

# 4.1

## Introductory Essay: Cognition and Cultures of Mapping

Chris Perkins, Rob Kitchin and Martin Dodge

### Introduction

Maps are produced and used by people; they are the product of the skills and abilities of individuals embedded in particular cultures and inherently reflect those skills and wider culture. It is now widely accepted that mapping is a cognitive and embodied activity, a set of processes that people engage with in order to make sense of, and connections with, the world 'out there'. For some, mapping is an essential ability; an intrinsic cognitive function of being human (Blaut 1991, excerpted as Chapter 4.4, and Blaut *et al.* 2003). Regardless of whether mapping abilities are nativist or nurtured, however, maps exist in all human cultures, with maps reflecting everyday subjectivities. Wright (1942, excerpted as Chapter 4.2) explored many of the dimensions of this subjectivity, highlighting that: 'the qualities of integrity, judgment, critical acumen, and the like are as much required in the interpretation of maps as in the preparation of them' (p. 543). Maps that emerge from these subjective and social processes are deployed and enrolled in a myriad of tasks, and therefore it is perhaps unsurprising that the links between people and cartographic practices have been understood in many different ways.

This section of the book focuses upon people, culture and mapping, and the diverse ways in which scholars have explored the relationship between maps, mapping, individuals and their social contexts and cultural meaning. The most obvious difference between the excerpts is between those scholars who focus upon individuals and their cog-

nitive abilities to understand, produce and read maps, as against those who focus upon the cultural context within which maps are produced and used, and the wider meanings associated with mapping as a whole.

### Cognitive approaches to mapping

Over the past four decades environmental psychologists and behavioural geographers have investigated the relations between individuals and their world, and the individual processing of spatial information about that world. Their focus has often been upon the development of individual mapping skills – the ability to understand, process and create maps – or upon experimental investigation of particular and carefully controlled subsets of map reading tasks (Blades *et al.* 2002; Downs and Stea 1973a; Lloyd 2000, excerpted as Chapter 4.9). Research informed by psychological methods has often sought to establish best practice, either in cartographic design, or in delivering optimal map skills teaching, or in establishing cognitive limits to perception (Montello 2002). Methods have tended towards controlled experiments, which simplify the complexity of real world cartographic practice, so as to build an incremental understanding of map use.

Cognitive approaches to mapping are grounded in a number of different traditions. On the one hand, there is a body of work centred around cognitive mapping. These scholars proceed on the basis that each individual possesses a 'cognitive map' of the world; that is a mental construct

1 that allows them to process and synthesise spatial infor-  
2 mation and guides spatial decision and choice making.  
3 Initially popularised by Tolman's (1948) influential work  
4 on the spatial behaviour of rats, the concept remains a  
5 powerful influence on the field of spatial cognition (see  
6 Kitchin and Freundschuh, 2000, for an overview). Downs  
7 and Stea offer the following definition:

8  
9 'cognitive mapping is a process composed of a series of  
10 psychological transformations by which an individual  
11 acquires, codes, stores, recalls and decodes information  
12 about the relative locations and attributes of phenomena in  
13 his everyday spatial environment'

14 (1973b: 8, excerpted as Chapter 4.3).

15 Even the most ardent proponents of the idea recognised  
16 that the existence of a cognitive map is almost impossible to  
17 prove, and treated the concept as a useful tool with which  
18 to understand how people dealt with everyday navigational  
19 and spatial demands. The notion is firmly grounded in a  
20 view of sense-making as being concerned with transmission  
21 of information, in a process of communication.

22 Nonetheless, the relationship between cognitive map-  
23 ping and cartography has been pursued at two levels. The  
24 first considers how maps can be used to improve a person's  
25 understanding of a place and how such information is  
26 integrated into a person's cognitive map (Butler *et al.* 1993;  
27 Lloyd 1993). The usual approach here is to compare the  
28 spatial knowledge of one group of individuals who learnt  
29 an area by traversing it with another group that learnt the  
30 street layout purely from a map (with studies showing that  
31 those who had access to the map had a more accurate and  
32 complete understanding). The second is to consider how  
33 individuals mentally engage with, understand, learn and  
34 memorise cartographic information, with the aim of deter-  
35 mining how map design might be improved in ways that  
36 make maps easier to comprehend and use. Lloyd (2000,  
37 excerpted as Chapter 4.9), for example, explores how early  
38 psychophysical experimentation, and, in particular, eye  
39 movement studies, sought to make links between percep-  
40 tion of particular symbols or parts of maps, and cognitive  
41 activity. More nuanced experimentation emerged that was  
42 grounded in a more conceptual approach to mapping,  
43 brought together in an impressive overview volume by  
44 MacEachren (1995), and the tradition continues to this  
45 day, for example in recent fMRI research visualising links  
46 between activity in different parts of the brain, and different  
47 map reading or geovisualisation tasks (Lobben *et al.* 2009).

48 A second strand of work is grounded in ideas of mapping  
49 as visual communication and comes from map design  
50 scholarship, in particular the work of Arthur H. Robinson.  
51 Montello (2002) suggests Robinson's *The Look of Maps*  
52 (1952, excerpted as Chapter 3.3) had a profound influence

on research into map design because of the conceptual  
framework of visual communication that it propounded,  
and which Robinson's students and colleagues successfully  
deployed during and after his lifetime. This functional  
approach to mapping was justified by its theoretical  
grounding in a particular view of cognition, which encour-  
aged researchers to focus on cartographic practice as being  
defined by map reading (also see Morrison 1976, excerpted  
as Chapter 1.4; Board 1972, excerpted as Chapter 1.6).

Together these bodies of work have encouraged a  
number of continuing research foci. Montello (2002) sug-  
gests cognitive approaches to map design research probably  
peaked in the late 1970s and early 1980s, before the rise of  
GIS, and before epistemological challenges from social  
constructivist thought, that came to question the validity  
of communication as a device for understanding mapping.  
(See introductory essays for Sections 1 and 2.) A recent  
resurgence is evidenced by the establishment of a new  
International Cartographic Association (ICA) Working  
Group on Map Use and Users, and the publication of  
theme issues in key cartographic journals (Fabrikant and  
Lobben 2009; Van Elzakker *et al.* 2008). The notable  
diversity of new display variables offered by geovisualisa-  
tion is gradually being investigated (Nivala *et al.* 2008;  
excerpted as Chapter 4.11), although Fabrikant and  
Lobben (2009) are rather pessimistic about progress to  
date. Empirical user testing of digital map interfaces reveals  
them to be just as poorly designed as were many paper  
cartographic products investigated in the first wave of cog-  
nitive research into map designs forty years earlier (perhaps  
unsurprisingly given the relative lack of collaboration  
between cartographic researchers and system designers).

An ongoing second strand of research focuses upon map  
skills and how they are deployed by different groups of  
people. Here, the focus is not upon how map designs work,  
but rather upon map reading skills of different social  
groups. So, for example, children of different ages have  
received particular attention (see Wiegand 2006 for a useful  
review of this field). Gendered map use has been investi-  
gated (Gilmartin and Patten 1984). Mapping skills of  
different groups of disabled people have been observed  
and tested (Matthews and Vujakovic 1995; Ungar  
*et al.* 1997). Mapping skills in different kinds of leisure  
pursuit have been investigated (see Crampton 1992 on  
expert and novice orienteers).

Much of James Blaut's career focused on bringing  
together work of this kind in order to amass evidence  
for what he termed 'natural mapping' (Blaut 1991,  
excerpted as Chapter 4.4). He was seeking to establish  
the universal and human nature of mapping skills as a  
cognitive process, but also as a cultural universal (disputed  
by Downs and Liben 1991). Much of this work has a

1 practical or normative rational. By defining existing map  
2 skills, ways of teaching improved map skills can be  
3 devised.

## 6 Cultural approaches to mapping

8 In contrast to cognitive approaches, anthropologists and  
9 cultural geographers have tended to focus more upon  
10 everyday cultural practices, than upon individual experi-  
11 ence and cognition. They have emphasised the role of maps  
12 as part of a shared identity and explored the cultural  
13 processes through which mapping as a practice, or the  
14 cartographic artefact as an object, has come to hold par-  
15 ticular meanings. The map is treated not as a neutral  
16 representation or functional communication device, but  
17 rather as a part of culture, with an influence upon other  
18 aspects of life (Perkins 2008). As such, attention has been  
19 less on the atomistic and functional elements of the map,  
20 and more with the map as an object as a whole, and its  
21 real world circulation and enrolling into different  
22 cultural contexts.

23 It is only in the last thirty years that cultural approaches  
24 to cartography have emerged as a key research field. The  
25 differences from cognitive approaches are best understood  
26 by referring to two papers that, from their titles at least,  
27 might well be grounded in an awareness of cognition.  
28 Reeves (1993, excerpted as Chapter 4.6) article, *Reading*  
29 *Maps*, explores the practices of map reading in the early  
30 modern European world, and the ways these changed over  
31 time in different cultural contexts, with almost no consid-  
32 eration of the individual cognitive processes implicit in  
33 those practices. Instead, she reads changes in the *cultural*  
34 practices of map reading, through carefully chosen exam-  
35 ples from fine art and literature, to reveal mutability, and  
36 the important social roles played by cartographic repre-  
37 sentations. Her methodology depends upon historical and  
38 literary scholarship, not controlled testing of human sub-  
39 jects. The interpretation that emerges is one where map  
40 reading depends upon the cultural context, not upon  
41 cognition. Women and men read mapping in particular  
42 ways, because of cultural roles and interplay of different  
43 media, not because their brains dictated a particular way  
44 of reading.

45 The second paper, by Orlove (1991, excerpted as Chapter  
46 4.7), an anthropologist, also focuses upon *Reading Maps*,  
47 and also reaches a strongly cultural conclusion, albeit  
48 deploying methodologies sourced from anthropology and  
49 indigenous knowledges. Here, the focus is upon the cultural  
50 politics of the reading process. Instead of an emphasis on the  
51 signs and symbols on the map and an investigation of what  
52 they signify, as if meaning is fixed in individual processing of

information, Orlove focuses upon the social and cultural  
processes through which the map reading process comes to  
fix certain interpretations. Different social groups deploy  
mapping in ways that reinforce their own interpretations:  
instead of focusing upon the neutral fixed meaning in the  
mapping, the task of the researcher becomes one of unpack-  
ing the social processes around which meaning coalesces  
(often contested and political in the case of Lake Titicaca  
reeds; see also Chapter 5.1). There is a real world concern for  
exploring how maps are deployed, instead of a narrow focus  
upon the cognitive processes underpinning any reading (see  
Perkins and Gardiner 2003 for an examination of the limits  
of cognition). Orlove's paper was one of the first to adopt  
this kind of positioned and ethnographic approach. It  
reflects a growing academic concern with indigenous map-  
ping practices (Peluso 1995, excerpted as Chapter 5.6;  
Sparke 1998, excerpted as Chapter 5.7; Wood 2010) and  
with mapping as a process (Rundstrom 1991).

This emphasis upon mapping practices echoes moves  
across the social sciences towards post-constructivist  
thought. Anthropological ways of approaching carto-  
graphic practices now involve immersion and participatory  
approaches, instead of distance and objectivity. And the  
object of study is increasingly mapping practice, instead of  
the fixed form of the map as a representational object.  
These trends can be seen in a number of practical initiatives  
and empirical studies (for example, Grasseni 2004 on the  
co-construction of ideas of landscape in Italian local map-  
ping initiatives; Parker 2006 on the empowering potential  
of community mapping in Seattle; Perkins 2007 on the  
cultural context of community mapping initiatives in  
Britain). Crouch and Matless (1996, excerpted as Chapter  
4.8) focus on the ambiguities of community-led local  
mapping initiatives using in a Deleuzian reading of the  
Common Ground Parish Map Project, with case studies of  
how mapping speaks for but also responds to contested  
notions of place. The changing relationships that emerge  
from the interplay of aesthetics, politics and situated  
mapping are all embedded in cultural contexts and  
embodied practice that must be interpreted to gain real  
understanding of their meaning.

These trends towards community-based and local  
mapping reflect more than just intellectual fashion. They  
also indicate a significant democratisation of mapping,  
dating from the last decade of the twentieth century,  
but with roots that can be traced back to pioneering  
work by William Bunge in the 1960s and subsequently,  
(Colour Plate Six, page xx) and artistic encounters with  
mapping even earlier (Bunge 1971; Peluso 1995;  
Wood 2010 on the rise of 'counter-mapping', participatory  
GIS and artistic mapping; Pinder 1996 for an analysis of the  
Situationist artistic encounter with mapping). The rise of

1 community-oriented mapping reflects power perhaps shift-  
2 ing away from the nation-state, towards other and everyday  
3 mappers (Goodchild 2007, excerpted as Chapter 4.10).

4 One of the most interesting recent trends in this  
5 context has seen the rise of Web-facilitated ‘crowd  
6 sourced’ mapping. Instead of a centrally controlled and  
7 institutional authored cartographic product, the Web  
8 offers an infrastructure through which many people can  
9 collaborate in a shared, participatory endeavour  
10 (Surowiecki 2004; Sui 2008). These changes focus attention  
11 on the processes through which mapping emerges in  
12 complex technologically mediated systems and, together  
13 with other locative technologies (Section 2), have been  
14 designated ‘neogeography’ (Haklay *et al.* 2008). Goodchild  
15 (2007, excerpted as Chapter 4.10) is one of the first to  
16 delineate the likely impacts of these trends on mainstream  
17 cartography. His notion of volunteered geographic  
18 information reflects the GI industry perception of the  
19 trend, in so far as it discusses the potential for using  
20 peoples’ data, rather than the potential for crowd sourcing  
21 to create new mapping opportunities for people that are  
22 out of the control of ‘old’ institutions. Initiatives like  
23 OpenStreetMap offer a new model for people to become  
24 involved in making and deploying maps, and are already  
25 approaching the data quality of many state and commer-  
26 cially produced maps (Haklay 2010). (Colour Plate Five,  
27 page xx.)

28 Research has also started to address how people relate to  
29 maps and the mapping process in a cultural and emotional  
30 sense. As a part of visual culture, maps have a uniquely  
31 affectual role to play. They evoke emotions and carry  
32 inherent connotations with them. People tend to believe  
33 what they see on a map. The medium evokes an authority,  
34 making a link between places and things that happen in  
35 those places (Wood Fels 2008 provides a discussion of  
36 the ways in which mapping of nature evokes different  
37 feelings about the natures being represented). But  
38 mapping can also have different tones, evoking pleasure  
39 (Wood 1987), arousal (Nold 2009), ambivalence  
40 (Hawthorne *et al.* 2008) and humour (Caquard and  
41 Dormann 2008). The affect of mapping is an emerging  
42 research focus (Aitken and Craine 2006, excerpted as  
43 Chapter 3.10; Kwan 2007, excerpted as Chapter 5.9).  
44 Harley (1987, excerpted as Chapter 4.5) describes one  
45 map sheet within the frame of which various biographies  
46 have been played out. The people making the map, the  
47 histories of the place, and indeed personal biographies of  
48 the author are all charted and reflected in the collected  
49 artefact (Perkins 2008 gives an exploration of the motiva-  
50 tions behind map collecting). Instead of a cognitive analysis  
51 of mechanisms of map reading, this kind of research is  
52 exploring the narrative potential of mapping (Pearce 2008).

## Conclusions

Both the cognitive and cultural approaches to cartography provide rich insight into the relationship between people and maps. After a brief hiatus between the mid 1980s and mid 1990s, cognitive research into reading maps and geovisualisations has continued apace, providing insights into how people learn from maps and how maps might be designed to improve their legibility and comprehension. In addition, researchers from across the humanities and social sciences have created a detailed understanding of the role of culture in the production and reading of maps and their effects on the societies in which they are used. In the second decade of the twentieth century we can expect further refinement of ideas as rapid technological changes such as Mapping 2.0 (Crampton 2009) encourage an increasing focus upon the human processes of mapping, in all their cultural diversity, along with a progressive improvement in knowledge of how affective geovisualisations might work as crafted designs.

## References

- Aitken, S. and Craine, J. (2006) Affective geovisualizations. *Directions: A Magazine for GIS Professionals*, 7 February. www.directionsmag.com. (Excerpted as Chapter 3.10.)
- Blades, M., Lippa, Y., Gollidge, R. *et al.* (2002) Wayfinding by people with visual impairments: the effect of spatial tasks on the ability to learn a novel route. *Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness*, **96**, 407–419.
- Blaut, J.M. (1991) Natural mapping. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, **16** (1), 55–74. (Excerpted as Chapter 4.4.)
- Blaut, J.M., Stea, D., Spencer, C. and Blades, M. (2003) Mapping as a cultural and cognitive universal. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, **93** (1), 165–185.
- Board, C. (1972) Cartographic communication. *Cartographica*, **18** (2), 42–78. (Excerpted as Chapter 1.6.)
- Bunge, W. (1971) *Fitzgerald: Geography of a Revolution*, Schenkman Publishing Company, Cambridge, MA.
- Butler, D.L., Acquino, A.L., Hissong, A.A. and Scott, P.A. (1993) Wayfinding by newcomers in a complex building. *Human Factors*, **35** (1), 159–173.
- Caquard, S. and Dormann, C. (2008) Humorous maps: explorations of an alternative cartography. *Cartography and Geographic Information Science*, **35** (1), 51–64.
- Crampton, J. (1992) A cognitive analysis of wayfinding expertise. *Cartographica*, **29**, 46–65.
- Crampton, J. (2009) Cartography: maps 2.0? *Progress in Human Geography*, **33**, 91–100.

- 1 Crouch, D. and Matless, D. (1996) Refiguring geography:  
2 Parish maps of Common Ground. *Transactions of the*  
3 *Institute of British Geographers*, **21**, 236–255. (Excerpted  
4 as Chapter 4.8.)
- 5 Downs, R.M. and Liben, L. (1991) Understanding maps as  
6 symbols: the development of map concepts in children, in  
7 *Advances in Child Development and Behavior* (ed. H.W.  
8 Reese), Academic Press, New York.
- 9 Downs, R.M. and Stea, D. (1973a) *Image and Environment:*  
10 *Cognitive Mapping and Spatial Behavior*, Aldine Press, Chi-  
11 cago, IL.
- 12 Downs, R.M. and Stea, D. (1973b) Cognitive maps and spatial  
13 behavior: process and products, in *Image and Environment:*  
14 *Cognitive Mapping and Spatial Behavior* (eds R.M. Downs  
15 and D. Stea), Aldine Press, Chicago, IL, pp. 8–26.  
16 (Excerpted as Chapter 4.3.)
- 17 Fabrikant, S.I. and Lobben, A. (2009) Cognitive issues in  
18 geographic information visualization. *Cartographica*,  
19 **44** (3).
- 20 Gilmartin, P. and Patton, J.C. (1984) Comparing the sexes on  
21 spatial abilities: map-use skills. *Annals of the Association of*  
22 *American Geographers*, **74** (4), 605–619.
- 23 Goodchild, M.F. (2007) Citizens as sensors: the world of  
24 volunteered geography. *GeoJournal*, **69** (4), 211–221.  
25 (Excerpted as Chapter 4.10.)
- 26 Grasseni, C. (2004) Skilled landscapes: mapping practices of  
27 locality. *Environment and Planning D*, **22**, 699–717.
- 28 Haklay, M. (2010) How good is volunteered geographical  
29 information? A comparative study of OpenStreetMap  
30 and Ordnance Survey datasets. *Environment and Planning*  
31 *B: Planning and Design*, **37** (4), 682–703.
- 32 Haklay, M., Singleton, A.D. and Parker, C. (2008) Web  
33 mapping 2.0: the neogeography of the GeoWeb. *Geography*  
34 *Compass*, **2** (6), 2011–2039.
- 35 Harley, J.B. (1987) The map as biography: thoughts on  
36 Ordnance Survey, Six-Inch Sheet Devonshire CIX, SE,  
37 Newton Abbot. *The Map Collector*, **41**, 18–20. (Excerpted  
38 as Chapter 4.5.)
- 39 Hawthorne, T., Krygier, J. and Kwan, M.P. (2008) Mapping  
40 ambivalence: exploring the geographies of  
41 community change and rails-to-trails development using  
42 photo-based Q method and PPGIS. *Geoforum*, **39** (2),  
43 1058–1078.
- 44 Kitchin, R. and Freundschuh, S. (2000) *Cognitive Mapping:*  
45 *Past, Present and Future*, Routledge, London.
- 46 Kwan, M.-P. (2007) Affecting geospatial technologies: toward  
47 a feminist politics of emotion. *The Professional Geographer*,  
48 **59** (1), 22–34. (Excerpted as Chapter 5.9.)
- 49 Lloyd, R. (1993) Cognitive processes and cartographic maps,  
50 in *Behavior and Environment: Psychological and Geograph-*  
51 *ical Approaches* (eds T. Gärling and R.G. Golledge), North-  
52 Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, pp. 141–169.
- Lloyd, R. (2000) Cognitive maps: encoding and decoding  
information, in *Cognitive Mapping: Past, Present and Future*  
(eds R. Kitchin and S. Freundschuh), Routledge, London,  
pp. 84–107. (Excerpted as Chapter 4.9.)
- Lobben, A., Lawrence, M. and Olson, J.M. (2009) fMRI and  
human subjects research in cartography. *Cartographica*, **44**  
(3), 159–170.
- MacEachren, A.M. (1995) *How Maps Work*, Guilford,  
New York.
- Matthews, M.H. and Vujakovic, P. (1995) Private worlds and  
public places: mapping the environmental values of wheel-  
chair users. *Environment and Planning A*, **27**, 1069–1083.
- Montello, D.R. (2002) Cognitive map design research in the  
twentieth century. *Cartography and Geographic Information*  
*Science*, **29** (3), 283–304.
- Morrison, J. (1976) The science of cartography and its essential  
processes. *International Yearbook of Cartography*, **16**, 84–97.  
(Excerpted as Chapter 1.4.)
- Nivala, A.M., Brewster, S. and Sarjakoski, L.T. (2008) Usability  
evaluation of web mapping sites. *The Cartographic Journal*,  
**45** (2), 129–138. (Excerpted as Chapter 4.11.)
- Nold, C. (2009) *Emotional Cartography: Technologies of the*  
*Self*, Christian Nold, London.
- Orlove, B. (1991) Mapping reeds and reading maps: the  
politics of representation in Lake Titicaca. *American Eth-*  
*nologist*, **18** (1), 3–38. (Excerpted as Chapter 4.7.)
- Parker, B. (2006) Constructing community through maps?  
Power and praxis in community mapping. *Professional*  
*Geographer*, **58** (4), 470–484.
- Pearce, M. (2008) Framing the days: place and narrative in  
cartography. *Cartography and Geographic Information Sci-*  
*ence*, **35**, 17–32.
- Peluso, N.L. (1995) Whose woods are these? Counter-  
mapping forest territories in Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Anti-*  
*pode*, **27** (4), 383–406. (Excerpted as Chapter 5.6.)
- Perkins, C. (2007) Community mapping. *The Cartographic*  
*Journal*, **44** (2), 127–137.
- Perkins, C. (2008) Cultures of map use. *The Cartographic*  
*Journal*, **45** (2), 150–158.
- Perkins, C. and Gardiner, E.A.H. (2003) Real world map  
reading strategies. *The Cartographic Journal*, **40** (3),  
265–268.
- Pinder, D. (1996) Subverting cartography: the situationists  
and maps of the city. *Environment and Planning A*, **28** (3),  
405–427.
- Reeves, E. (1993) Reading maps. *Word and Image*, **9** (1),  
51–65. (Excerpted as Chapter 4.6.)
- Robinson, A. (1952) *The Look of Maps*, University of Wis-  
consin Press, Madison, WI. (Excerpted as Chapter 3.3.)
- Rundstrom, R.A. (1991) Mapping, postmodernism, indige-  
nous people and the changing direction of North American  
cartography. *Cartographica*, **28**, 1–12.

- 1 Sparke, M. (1998) A map that roared and an original atlas:  
2 Canada, cartography, and the narration of nation. *Annals of*  
3 *the Association of American Geographers*, **88** (3), 463–495.  
4 (Excerpted as Chapter 5.7.)
- 5 Sui, D.Z. (2008) The wikification of GIS and its consequences:  
6 or Angelina Jolie's new tattoo and the future of GIS.  
7 *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, **32** (1), 1–5.
- 8 Surowiecki, J. (2004) *The Wisdom of Crowds*, Little Brown,  
9 London
- 10 Tolman, E.C. (1948) Cognitive maps in rats and men. *Psy-*  
11 *chological Review*, **55** (4), 189–208.
- 12 Ungar, S., Blades, M. and Spencer, C. (1997) Strategies for  
13 knowledge acquisition from cartographic maps by blind  
14 and visually impaired adults. *The Cartographic Journal*,  
15 **34**, 93–110.
- 16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52
- Van Elzakker C., Nivala, A., Pucher, A. and Forrest, D. (2008)  
Use and user issues in geographic information processing  
and dissemination theme issue. *The Cartographic Journal*,  
**45** (2).
- Wiegand, P. (2006) *Learning and Teaching with Maps*, Rou-  
tledge, London.
- Wood, D. (1987) Pleasure in the idea: the atlas as a narrative  
form. *Cartographica*, **24** (1), 24–45.
- Wood, D. (2010) *Rethinking the Power of Maps*, Guilford,  
New York.
- Wood, D. and Fels, J. (2008) *The Natures of Maps*, Chicago  
University Press, Chicago, IL.
- Wright, J.K. (1942) Map makers are human: comments on the  
subjective in maps. *Geographical Review*, **32** (4), 527–544.  
(Excerpted as Chapter 4.2.)