \in X$, the sequence

$$k \mapsto \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} k^{(j)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=j}} \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}+\beta} x\|^p \right)$$

is polynomial (in k) of degree $\leq \ell - 1 \leq m - 3$ (with trailing coefficient 0). Hence, by Theorem 2.2,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} k^{(j)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=j}} \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}+\beta} x\|^p \right) = 0$$

and, thus,

$$0 = P_{m-1} \left(T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{k}} x \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k} (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{k}} x\|^p,$$

for all $x \in X$. Now we can repeat the argument from the case $\ell = 1$ (that is, T_{j_0} restricted to the range of $T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{k}}$ is an $(m-1, p)$ -isometric operator), to obtain again that the sequences

$$n \mapsto \|T_{j_0}^n (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{k}} x\|$$

become constant for $n \geq \nu \geq m$, for all $x \in X$. This concludes the induction step and the proof. \square

We can now prove the main result.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By the lemma above, we have for $n \geq 2m-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q^n(x) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=0, \dots, m-1}} n^{(|\beta|)} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_j^{n-|\beta|} (T'_j)^\beta x\|^p \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=1, \dots, m-1}} n^{(|\beta|)} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_j^m (T'_j)^\beta x\|^p + \sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^n x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

That is, for all $x \in X$, for $n \geq m-1$, the sequences $n \mapsto Q^n(x)$ are almost polynomial (of degree $\leq m-1$), with the term $\sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^n x\|^p$ instead of a (constant) trailing coefficient.

However, by Corollary 2.3.(i), we know that for any $x \in X$, the sequence $n \mapsto Q^n(x)$ are indeed polynomial. Since, by Proposition 2.4, for each $x \in X$, the sequence $n \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^n x\|^p$ is bounded, we can successive compare and remove coefficients of the formula for $Q_n(x)$ as given in 2.3.(i) and (3.2), until we eventually obtain that

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^n x\|^p = \|x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X, \quad \forall n \geq 2m-1. \quad (3.3)$$

Since $T_i^m T_j^m = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, by Lemma 2.6, replacing x by $T_j^\nu x$ with $\nu \geq m$ in this last equation, gives $\|T_j^\nu x\| = \|T_j^{n+\nu} x\|$ for all $n \geq 2m-1$, for all $x \in X$.

Hence, the sequences $n \mapsto \|T_j^n x\|$ become constant for $n \geq m$, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, for all $x \in X$. This is 3.1.(i).

But then, (3.3) becomes

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^m x\|^p = \|x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

This is 3.1.(ii).

Now take any $(n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $n_j \geq m$ for all j and replace x in the equation above by $\sum_{j=1}^d T_j^{n_j}$. Then, again, since $T_i^m T_j^m = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and since $n \mapsto \|T_j^n x\|$ become constant for $n \geq m$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^{m+n_j} x\|^p = \sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^m x\|^p = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^d T_j^{n_j} x \right\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Together with 3.1.(i), this implies 3.1.(iii). \square

It is clear that we have a stronger result if one of the operators $T_{j_0} \in \{T_1, \dots, T_d\}$ is surjective. Theorem 3.1.(i) then forces this operator to be an isometric isomorphism and by 3.1.(ii) the remaining operators are nilpotent.

If one of the operators $T_{j_0} \in \{T_1, \dots, T_d\}$ is injective, by Lemma 2.6 and 3.1.(ii) we obtain at least that $T_{j_0}^m$ is an isometry and the remaining operators are nilpotent. However, while, by definition of an (m, p) -isometry, we must have $\bigcap_{j=1}^d N(T_j) = \{0\}$, it is not clear that the kernel of a single operator has to be trivial.

4 Some further remarks and the case $d = 2$

We finish this note with a stronger result for the case of a commuting pair $(T_1, T_2) \in B(X)^d$. We first state the following two easy corollaries of Theorem 3.1 which hold for general d .

Corollary 4.1. *Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be an (m, p) -isometry as well as a (μ, ∞) -isometry. Then $T_j^m = 0$ or $\|T_j^m\| = 1$ for any $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1.(ii) we have $\|T_j^m\| \leq 1$ for any j . On the other hand, by 3.1.(i) we have

$$\|T_j^m x\| = \|T_j^{m+1} x\| \leq \|T_j^m\| \cdot \|T_j^m x\|, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

for any j . That is, $T_j^m = 0$ or $\|T_j^m\| \geq 1$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be an (m, p) -isometry as well as a (μ, ∞) -isometry. Define $|\cdot|_\infty : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $X_{j, |\cdot|_\infty}$ as in Theorem 2.5. Then*

$$X_{j, |\cdot|_\infty} = \{x \in X \mid \exists \alpha(x) \in \mathbb{N}^d, \text{ s.th. } |\alpha(x)| \leq \mu - 1 \text{ and } |x|_\infty = \|T_j^n (T_j')^{\alpha'_j(x)} x\|, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.4 we know that for every $x \in X$, there exists an $\alpha(x) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $\max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \|T^\alpha x\| = \|T^{\alpha(x)} x\|$ and $|\alpha(x)| \leq \mu - 1$.

Then $x \in X_{j, |\cdot|_\infty}$ if, and only if, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an $\alpha(x, n) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\alpha(x, n)| \leq \mu - 1$ s.th. $|x|_\infty = \|T_j^n T^{\alpha(x, n)} x\|$. Hence, the inclusion “ \supset ” is clear.

To show “ \subset ” let $0 \neq x \in X_{j, |\cdot|_\infty}$. Then $T_j^m \neq 0$ and, hence, $\|T_j^m\| = 1$.

Since $|\alpha(x, n)| \leq \mu - 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are only finitely many choices for each $\alpha(x, n)$. Thus, there exists an $\alpha(x) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and an infinite set $M(x) \subset \mathbb{N}$ s.th.

$$|x|_\infty = \|T_j^n T^{\alpha(x)} x\|, \quad \forall n \in M(x).$$

By Theorem 3.1.(i), $M(x)$ contains all $n \geq m$ and further,

$$\|T_j^n T^{\alpha(x)} x\| = \|T_j^n (T_j')^{\alpha_j'(x)} x\|, \text{ for all } n \geq m.$$

Since $\|T_j^m\| = 1$, the statement holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Proposition 4.3. *Let $T = (T_1, T_d) \in B(X)^d$ be both an (m, p) -isometric and a (μ, ∞) -isometric pair. Then T_1^m is an isometry and $T_2^m = 0$ or vice versa.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.5, we have $X = X_{1,|\cdot|_\infty} \cup X_{2,|\cdot|_\infty}$.

Let $x_1 \in X_{1,|\cdot|_\infty}$. Then, by the previous lemma, there exists an $\alpha_2(x_1) \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\alpha_2(x_1) \leq \mu - 1$ s.th. $|x_1|_\infty = \|T_1^n T_2^{\alpha_2(x_1)} x_1\|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Furthermore, we have $\|x\|^p = \|T_1^m x\|^p + \|T_2^m x\|^p$, for all $x \in X$, by Theorem 3.1.(ii). Replacing x by $T_2^{\alpha_2(x_1)} x_1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2^{\alpha_2(x_1)} x_1\| &= \|T_1^m T_2^{\alpha_2(x_1)} x_1\| + \|T_2^{m+\alpha_2(x_1)} x_1\| \\ \Leftrightarrow \|T_2^{\alpha_2(x_1)} x_1\| &= |x_1|_\infty + \|T_2^m x_1\|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\|T_2^{\alpha_2(x_1)} x_1\| = |x_1|_\infty$ and, moreover, $\|T_2^m x_1\| = 0$.

An analogous argument shows that $X_{2,|\cdot|_\infty} \subset N(T_1^m)$. Hence,

$$X = N(T_1^m) \cup N(T_2^m),$$

which forces $T_1^m = 0$ or $T_2^m = 0$. The statement follows from $\|x\|^p = \|T_1^m x\|^p + \|T_2^m x\|^p$, for all $x \in X$. □

References

- [1] M. Aigner, Diskrete Mathematik, Vieweg, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden 1993.
- [2] J. Agler, A disconjugacy theorem for Toeplitz operators, *Am. J. Math.*, Vol. 112. No. 1 (1990), 1-14.
- [3] J. Agler and M. Stankus, m -isometric transformations of Hilbert space, I, *Integr. equ. oper. theory*, Vol. 21, No. 4 (1995), 383-429.
- [4] F. Bayart, m -Isometries on Banach Spaces, *Mathematische Nachrichten*, Vol. 284, No. 17-18 (2011), 2141-2147.
- [5] T. Bermúdez, A. Martínón and V. Müller, (m, q) -isometries on metric spaces, *J. Operator Theory*, Vol. 72, No. 2 (2014), 313-329.
- [6] T. Bermúdez, A. Martínón and E. Negrín, Weighted Shift Operators Which are m -Isometries, *Integr. equ. oper. theory*, Vol. 68, No. 3 (2010), 301-312.
- [7] F. Botelho, On the existence of n -isometries on ℓ_p spaces, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, Vol. 76, No. 1-2 (2010), 183-192.
- [8] P. H. W. Hoffmann and M. Mackey, (m, p) - and (m, ∞) -isometric operator tuples on normed spaces, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.*, Vol. 8, No. 2 (2015).
- [9] P. Hoffmann, M. Mackey and M. Ó Searcóid, On the second parameter of an (m, p) -isometry, *Integr. equ. oper. theory*, Vol. 71, No. 3 (2011), 389-405.

- [10] J. Gleason and S. Richter, m -Isometric Commuting Tuples of Operators on a Hilbert Space, *Integr. equ. oper. theory*, Vol. 56, No. 2 (2006), 181-196 .
- [11] S. Richter, Invariant subspaces of the Dirichlet shift, *J. reine angew. Math.*, Vol. 386 (1988), 205-220.
- [12] O.A. Sid Ahmed, m -isometric Operators on Banach Spaces, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.*, Vol. 3, No. 1 (2010), 1-19.

Philipp Hoffmann
National University of Ireland, Maynooth
Department of Computer Science
Maynooth, County Kildare
Ireland
email: `philipp.hoffmann@cs.nuim.ie`
`philip.hoffmann@maths.ucd.ie`